

# Correlation and Path Analysis in Kabuli Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)

### U Lakshmi Annapurna, S Srimannarayana Murthy, C Panduranga Rao and R Srinivasulu Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Agricultural College, Bapatla 522 101, Andhra Pradesh

### ABSTRACT

Thirty genotypes of *Kabuli* chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) were grown during *rabi* 2006-07 and observations were recorded on 11 quantitative traits. Correlation indicated biological yield (g) expressed highest positive significant correlation with seed yield per plant followed by number of pods per plant, 100-seed weight (g), harvest index (%), plant height (cm) and days to maturity. Path coefficient analysis indicated high direct effects of biological yield per plant and harvest index with seed yield per plant. Therefore these characters should receive the highest priority in selecting high yielding plants in chickpea breeding.

Key words : Correlation, Kabuli Chickpea, Path Analysis

Chickpea is the most important pulse crop of India. Kabuli type though much in demand is not much grown here because of its poor yield and relatively higher water requirement. In general these types tend to be semi-erect, but lower yielding under Indian soil conditions. Yield is the multiplicative interaction of its components and among them selves. Selection for yield components is an efficient way of yield improvement for effective selection. It is necessary to know about the association between yield and its components. The present investigation was carried out to generate information about inter relationship of the yield and its components. The path analysis is one effective measure to find out direct and indirect effects of component characters contributing to yield.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The material for the investigation comprised of 30 Kabuli chickpea genotypes. These genotypes of Kabuli chickpea were grown in rabi season during 2006-07 in a randomized block design with three replications at Regional Agricultural Research Station Lam, Guntur. Each entry was planted in a single row of 4 m length with a spacing of 30X10 cm. The observations were recorded on ten randomly selected competitive plants in each entry and in each replication on 11 component characters *i.e.*, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height(cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant (g), 100-seed weight (g), harvest index (%), biological yield per plant (g), protein content (%) and seed yield per plant (g) and mean values were used for statistical analysis. The analysis of variance and correlations were calculated for all the pairs of characters. Path analysis was done following the procedure given by Deway and Lu (1959).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of analysis of variance for 11 characters studied in Kabuli genotypes indicated that there was significant differences among all the genotypes studied. The genotypic and phenotypic correlations between different pairs of characters are given in Table 1. The values of genotypic coefficients were generally higher than phenotypic coefficients, revealing the influence of environments on phenotypic expressions. Correlation analysis showed that seed yield per plant had positive and significant correlation with biological yield per plant, number of pods per plant, 100 seed weight (g), harvest index, plant height (cm) and days to maturity both at phenotypic and genotypic levels. Biological yield/plant showed significant and positive correlation with number of pods per plant, plant height. Number of pods per plant showed positive correlation with biological yield per plant, days to maturity, plant height, days to 50% flowering, harvest index and plant height. Plant height showed significant and positive correlation for all the characters except harvest index and protein content. Harvest index showed significant and positive correlation for all the characters except biological yield and protein content. Similar results were reported by Singh et al. (1990), Singh et al. (1995) and Jeena and Arora (2001). Protein content showed significant positive correlation with days to maturity. Similar results were reported by Singh et al. (1990).

$\widehat{}$
nL.
IJ,
etir
arie
эr
S.
<u>S</u>
ee G
¥
ij
ii C
ηqι
Хa
.⊆
lts
Jer
ğ
Ĕ
q co
eld
Ξ
р
a
ē
Ň
eer
₹
pe
S
<u>io</u>
at
rre
00 00
<u>i</u> c
Ę
enc
phe
σ
an
<u>i</u>
typ
enoty
G
<u>e</u>
abl

Table 1. Genotypic and phenotypic correlations between yield and yield components in <i>Kabuli</i> chickpea( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)	enotypic cor	relations b	etween yi	eld and yie	eld compone	ents in <i>Kat</i>	<i>uli</i> chickpe	a(Cicer ari	ietinum L.)		
Characters	Days to	Days to	Plant	No of	No.of.	No.of.	100 seed	Harvest	Biological	Protein	Seed
	50%	maturity	height	primary	secondary	Pods	weight	index	yield plant¹	content	yield
	flowering		(cm)	branches	branches branches	plant⁻¹	(b)	(%)	(b)	(%)	plant¹
				plant	plant <sup>-1</sup>						
Days to 50% flowering	1.000	0.914**	0.612**	0.489**	-0.578**	0.302**	-0.189	-0.337**	0.244**	0.168	0.108
Days of maturity	0.918**	1.000	0.609**	0.377**	0.588**	0.408***	-0.085	-0.214*	0.329**	0.216**	0.241*
Plant height (cm)	0.654**	0.661**	1.000	0.229**	0.387**	0.373**	0.232*	-0.071	0.486*		0.404**
No.of. Primary											
branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	0.578**	0.456**	0.245*	1.000	0.541**	0.0265	-0.350**	-0.614**	0.099	0.023	-0.1611
No.of.Secondary											
branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	0.608**	0.623**	0.430**	0.632**	1.000	0.1348	-0.272**	-0.382**	0.014	0.052	-0.127
No.of Pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	0.331**	0.445**	0.417**	0.023	0.121	1.000	0.1567	0.2373*	0.762**	0.112	0.787**
100-seed weight (g)	-0.202	-0.095		-0.409**	-0.282**	0.162	1.000	0.2946**	0.443**		0.493**
Harvest index (%)	-0.39**	-0.238*		-0.760**	-0.509**	0.234*	0.364**	1.000		-0.114	0.485**
Biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	0.270**	0.359**	*	0.073	0.014	0.790**	0.478**	0.254*	1.000	•	0.911**
Protein content (%)	0.193	0.256**	-0.006	-0.023	0.067	0.165	-0.087	-0.105	-0.106	1.000	-0.104
Seed yield per plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	0.123	0.264**	0.423**	-0.211*	-0.1576	0.785**	0.523**	0.553**	0.943**	-0.090	1.000
*Significant at 5% level ** Significant at 1% level				Above o Below d	Above diagonal values are phenotypic correlation values Below diagonal values are genotypic correlation values	ues are phe	enotypic co lotypic corr	rrelation va elation val	alues ues		

_
÷
Е
nu
eti
ari
Э, ĉ
ίčθ
S
g
be
꿍
ij.
ï
pq
Хa
л Х
σ
ē
$\geq$
lets on yield
ţ
Ъ
4
õ
Ĕ
ō
ypic) of compone
õ
pi
Ś
ŭ
Å
ä
cts
fē
e
ect
li ⊾
in
pd
a
ect al
ie.
fd
000
Ĕ
na
ť
ШS
2
Ð
abl
Ë

Characters	Days to	Days to	Plant	No of	No.of.	No.of.	100 seed	Harvest	Biological	Protein
	50%	maturity	height	primary	secondary	Pods	weight	index	yield plant <sup>-1</sup>	content
	flowering		(cm)	branches	branches	plant <sup>-1</sup>	(b)	(%)	(B)	(%)
				plant <sup>-1</sup>	plant <sup>-1</sup>					
Days to 50% flowering	-0.0239	-0.022	-0.042	-0.012	-0.014	-0.007	0.003	0.008	-0.006	-0.0040
Days of maturity	0.062	0.068	0.041	0.026	0.04	0.028	-0.006	-0.015	0.022	0.0146
Plant height (cm)	-0.0007	-0.007	-0.001	-0.0003	-0.0005	-0.0004	-0.0003	0.0001	-0.0006	0.000
No.of. Primary										
branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	0.006	-0.005	-0.003	-0.013	-0.007	-0.0003	0.005	0.008	-0.0013	-0.0003
No.of.Secondary										
branches plant <sup>1</sup>	-0.014	-0.015	-0.01	-0.013	-0.025	-0.003	0.007	0.01	-0.0004	-0.0013
No.of Pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	0.024	0.032	0.03	0.0021	0.011	0.079	0.012	0.019	0.060	0.0089
100-seed weight (g)	0.002	-0.001	0.003	-0.005	-0.004	0.002	0.013	0.004	0.006	-0.0011
Harvest index (%)	-0.123	-0.078	-0.026	-0.224	-0.14	0.087	0.107	0.365	0.040	-0.0417
Biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	0.193	0.261	0.385	0.079	0.011	0.604	0.35	0.087	0.791	-0.0821
Protein content (%)	0.0004	0.0005	0.000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0003	-0.0003	0.0025
Seed yield per plant (g)	0.108	0.240*	0.404**	-0.161	-0.127	0.788**	0.494**	0.485**	0.912**	-0.1045
*Significant at 5% level					Bold dia	gonal value	Bold diagonal values are direct effects	effects		
** Significant at 1% level					Residual	Residual effect : 0.121	121			

Ĵ
m
ietin
er an
Cice
ea (
ickp
li ch
abu
iл К
ield
y nc
ents o
onel
omp
typic) of compon
ic)
ťyp
leno
000
ects
ct effect:
rec
indi
and
ect and
dir
s of
nates
stin
Ш м
ole ()
Tab

Characters	Days to	Days to	Plant	No of	No.of.	No.of.Pods	100	Harvest	Biological	Protein
	50%	maturity	height	primary	secondary	plant¹	seed	index	yield plant <sup>-1</sup>	content
	flowering		(cm)	branches	branches		weight	(%)	(B)	(%)
				plant <sup>-1</sup>	plant <sup>-1</sup>		(B)			
Days to 50% flowering	-0.011	-0.009	-0.007	-0.006	-0.006	-0.003	0.0021	0.0041	-0.003	-0.002
Days of maturity	0.108	0.118	0.077	0.053	0.073	0.052	-0.011	-0.028	0.042	0.030
Plant height (cm)	-0.052	-0.053	-0.079	-0.019	-0.034	-0.033	-0.021	0.009	-0.044	0.0005
No.of.Primary										
branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	-0.061	-0.049	-0.026	-0.106	-0.067	-0.0025	0.044	0.080	-0.007	0.0025
No.of.Secondary										
branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	-0.005	-0.0058					0.003	0.0048	-0.0001	-0.0006
No.of Pods plant¹	0.007						0.004	0.005	0.018	0.0037
100-seed weight (g)	0.002	0.001					-0.012	-0.004	-0.005	0.0010
Harvest index (%)	-0.100						0.094	0.259	0.065	-0.027
Biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	0.237		0.486	0.064	0.012	0.693	0.420	0.223	0.877	-0.0933
Protein content (%)	-0.0009	-0.001					0.0004	0.0005	0.0005	-0.0048
yield per plant (g)	0.1236	0.265*	0.424**				0.524**	0.554*	0.943**	-0.0901
*Significant at 5% level				Bold and	l diagonal val	Bold and diagonal values are direct effects	effects			
** Significant at 1% level				Residual	Residual effect:SQRT (1-1.0029)	(1-1.0029)				

The path coefficient analysis (Table 2 and 3) based on seed yield plant<sup>1</sup> as dependent variable revealed that the contribution of all the 10 characters towards total variation in seed yield was 86.45%. The protein content, 100 seed weight, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches plant<sup>1</sup>, number of pods plant<sup>1</sup> and 100 seed weight had the least direct effects and indirect effects via., other characters and thus were of least importance as contributors towards seed yield. But biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup> had high direct and positive effect and was followed by harvest index. Further the indirect effects of all the characters via, biological yield and harvest index were positive. Similar results were reported by Singh et al. (1990), Neter Pal Singh

*et al.* (2001) and Jeena and Arora (2002). From the present study, it may be concluded that biological yield per plant and harvest index are the most important characters to be considered for effective selection of high yielding types in *Kabuli* chickpea.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- **Dewey D P and Lu K M 1959.** Acorrelation and path coefficient analysis of crested wheat grass seed production. Agronomy Journal, 51: 515-518.
- Jeena A S and Arora P P 2001. Correlation between yield and its components in chickpea. Legume Research, 24(1): 63-64.
- Jeena A S and Arora P P 2002. Path analysis is relation to selection in chickpea. Agricultural Science Digest, 22(2): 132-133.
- Neter Pal Singh, Ram Krishna and Kumar R 2001. An assay of effects of different traits on chickpea grain yield. Annals of Agricultural Research, New Series 22(4): 564-569.
- Singh I S, Hussain M A and Gupta A K 1995. Correlation studies among yield and yield contributing traits in  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  chickpea populations. International Chickpea and Pigeonpea, News Letter, 2: 11-13.
- Singh K B, Bejiga G and Malhotra R S 1990. Associations some characters with seed yield in chickpea collections. Euphytica, 49: 80-88.

(Received on 31.10.2007 and revised on 28.01.2008)