

Response of Summer Blackgram to Irrigation Schedules and Weed Management Practices

Key words : Blackgram, Economics, Irrigation, Weed management, Yield.

Blackgram is grown during summer in Andhra Pradesh when the available water supply is not adequate for rice and other crops. Among various techniques advocated for economy in water utilization, proper scheduling of irrigation water assumes greater significance. Application of irrigation water either in excess or in deficit will adversely affect the blackgram yield. Hence, optimization of irrigation schedule plays a vital role. Further, the productivity can be greatly improved by controlling the weeds with suitable weed management practices. In this context, the present study was carried out to elicit the effect of irrigation schedules and weed management practices on growth and yield of blackgram.

A field experiment was conducted during summer, 2001 at S.V.Agricultural College Farm, Tirupati on sandy loam soil with pH 7.6, low in organic carbon (0.22%) and available N (206 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in available P (20 kg ha⁻¹) and K (178 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in split plot design replicated thrice with four irrigation schedules viz., I,-irrigation at branching and pod development stages; I₂- irrigation at braching and flowering stages; I₂- irrigation at flowering and pod development stages and I₄- irrigation at branching, flowering and pod development stages as main plots and four weed management practices viz., W1-weedy check; W2hand weeding at branching and flowering; W₃- pre emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.5kg ai ha⁻¹ and W_{4} - pre emergence application of metolachlor @ 1.5 kg ai ha⁻¹ as sub plots. A pre sowing irrigation was given to all the treatments and the remaining irrigations were given as per treatment schedule. Measured quantity of irrigation water (5 cm) was delivered at each experimental plot by installing water meter at water outlet. The test variety LBG-20 was sown on 6-1-2001 @ 2 seeds hill⁻¹ at a spacing of 30 x 10 cm and harvesting was done on 18-3-2001. The other cultural practices were uniformaly applied to all the treatments.

Perusal of the data revealed the irrigations scheduled at branching, flowering and pod development stages recorded significantly taller plants (19.83 cm), higher dry matter production (2316 kg ha⁻¹) and more number of nodules plant¹ (19.83). Improvement in these growth parameters can be attributed to optimum soil moisture available for crop growth throughout its life cycle. The superiority of irrigations scheduled at three growth stages was observed in number of pods plant-1, number of seeds pod⁻¹ and test weight compared to other irrigation schedules (Table. 1). With two irrigations, inadequate available soil moisture resulted in shorter plants and lower dry matter production leading to decreased yield attributes. Highest seed and haulm yield was with irrigations scheduled at branching, flowering and pod development stages and was significantly superior to other irrigation schedules. Three irrigations at different phenological growth stages would have resulted in increased fruiting capacity of the plant and setting sound seeds. These findings are in accordance with Pal and Jana (1991) and Sarkar (1992). The highest net returns and benefit cost ratio were observed with irrigations scheduled at branching, flowering and pod development stages.

The highest growth, yield attributes and yield of blackgram were recorded with hand weeding twice at branching and flowering, which was significanlty superior to rest of the weed management practices. This might be due to better weed control at critical growth stages of crop growth facilitating the crop to utilize abailable growth resoureces more efficiently. Jain et al. (1977) and Choubey et al. (1999) reported the similar findings. Hand weeding twice at branching and flowering registered highest net returns and benefit cost ratio.

With regards to interaction, irrigation scheduled at branching, flowering and pod development stages coupled with hand weeding twice at branching at flowering registered higher seed yield (Table 2) and were lowest with irrigation applied at branching and pod development stages along with weedy check. Thus the present study revealed that that irrigating blackgram at branching, flowering and pod development stages and hand weeding twice at branching and flowering resulted in higher growth, yield and net returns.

| Treatment | Plant | Dry matter | No. of | No.of | No.of | 1000 | Haulm | Net | Benefit | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | Height | Production | Nodules | Pods | Seeds | Seed Wt. | Yield | returns | Cost ratio | | | |
| | (cm) | (kg ha⁻1) | Plant ⁻¹ | Plant ⁻¹ | Pod ⁻¹ | (g) | (kg ha-1) | (Rs. ha-1) | | | | |
| Iggiration schedules | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| l, | 14.69 | 1960 | 12.83 | 11.63 | 4.37 | 32.18 | 892 | 4599 | 1.73 | | | |
| l, | 15.50 | 2020 | 19.25 | 12.46 | 5.10 | 35.99 | 904 | 8037 | 2.20 | | | |
| ارً | 14.02 | 1616 | 12.83 | 9.29 | 4.29 | 32.59 | 698 | 955 | 1.13 | | | |
| ۱ _۸ | 16.81 | 2316 | 19.83 | 13.01 | 5.32 | 42.71 | 1048 | 9286 | 2.35 | | | |
| ČD (P=0.05) | 1.45 | 57 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.50 | 2.04 | 2 | - | - | | | |
| Weed Management Practices | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W ₁ | 13.68 | 1453 | 14.67 | 9.38 | 4.69 | 34.93 | 755 | 3469 | 1.63 | | | |
| W ₂ | 16.89 | 2390 | 18.08 | 13.08 | 4.80 | 36.77 | 1002 | 8388 | 2.23 | | | |
| W ₃ | 15.60 | 2107 | 16.42 | 12.15 | 4.80 | 36.04 | 947 | 6206 | 1.83 | | | |
| W ₄ | 14.85 | 1972 | 15.58 | 11.42 | 4.79 | 35.74 | 839 | 4813 | 1.73 | | | |
| CD (P=0.0.5) | 1.20 | 56 | 0.51 | 0.80 | NS | 1.26 | 4 | - | - | | | |

Table 1. Growth, Yield attributes and economics of blackgram as influenced by irrigation schedules and weed managment practices.

Table 2. Interactive effect of irrigation schedules and weed managment practices on seed yield of blackgram (kg ha⁻¹)

| Weed managment – | Irriga | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|----------------|------|
| practices | I ₁ | I_2 | I_3 | l ₄ | Mean |
| W, | 474 | 534 | 306 | 605 | 480 |
| W ₂ | 681 | 1000 | 483 | 1161 | 831 |
| W ₃ ² | 662 | 900 | 442 | 966 | 743 |
| W ₄ ³ | 559 | 751 | 889 | 681 | 595 |
| Mean | 594 | 796 | 405 | 853 | |
| CD (P=0.0.5) | | | | | |
| | 36 | | l at | F | 34 |
| W | 19 | | Fat | t I | 24 |

LITERATURE CITED

Choubey N K, Tripathi R S and Gosh B C 1999. Effect of fertilizers application and weed management practices on nutrient uptake by summer blackgram (Phaseolus mungo)

and associated weeds under rainfed condition. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 44: 576-580.

Jain V K, Chauhan and Vivek Chitins 1997. Effect of cultural and chemical methods of weed managment on weeds and yield of blackgram (Phaseolus mungo). Indian Journal of Agronomy, 42: 661-664.

Department of Agronomy S V Agricultural College Tirupati - 517 502

- Pal A K and Jana P K 1991. Effect of phosphorus, rhizobium inoculation and irrigation on growth, yield and water use efficiency of summer greengram (Phaseolus radiatus). Indian Journal of Agronomy, 36: 576-580.
- Sarkar R K 1992. Response of summer greengram (Phaseolus radiatus) to irrigation and phosphorus application. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 37: 123-125.

T Malliswari P Maheswara Reddy G Karuna Sagar

409