

# Effect of Certain Spacings and Nutrient Levels on Growth and Bulb Yield of Onion Var. N-53

## M Neelima, T Padmalatha, P Hari Prasad and N R Swamy

Department of Horticulture, Agricultural College, Bapatla 522 101, Andhra Pradesh.

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of spacing and nutrient levels on growth and bulb yield of onion cultivar N-53 during *rabi* season of 2006-2007 at Agricultural college, Bapatla (A.P). The results revealed that the wider spacing of 30x30 cm with nutrient level, 200 kg N: 80 kg  $P_2O_5$ : 100 kg k<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> produced maximum plant height, no.of leaves, foliage length pseudostem diameter, drymatter production and chlorophyll content. However, narrow spacing 30x15cm with same nutrient level, produced maximum bulb yield per hectare and found promising.

Key words : Bulb yield , Nutrient levels, Onion, Plant growth, Spacing.

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is an important commercial bulbous vegetable crop in India, known for its high yielding ability and better storability. It is mainly used for the culinary purpose both in raw (salad) and cooked form. The yield per unit area continues to be low in coastal A.P conditions which can be increased appreciably by proper cultural practices. Among the various cultural practices nutrient levels and spacing are the key factors which affect the growth and bulb yield of onion (Mehla and Mangat Ram, 1995). However, the information on the effect of plant population through spacings and nutrient levels of onion is scarce under agro-climatic conditions of Bapatla. Keeping these points in view, the present field trial was under taken.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS:

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of certain spacings and nutrient levels on growth and bulb yield of onion cultivar N-53 during *rabi* season of 2006-2007 at Agricultural college farm, Bapatla.

The experimental soil was sandy loam with 7.9 pH and 0.4 EC. Available nitrogen status of the soil was 232 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>, available  $P_2O_5$  was 28.72 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> and available  $K_2O$  was 54.60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>.

The experiment was laid in Randomized Block Design with factorial concept and replicated thrice. The treatments consisted of combination of three spacings ( $S_1$ - 30x30cm,  $S_2$ - 30 x 20 cm and  $S_3$ - 30 x 15 cm) and four nutrition levels *viz.*, ( $L_1$ - 80 kg N + 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $L_2$ - 120 kg N + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $L_3$ - 160 kg N + 80 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> and  $L_4$ - 200 kg N + 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Single super phosphate @ 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied as a common basal dose. Half dose of N and  $K_2O$  were applied as basal dose before transplanting and remaining half on 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> day of transplanting. All the other recommended cultural practices were followed .The observations were recorded on plant height, leaf number, foliage length, pseudostem diameter, chlorophyll content, dry matter production and bulb yield per hectare. The data was subjected to statistical analysis as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1978).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Effect of spacing on vegetative growth and bulb yield

The wider spacing  $S_1(30x30cm)$  produced significantly maximum chlorophyll content and dry matter production (Table 1). Similar results were reported by Kharchenko (1970), Kumar *et al.* (1998) and Shrivastava *et al.* (1996). The favourable effect of wider spacing in promoting the above plant growth parameters could be due to better microclimatic conditions which would have enhanced more availability of moisture, nutrients and light for crop growth which was expressed as increased leaf and bulb biomass through higher photosynthetic efficiency.

The highest bulb yield was observed in closer spacing  $S_3$  (30 x 15 cm) which was significantly superior to wider spacing of 30 cm x 20 cm and 30 cm x 30 cm. The highest plant population in closer planting might have increased the bulb yield per unit area despite the reduced size

Treatments	Plant height	No.of leaves		Pseudostem	Chlorophyll	Dry matter content
	(cm)		length (cm	) diameter (mm)	(mg/100g)	(g plant <sup>-1</sup> )
Spacings						
S₁(30x30cm)	51.23	11.75	48.30	10.15	0.50	5.10
$S_{2}(30x20cm)$	49.85	10.94	46.43	9.89	0.42	4.77
S <sub>3</sub> (30x15cm)	49.07	10.46	45.07	9.74	0.36	4.45
SEm <u>+</u>	1.25	0.27	1.41	0.20	0.01	0.11
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.027*	0.24*
Nutrient levels						
L <sub>1</sub>	46.60	9.16	42.22	7.53	0.35	3.63
Ľ	49.37	10.64	45.45	9.16	0.39	4.37
L <sub>2</sub> L <sub>3</sub>	51.77	11.56	48.99	10.27	0.45	5.23
$L_4^3$	52.46	12.80	49.39	11.79	0.52	5.94
S <sup>‡</sup> Em+	1.45	0.31	1.63	0.23	0.015	0.133
CD at 5%	3.01*	0.66*	3.38*	0.48*	0.032*	0.27*
Interactions						
$T_1(S_1L_1)$	47.34	10.00	43.88	8.50	0.40	3.78
$T_{2}(S_{1}L_{2})$	50.87	11.00	46.88	9.45	0.46	4.60
$T_{2}(S_{1}L_{2})$ $T_{3}(S_{1}L_{3})$ $T_{4}(S_{1}L_{4})$	52.87	12.00	51.07	10.34	0.54	5.72
T <sub>(</sub> SL)	53.86	14.00	51.37	12.34	0.63	6.53
T_(S_L)	46.58	9.00	41.89	8.20	0.36	3.57
$T_{5}(S_{2}L_{1})$ $T_{6}(S_{2}L_{2})$	49.13	10.57	45.09	9.02	0.40	4.36
$T_{7}^{\circ}(S_{2}^{2}L_{3}^{2'})$	51.62	11.70	48.88	10.42	0.45	5.28
T (SL)	52.07	12.50	49.87	11.79	0.49	5.89
$T_{8}(S_{2}L_{4})$ $T_{9}(S_{3}L_{1})$	45.88	8.51	40.90	8.15	0.29	3.54
T.(S.L.)	45.13	10.35	44.39	9.01	0.32	4.16
$T_{10}(S_{3}L_{2})$ $T_{11}(S_{3}L_{3})$ $T_{12}(S_{3}L_{4})$	50.83	11.00	47.04	10.06	0.38	4.70
T.(S.L.)	51.46	12.00	47.84	11.34	0.46	5.40
SEm <u>+</u>	2.517	0.55	2.80	0.40	0.02	0.231
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	0.83*	NS	NS
CV%	6.24	6.11	7.41	5.09	7.68	5.91

Table 1. Effect of different spacings and nutrient levels on vegetative growth of onion at 90 days after transplanting.

 $\frac{1}{L_1 - 80 \text{kg N} + 40 \text{kg k}_2 \text{O} \text{ha}^{-1}, L_2 - 120 \text{kg N} + 60 \text{kg k}_2 \text{O} \text{ha}^{-1}, L_3 - 160 \text{kg N} + 80 \text{kg k}_2 \text{O} \text{ha}^{-1}, L_4 - 200 \text{kg N} + 100 \text{kg k}_2 \text{O} \text{ha}^{-1}.$ 

Table 2. Effect of different spacings and nutrient levels on Bulb	vield (t h	na <sup>-1</sup> )of onion at harvesting
Table E. Encot of antoronic opacinge and nation to vole on Balb	<i>y</i> 1010 (t1	

N and K <sub>2</sub> O levels				
2 –	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean
L <sub>1</sub>	3.49	7.53	9.23	6.75
L <sub>2</sub>	6.21	8.37	11.83	8.80
L <sub>3</sub>	6.93	9.96	12.99	9.96
$L_4$	7.07	10.10	13.14	10.10
Mean	5.92	8.99	11.80	
	SEm <u>+</u>	CD at 5%	F-test	CV%
S	0.163	0.339*	S	4.59
L	0.189	0.391*	S	
SxL	0.327	0.678*	S	

 $\rm L_1$ -80kg N+40kg  $\rm k_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $\rm L_2$ -120kg N+60kg  $\rm k_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $\rm L_3$ -160kg N+80kg  $\rm k_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>,

 $L_{4}^{2}$ -200kg N+100kg k<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>.

and weight of bulb. These results are in agreement with the findings of Sirohi *et al.* (1992) and Srivastava *et al.* (1996).

The plant height, number of leaves, foliage length, and pseudostem diameter, chlorophyll content and dry matter production were significantly higher at highest dose of nutrient level,  $L_4$  (200 kg N + 80 kg  $P_2O_5$  + 100 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>). The favourable effect of higher dose of nutrient level in promoting the vegetative growth might be due to the fact that the net assimilation rate was accelerated by the increased chlorophyll content at their higher doses. These findings are in conformity with Neeraja *et al.* (1999) and Dharmendra Kumar *et al.* (2001).

Significantly highest bulb yield per hectare was recorded with higher dose of nutrient level, i.e.  $L_4$  (200 kg N + 80 kg  $P_2O_5$  + 100 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increased yield per hectare due to higher assimilation rate due to availability of sufficient nutrients which promoted higher vegetative growth and accumulation of photosynthates which inturn would have increased the bulb weight and there by yield per plot. These results are in accordance with those reported by Madan and Sandhu (1985).

The interaction of certain spacings and nutrient levels had significant effect on bulb yield (Table 2). The narrow spacing ( $30 \times 15 \text{ cm}$ ) with higher dose of nutrients ( $200 \text{ kg N} : 80 \text{ kg P}_2O_5$ : 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded maximum bulb yield per plot. These results are in conformity with Mehla *et al.* (1992) and Mehla and Mangat Ram (1995).

The results of the present investigation clearly suggested that spacing of  $30 \times 15$  cm and application of 200 kg N :  $80 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5$ :  $100 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> would be optimum for realizing better growth and bulb yield in onion cv.N-53 under the coastal sandy loam conditions of Bapatla region.

#### LTIERATURE CITED

Dharmendra Kumar, Sanjay Kumar and Ajay Kumar 2001 Effect of different levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Agricultural Science Digest 21(2) : 121-123.

- Kharchenko A I 1970 Some physiological and biochemical characteristics of onion hybrids. Sbronik Trudor Aspirantov Molodykh Nauchnykh Sotrudnikov, Leningard 70 : 325-331.
- Kumar H, Singh J V, Ajay Kumar and Mahak Singh 1998 Studies on the effect of spacing on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Patna Red. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research 32(2): 134-138.
- Madan S P and Sandhu J S 1985 Influence of nitrogen and Potassium levels on the growth, bulb yield and dry matter production of onion variety Punjab-48 Vegetable Grower 20 : 17 – 24.
- Mehla C P and Mangat Ram 1995 Effect of nitrogen levels and spacings on growth, yield and quality of Kharif onion. Progressive Horticulture 27(3-4): 201-204.
- Mehla C P, Baswana K S and Saharan B S 1992 Effect of row spacing and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of onion. Progressive Horticulture 25: 139 – 141.
- Neeraja G, Reddy K M, Reddy I P and Reddy Y N 1999 Effect of irrigation on growth, yield and yield attributes of rabi onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in A.P. Vegetable Science 26(1) : 64-68
- Panse V G and Sukhatme P V 1978 Statistical methods for Agricultural Workers. IARI, New Delhi.
- Shrivastava R K, Verma B K, Dwivedi S K and Dwivedi Y C 1996 Response of onion varieties to plant spacing in relation to yield attributes and bulb productivity. Veg.Sci., 23(1): 26-29.
- Sirohi H S, Rohal S V and Satish 1992 A note on the effect of different levels of nitrogen and plant spacing on growth, yield and quality of Kharif onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Haryana Journal of Horticulture Science 21 : 113-114.