

Membrane Processing of Sugarcane juice

M Siva, P Ramseh Babu, J Dayal Prasad Babu, V Srinivasa Rao and K Jaya Lalitha

Department of Genetic and Plant Breeding, Agricultural College, Bapatla 522 101

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted with an objective to estimate the variability, heritability and genetic advance of 31 rice genotypes (twenty hybrids, nine parents and two checks) for 17 morphological and physiological traits. The results revealed that high heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed for number of effective tillers per plant, number of filled grains per panicle, number of ill filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, LAD at 60-80 DAT, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, root shoot ratio and grain yield per plant suggesting the role of additive gene effect while the traits *viz.*, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, SCMR at 80 DAT and harvest index are governed by both additive and non additive gene effect. Low estimates for both heritability and genetic advance were shown by SLA at 80 DAT and SLW at 80 DAT indicating the role of non additive gene action.

Key words: Heritability, Genetic advance, Rice, Variability.

Rice is a staple food for half of the world's population and more than 60% of Indian population.. In India rice is cultivated in an area of 43.95 mha with a production of 104.80 mt and with an average productivity of 2392 kgha⁻¹. Andhra Pradesh has an area, production and productivity of 3.80 mha, 11.57 mt and 2856 kgha-1, respectively (Indiastat, 2014-15). Knowledge on the nature and magnitude of the genetic variation governing the inheritance of quantitative characters like yield and its components is essential for effective genetic improvement. A critical analysis of the genetic variability parameters viz., Genotypic Coefficient of Variability (GCV), Phenotypic Coefficient of Variability (PCV), heritability and genetic advance for different traits of economic importance is a major pre-requisite for any plant breeder to work with crop improvement programmes. Thus the present study was conducted to know the variability parameters for yield, yield contributing and physiological traits in rice.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Evaluation of 20 hybrids generated from crossing five lines and four testers in line x tester fashion along with nine parents and two checks (NLR40024 and NLR30491) following Randomized Block Design with two replications was carried out at Agricultural Research Station, Nellore during kharif and rabi 2015-16. All the 31 genotypes were grown in three rows of 3.15 m length with 20 x 15 cm spacing. Standard agronomic practices were followed to maintain optimum plant population. Data was recorded on ten plants for each replication on nine morphological traits viz., days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of effective tillers per plant, panicle length, number of filled grains per panicle, number of ill-filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant along with eight physiological traits viz., leaf area duration at 60-80 DAT, SPAD chlorophyll meter reading at 80 DAT, specific leaf area at 80 DAT, specific leaf weight at 80 DAT, harvest index, root dry weight, shoot dry weight and root shoot ratio and the mean data was subjected to statistical analysis for genetic parameters such as phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) using the formulae given by Burton (1952). Heritability in broad sense was calculated using the formula given by Hanson et al. (1956) and genetic advance as per cent of mean was estimated by formula suggested by Johnson et al. (1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the genotypes for majority of the characters studied indicating a high degree of variability in the material (Table 1). The genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) values were close to phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) which indicated less influence of environment on expression of these traits (Table 2).

The estimates of PCV and GCV were high for characters viz., Number of ill-filled grains per panicle, root dry weight, shoot dry weight and root shoot ratio, indicating the minimal influence of environment and presence of high genetic variability for these traits in the experimental material. Hence, selection on the basis of phenotype for these traits can be effective. These findings were in accordance with the results of Shrivastava et al. (2014), Shajedur et al. (2015) and Sathya and Jebaraj (2015). High PCV and moderate GCV were observed for number of effective tillers per plant (19.28 and 23.28, respectively), grain yield per plant(19.35 and23.94) and harvest index (16.16 and 21.34). These findings are in accordance with Ramanjanevulu et al. (2014). Moderate PCV and GCV were observed for number of filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and LAD at 60-80 DAT. These observations were in conformity with the results of Khare et al. (2014) for filled grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight. Moderate PCV and low GCV were observed for panicle length and SLA at 80 DAT. Similar result for panicle length was also reported by Hossain et al. (2015). While low PCV and GCV were observed for days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant height, SCMR at 80 DAT and SLW at 80 DAT indicating low variability for these characters in the present experimental material and therefore little scope for improvement of these traits. Similar trend was observed by Khare et al. (2014) for days to 50% flowering and days to maturity, Hossain et al. (2015) for plant height and Khatun et al. (2015) for SCMR.

Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are more helpful in predicting the genetic gain under selection than heritability estimates alone. Heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean were high for the characters *viz.*, Number of effective tillers per plant, number of filled grains per panicle, number of ill-filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, LAD at 60-80 DAT, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, root shoot ratio and grain yield per plant indicating that these characters were less influenced by environment and governed by additive gene action which may be exploited through breeding methods involving simple selection. Similar results of high heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed by Khatun *et al.* (2015) for the traits number of effective tillers per plant, number of filled grains per panicle, number of ill-filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant, Sathya and Jebaraj (2015) for root dry weight and root shoot ratio and Ukaoma *et al.* (2013) for shoot dry weight.

High heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for days to 50% flowering (91.0 and 14.27, respectively). Khatun et al. (2015) reported similar results for days to 50% flowering. High heritability coupled with low genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for days to maturity (89.0 and 9.62), plant height (61.0 and 8.6) and SCMR at 80 DAT (64.0 and 8.26), Verma et al. (2011) obtained similar results for plant height and days to maturity. Moderate heritability (57.0) coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean (25.2) was observed for harvest index. While moderate heritability (44.0) coupled with low genetic advance as per cent of mean (9.71) were observed for panicle length, Sathya and Jebaraj (2015) reported similar results for panicle length. These results indicate the role of both additive and non-additive gene actions in the inheritance of these traits thus these traits can be improved by population improvement methods.

Low heritability coupled with low genetic advance as per cent of mean were observed for SLA at 80 DAT (4.0 and 1.0, respectively) and SLW at 80 DAT (9.0 and 1.92) indicating the role of nonadditive gene actions in the inheritance of these traits and can be improved by heterosis breeding. Similar results for SLA at 80 DAT and SLW at 80 DAT were obtained by Abarshahr *et al.* (2011).

In, conclusion this study helps the breeder to decide breeding programme i.e. simple selection for number of effective tillers per plant, number of filled grains per panicle, number of ill-filled grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, LAD at 60-80 DAT, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, root shoot ratio and grain yield per plant, population improvement for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, SCMR at 80 DAT and harvest index, and heterosis breeding for SLA at 80 DAT and SLW at 80 DAT.

•
L.
pa
ati
a s
ryZ(1
9
e
Ľ
ш.
its
tra
al
gic
olc
ysi
ph.
þ
an
nts
nei
bo
E
ວ
eld
yi
d,
iel
Ľ,
\mathbf{fo}
lce
lan
ari
Ę
0
∕SiS
aly
An
•
1
ble
La

Source of variations										60-80 DAT
					Mea	n sum of squ	ares			
Replications Entries	130	8.5323 03 7157**	19.7581 80.0183**	9.6577 38.3000**	1.1138	0.1310 * 7.4032**	0.7458 * 1600.200≉:	20.2123 * AAA 267**	0.1756 16 0003**	3510.035 13305 53**
Error	30	4.2989	4.7581	9.4006	2.0235	2.9126	203.1161	15.3109	0.2261	1701.7217
Source of variations	d.f.	SCMR at 80 DAT	SLA at DAT (c)	80 SLV m ² /g) DA1	√ at 80 ∩ (g/cm ²)		RDW (g)	SDW (g)	RSR	GYP (g)
					Mea	n sum of squ	lares			
Replications Entries	130	2.2458 17 982**	80.3929	0.0	00043 00027	12.9907 141 03**	0.2633 8.0001**	78.6489 483 80**	0.0000	2.3265 87 2264**
Error	30	2.8435	42.6933	0.00	00023	38.2423	0.9610	51.7144	0.0001	18.2795

number of filled grains per panicle, NIFGP- number of ill-filled grains per panicle, 1000 GW- 1000 grain weight, LAD- leaf area duration, SCMR-spad chlorophyll meter reading, SLA- specific leaf area, SLW- specific leaf weight, HI- harvest index, RDW- root dry weight, SDW- shoot dry DFF- days to 50% flowering, DM- days to maturity, PH- plant height, NEPT- number of effective tillers per plant, PL- panicle length, NFGPweight, RSR- root shoot ratio, GYP- grain yield per plant

345	5

S. No.	Character	Mean	Range		Coefficient of variation		Heritability	Genetic
			Minimum	Maximum	GCV% (%)	PCV% (%)	sense)	per cent of mean
1.	DFF	91.95	82.50	109.00	7.25	7.59	91	14.27
2.	DM	123.82	115.50	139.50	4.95	5.26	89	9.62
3.	PH (cm)	74.37	66.88	83.50	5.11	6.57	61	8.26
4.	NETP	12.51	8.09	19.83	19.28	22.38	74	34.29
5.	PL (cm)	20.97	17.20	25.20	7.14	10.83	44	9.71
6.	NFGP	159.29	105.05	218.30	16.59	18.85	77	30.10
7.	NIFGP	27.48	9.00	82.70	53.30	55.17	93	106.10
8.	1000 GW (g)	16.08	11.33	23.05	18.00	18.24	97	36.59
9.	LAD at 60-80 DAT	657.69	480.50	871.93	11.58	13.17	77	20.97
10.	SCMR at 80 DAT	44.94	40.70	50.00	5.01	6.26	64	8.26
11.	SLA at 80 DAT (cm ² /	/g) 63.19	52.36	75.76	2.17	10.57	04	01
12.	SLW at 80 DAT (g/cm	n^2) 0.0160	0.0133	0.0191	3.04	9.89	09	1.92
13.	HI	44.36	25.46	68.03	16.16	21.34	57	25.20
14.	RDW (g)	7.08	3.90	12.69	26.51	29.91	79	48.40
15.	SDW (g)	71.51	45.52	101.04	20.55	22.88	81	38.03
16.	RSR	0.10	0.054	0.18	26.27	28.56	85	49.75
17.	GYP (g)	30.34	17.33	42.00	19.35	23.94	65	32.23

 Table 2. Estimates of variability, heritability and genetic advance as per cent mean for grain yield, yield components and physiological traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).

DFF- days to 50% flowering, DM- days to maturity, PH- plant height, NEPT- number of effective tillers per plant, PL- panicle length, NFGP- number of filled grains per panicle, NIFGP- number of ill-filled grains per panicle, 1000 GW- 1000 grain weight, LAD- leaf area duration, SCMR- spad chlorophyll meter reading, SLA- specific leaf area, SLW- specific leaf weight, HI- harvest index, RDW- root dry weight, SDW- shoot dry weight, RSR- root shoot ratio, GYP- grain yield per plant

LITERATURE CITED

- Abarshahr M, Babak R and Habibollah S L 2011 Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in rice under optimum and stress irrigation regimes. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, 3 (4):134-142..
- Burton G W 1952 Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceedings of the 6th International Grassland Congress, Pennsylvania State College, USA, 23 August 1952. 277-283.
- Hossain S, Maksudul H M D and Jamilur R 2015 Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of morphological traits in some extinct local aman rice (*Oryza* sativa L). Journal of Rice Research, 3: 158.

- Hanson G H, Robinson H F and Comstock R E 1956 Biochemical studies of yield in segregating populations of Korean Lespedeza. Agronomy Journal, 48: 267-272.
- Indiastat. 2014-15.http://www.Indiastat.com.
- Johnson H W, Robinson H F and Comstock R E 1955 Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in Soybean. Agronomy Journal, 47: 314-318.
- Khare R, Singh A K, Eram S and Singh P K
 2014 Genetic variability, association and diversity analysis in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, 12 (2): 40-51.

- Khatun T M, Mohamed M H, Mohd R Y, Wong M Y, Faezah M L and Jannatul F
 2015 Genetic variation, heritability and diversity analysis of upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes based on quantitative traits. *Bio-Med Research International*, 10: 1-7.
- Ramanjaneyulu A V, Gouri Shankar V, Neelima T L and Shashibhusahn D 2014 Genetic analysis of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes under aerobic conditions on alfisols. *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics*, 46 (1) 99-111.
- Sathya R and Jebaraj S 2015 Evaluation of aerobic hybrid analysis of combining ability in three line hybrids in Rice (Oryza sativa L.) under aerobic conditions. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 10 (18): 1971-1981.
- Shajedur H, Maksudul H M D and Jamilur R 2015 Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of morphological traits in some extinct local aman rice (*Oryza* sativa L). Journal of Rice Research, 3: 158.

- Shrivastava A, Mishra D K, Koutu G K and Singh S K 2014 Heritability and genetic advance estimation from parental lines of hybrid rice. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 3 (7): 2277-8179.
- Ukaoma A, Augustina Okocha P, Iwunor Okechukwu R I 2013 Heritability and character correlation among some rice genotypes for yield and yield components. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics*, 1 (2): 73-84.
- Verma G P O P, Verma G P, Narendra Pratap, Manoj Kumar, Chaudhary R K and Karan Singh 2011 Genetic variability, heritability and divergence studies in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under sodic soil. *Environment and Ecology*, 29 (3b): 1597-1600.

(Received on 18.06.2016 and revised on 04.03.2017)