

Influence of Integrated Weed Management Practices and Bio-Fertilizers on Chlorophyll Content of *Kharif* Soybean [*Glycine max (L.) Merill*] in Southern Telangana Agro- Climatic Zone

CH Bharat Bhushan Rao and S A Hussain

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500 030

ABSTRACT

A field experiment entitled "Influence of integrated weed management practices and bio-fertilizers on chlorophyll content of *kharif* soybean [*Glycine max (L.) Merill*] in southern Telangana agro- climatic zone" was conducted at the Agricultural College farm, Rajendranagar. Hyderabad, Telangana State during 2014 and 2015. In the present investigation, the chlorophyll content cm⁻² and mg⁻¹ fresh weight of green leaves exhibited significant differences due to weed management treatments the leaves in the un-weeded crop had maximum chlorophyll content of 139.11 n moles cm⁻² and 2.16 mg⁻¹ fresh weight of leaves in 2014. The chlorophyll content was 142.33 n moles cm⁻² and 2.22 mg⁻¹during 2015.Hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAS or the integrated weed management treatments did not change the chlorophyll remarkably. But, the pre and post emergence herbicide treatments significantly reduced the chlorophyll concentration were not influenced by the bio-fertilizers at any stage in the two years. The interactions due to weed management treatments and bio-fertilizers were also not significant.

Key words: Bio-Fertilizers, Chlorophyll Content, Integrated Weed Management Practices.

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merill] is a miracle golden bean of the 20th century. It occupies third place among oilseed crops of Telangana State. It is a rich source of protein (40-42 %) and quality oil (20-22%). Protein is rich in valuable amino acid with 5% lycine. It also contains good amount of minerals, salts and vitamins. The crop weeding should be done during a short period of 3-4 weeks after sowing the crop. This will eliminate or minimize the crop-weed competition during the critical phase of 4-6weeks (Gethe et al., 2011; Peer et al., 2013 and Jha et al., 2014). Use of growth promoting rhizobacteria including phosphate and potassium solubulizing bacteria maintains biochemical, metabolic functions in plants and also maintains soil fertility and soil health but lower phosphorus use efficiency limits crop yield and economic returns.Leaf chlorophyll concentration is an important parameter frequently measured as an indication of chloroplast development, photosynthetic capacity, leaf nitrogen content or general plant health (Ling et al. 2011).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment entitled "Influence of integrated weed management practices and bio-

fertilizers on chlorophyll content of *kharif* soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merill] in southern Telangana agro- climatic zone" was conducted at the Agricultural College Farm, Rajendranagar, hyderabad during 2014 and 2015. The soil was sandy loam in texture having 7.8 pH and EC 0.21 d S m⁻¹. It was very poor in nutrient status with 0.35% OC and 226 kg ha-1 available N, available P was 18 kg ha⁻¹and available K was 236 kg ha⁻¹. The layout was a split plot design. The main treatments were : (W1) Pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (a) 1.0 kg a.i ha⁻¹ followed by hand weeding 25 DAS, (W2) Pre emergence application of pendimethalin @1.0 kg a.i ha⁻¹ followed by postemergence application of imazethapyr @ 100 g a.i ha⁻¹+ guizalofop-p-ethyl @ 50 g a.i ha⁻¹ 25DAS, (W3) Pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (a)1.0 kg a.i ha⁻¹ followed by post-emergence application of odyssey i.e. imazethapyr + imazamox@ 70 g a.i ha-1 at 25 DAS, (W4) Hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAS and (W5) un-weeded check. The sub plot treatments comprising of (F1) Recommended dose of fertilizers @ 30:60:40 kg ha-1 NPK, (F2) RDF+ seed treatment with rhizobium @ 250g10kg-1seed, (F3) RDF+seed treatment with rhizobium @ 250g10kg⁻¹ seed +

phosphate solubilizing bacteria (a) 5 kg ha⁻¹, (F4) RDF + seed treatment with rhizobium @ 250 g10 kg⁻¹ seed + phosphate solubilizing bacteria (a) 5 kg $ha^{-1} + potassium$ solubilizing bacteria (a) 5kg ha^{-1} . Recommended fertilizer dose of 30:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ NPK was calculated for the dimensions of each sub plot and applied at the time of sowing in the form of urea. Single super phosphate and muriate of potash. Seed rate was (a) 63 kg ha⁻¹. The bio fertilizers- brady rhizobium japonica and phosphate solubilising bacteria were mixed as per the treatment in jaggery solution prepared @ 250 g for 10 kg seed. The seed was thoroughly mixed with the solution and shade dried. The potassium solubilising bacteria were applied @ 5 kg ha⁻¹ after mixing with FYM. The seeds were dibbled at the rate of two per hill 10 cm apart in 30cm interval. The crop was sown on 10th July in 2014 and 18th June in 2015. The SPAD-502 meter was used to record the readings on 10 green leaves from 5 plants in each treatment. The measurements were made on 30, 60 DAS and at harvest. The instrument measures the transmission of red light at 650 nm at which chlorophyll absorbs light and transmission of infra red light at 940 nm at which no absorption occurs.

The chlorophyll concentration was measured from the SPAD values developed by Ling *et al.*, 2011. The total chlorophyll per unit area (n moles cm⁻²) was worked out from the equation Y= 0.0419x²+1.6475x+1.5239 and R²=0.99.

The chlorophyll concentration (n moles mg^{1}) fresh weight of leaf tissue was obtained from the relationship Y= 0.0007 x² + 0.0230 x+ 0.0544 and R²=0.9809

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results obtained in the field experiment entitled"Influence of integrated weed management practices and biofertilizers on chlorophyll content of *kharif* soybean [*Glycine max (L.) Merill*] in southern Telangana agro- climatic zone". Leaf chlorophyll concentration is an important parameter frequently measured as an indication of chloroplast development, photosynthetic capacity, leaf nitrogen content or general plant health . In the present investigation, the chlorophyll content cm⁻² and mg⁻¹ fresh weight of green leaves exhibited significant differences due to weed management treatments at the crucial pod formation and development stage of the crop at 60 DAS (Table 4.13). Maximum chlorophyll of 139.11 n mole cm⁻² and 2.16 n mole mg⁻¹ fresh weights of leaves were recorded in the un-weeded check during 2014. The chlorophyll content was 142.33 n mole cm² and 2.22 n mole mg⁻¹ fresh leaf weights in this treatment during 2015. These values were not significantly different from hand weeding on 25 and 45 DAS. The chlorophyll content based on the leaf area and fresh weights was on par due to integrated weed management by the pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (a) 1.0 kg a.i ha⁻¹ and hand weeding with these treatments. Complete dependence on the use of herbicides deterred the chlorophyll content. The pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (a)1.0 kg a.i ha⁻¹ followed by post emergence application of imazethapyr (a) 100 g a.i ha⁻¹ combined with quizalofop-p-ethyl (a) 50 g a.iha⁻¹ significantly reduced the leaf chlorophyll to 124.68 and 126.34 n mole cm⁻² during 2014 and 2015. It measured 1.93 and 1.96 n mole mg⁻¹ fresh weight during the corresponding years. Similarly, application of pre-emergence application of pendimethalin (a) 1.0 kg a.i ha⁻¹ followed by post emergence application of odyssey (a) 70 g a.i ha⁻¹ drastically reduced the chlorophyll to 128.90 and 131.62 n moles cm⁻² and to 2.00 and 2.04 n mole mg⁻¹ fresh weight during the two years. Chamate et al. (2002) also reported that the chlorophyll per cent in leaves did not vary significantly due to weed management treatments and the un-weeded check at 25 and 50 DAS.

The application of recommended dose of $30:60:40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ N}:P_2\text{O}_5:\text{K}_2\text{O}$ led to the chlorophyll assimilation to 129.49 and 129.66 n mole cm⁻²at 60 days during 2014 and 2015. The chlorophyll content was 2.01 n moles mg⁻¹ fresh weight of leaf during both years. The chlorophyll improvement owing to the inoculation of *Rhizobium*, *pseudomonas* and potassium solubilizing bacteria to 137.65 and 141.51 n mole cm⁻²; 2.14 and 2.20 n mole mg⁻¹ fresh weight was not significant over the fertilizers. The results indicated that the optimum dose of fertilizer application was enough to accumulation sufficient chlorophyll in the leaves. The interaction due to weed and nutrient management treatments were not significant.

veeu munagement treutments and bio	2014			2015		
Treatment	30 DAS	60 DAS	At Harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	At Harvest
Weed Management						
W1:PE Pendimethalin @1kg a.i ha-1fb	28.09	40.54	50.97	27.49	40.58	51.67
Hand weeding at 25 DAS	(80.92)	(137.35)	(194.55)	(78.67)	(137.58)	(198.61)
	{1.25}	{2.14}	{3.04}	{1.22}	{2.14}	{3.11}
W2:PE Pendimethalin @1kg a.i ha ⁻¹ fb	26.65	37.95	51.20	26.93	38.29	51.70
PoE Imazethapyr @100 g $a.i$ ha ⁻¹⁺	(75.43)	(124.68)	(195.84)	(76.53)	(126.34)	(198.84)
Quizalofop- P-ethyl $@50$ g $a.i$ ha ⁻¹ 25 DAS	{1.16}	{1.93}	{3.07}	{1.18}	{1.96}	{3.11}
W3:PE Pendimethalin @1kg a.i ha-1fb	27.41	38.83	51.19	27.72	39.37	50.83
PoE Imazethapyr + Imazamox@ 70	(78.34)	(128.90)	(195.82)	(79.50)	(131.62)	(193.66)
g <i>a.i</i> ha ⁻¹ 25DAS	{1.21}	{2.00}	{3.06}	{1.23}	{2.04}	{3.03}
W4: Hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAS	28.41	40.72	50.92	28.59	41.20	51.07
	(82.28)	(138.17)	(194.20)	(82.06)	(140.66)	(195.11)
	{1.27}	{2.15}	{3.04}	{1.28}	{2.19}	{3.05}
W5: Unweeded check	25.90	40.87	51.01	25.83	41.50	51.24
	(72.61)	(139.11)	(194.71)	(72.24)	(142.33)	(196.11)
	{1.12}	{2.16}	{3.05}	{1.12}	{2.22}	{3.07}
SE±	4.44	2.77	3.08	3.98	3.13	3.21
CD (P=0.05)	NS	6.4	NS	NS	7.3	NS
SE±	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05
CD (P=0.05)	NS	0.10	NS	NS	0.11	NS
Bio-fertilizers						
F1: Fertilizers @ 30:60:40 kg ha ⁻¹	27.04	38.93	51.00	26.36	38.96	50.83
N:P ₂ O ₅ :K ₂ O	(76.87)	(129.49)	(194.78)	(74.25)	(129.66)	(193.79)
	{1.19}	{2.01}	{3.05}	{1.15}	{2.01}	{3.03}
F2: F1 + Rhizobium $@250g 10 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ seed	26.99	39.20	51.05	27.21	39.70	51.18
	(76.67)	(130.83)	(195.05)	(77.54)	(133.35)	(195.80)
	{1.18}	{2.03}	{3.05}	{1.20}	$\{2.07\}$	{3.06}
F3:F2 + Phosphate solubilising bacteria@	27.54	40.40	50.82	27.71	40.73	51.44
5 kg ha ⁻¹	(78.86)	(136.61)	(193.48)	(79.49)	(138.31)	(197.22)
	{1.22}	{2.12}	{3.03}	{1.23}	{2.15}	{3.09}
F4:F3+ Potassium solubilising bacteria @	27.60	40.61	51.37	27.97	41.36	51.75
5 kg ha^{-1}	(79.24)	(137.65)	(196.80)	(80.71)	(141.51)	(199.05)
	{1.22}	{2.14}	{3.08}	{1.25}	$\{2.20\}$	{3.11}
SE±	3.12	4.31	4.15	3.37	4.50	4.12
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
SE±	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Weed Management x Bio-fertilizer						
SE±	6.99	9.64	9.29	7.55	10.06	9.22
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
SE±	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.14
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 1. Chlorophyll content (n mole cm^{-2}) and {n mole mg^{-1} fresh weight} as influenced by weed management treatments and bio-fertilizers during 2014 and 2015

Figures outside the brackets are SPAD values

LITERATURE CITED

- Chamate N W, Kumbhalkar H B, Chafale B S and Ommala D Kuchanwar 2002 Effect of herbicides on growth, quality and yield of soybean grown in vertisols. Journal of Soil and Crops, 12(1) 127-130.
- Gethe R M, Dingre S K, Pawar D D and Sonawane S V 2011 Effect of weed management on soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merrill) .Bioinfolet, 8 (3): 278-279.
- Ling Qihua, Weihua Huang and Paul Jarvis 2011Use of a SPAD-502 meter to measure leaf chlorophyll concentration in Arabidopsis thaliana.Photosynthesis Research, 107:209–214.
- Peer F A, Badrul Hassan, Lone B A, Sameera Qayoom, Latief Ahmad, Khanday B A and Purshotam Singh 2013 Effect of weed control methods on yield and yield attributes of Singh and Gurdeep Soybean. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 8(48): 6135-6141.
- Jha B K, Chandra R and Rohitashav Singh 2014 Influence of post emergence herbicides on weeds, nodulation and yields of soybean and soil properties. Legume Research, 37 (1): 47 - 54,

(Received on 23.01.2017 and revised on 20.03.2017)