

Mycoflora Population and Species Dynamics in selected Vegetable Crop Nurseries

Pavani M, Patibanda A K, Srinivas T and Ramachandra Rao G

Department of Plant Pathology, Agricultural College, Bapatla 522 101, Andhra Pradesh

ABSTRACT

Eighty fungal isolates belonging to twenty five genera were obtained on potato dextrose agar from rhizosphere and bulk soils of egg plant, cauliflower and tomato nurseries. From rhizosphere soil of egg plant, cauliflower and tomato nurseries and bulk soil assessed, egg plant and cauliflower rhizosphere gave better support to soil mycoflora with higher Rhizosphere and Soil ratio (R:S). Tomato rhizosphere was found to offer lesser support to the soil mycoflora with lower R:S ratio. Thirty four isolates belonging to fourteen genera, twenty isolates belonging to eight genera and twenty six isolates belonging to ten genera were obtained from egg plant, cauliflower and tomato nursery systems respectively. Among these, *A. niger, A. flavus, Fusarium, Macrophomina* and *Phoma* were all appeared by 10th day in all the three test crops rhizosphere indicating their better rhizosphere colonizing ability as primary colonizers. Native *Trichoderma* sp. could be isolated in the rhizosphere of only egg plant system 20 days after sowing and hence regarded as a secondary colonizer which required stimulus from rhizosphere. *Trichoderma* population was found nil in the rhizosphere soils of tomato and cauliflower indicating that these crops could not stimulate growth of native *Trichoderma* species.

Key words: Bulk soil, Population dynamics, Rhizosphere soil.

Rhizosphere is the zone/region of soil immediately surrounding the plant roots together with root surfaces and is subjected to the influence of plant roots. The rhizosphere region is a highly favorable habitat for the proliferation, activity and metabolism of numerous microorganisms. Ratio between the mycoflora population in rhizosphere and that in bulk soil (Rhizosphere effect or R:S ratio) represents the ability of the plant roots supporting various mycoflora. The R:S ratio of fungal population is believed to be narrow in most of the plants, usually not exceeding 10 (Pandey and Palni, 2007). Higher R:S value indicates greater support to the mycoflora. However, some species may be favoured more compared to other in the rhizosphere depending upon type and age of the crop and also on soil type. The zoospore forming lower fungi such as Phytophthora, Pythium, Aphanomyces are strongly attracted to the roots in response to particular chemical compounds excreted by the roots and cause diseases under favourable conditions. Belanger and Avis (2002) stated that in natural systems, the microbial communities (saprophytes, endophytes, epiphytes, pathogens and beneficial microorganisms) tend to

live in relative harmony where all populations generally balance each other out in their quest for food and space. In the present investigation, mycoflora population was monitored from the rhizosphere soil and compared with bulk soil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Egg plant (cv. Bapatla Local), cauliflower (cv S-22) and tomato (cv Arka Vikas) nurseries were grown on raised beds in sandy loam soils of Agricultural College, Bapatla during 2011-12. Seedlings were pulled carefully from the soil at 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 days after sowing and gently tapped to remove pebbles and soil attached to the root system. Afterwards, soil closely adhered to the root system was considered as rhizosphere soil and collected by vigorously shaking the roots. Such rhizosphere soil collected from individual plants was then bulked, thoroughly mixed and used for monitoring fungal population using serial dilution method on PDA. One ml of soil suspension was spread on ten PDA plates @ 0.1ml per plate. Such spread plates were incubated at 28+1°C. Samples were analysed crop wise and period wise. Soil samples were also collected from bulk soil (where

Table 1. Rhizosphere Effect Of Test Crop Nurseries	Table 1.	Rhizosphere	Effect Of	f Test Cro	op Nurseries
--	----------	-------------	-----------	------------	--------------

Days After Sowing		Egg Plaı	nt	Ca	auliflower	r	r	Tomato	
	R	S	RE	R	S	RE	R	S	RE
$10^{\rm th}$	42.0	7.0	6.0	42.0	13.0	3.2	14.0	4.0	3.5
15^{th}	38.0	12.0	3.2	72.0	14.0	5.1	45.0	8.0	5.6
20^{th}	25.0	12.0	2.1	36.0	13.0	2.8	20.0	7.0	2.9
25^{th}	45.0	12.0	3.8	33.0	9.0	3.7	11.0	11.0	1.0
30^{th}	64.0	20.0	3.2	77.0	29.0	2.7	32.0	29.0	1.1
Mean	42.8	12.6	3.4	52	15.6	3.3	24.4	11.8	2.1

Figures are total soil mycoflora expressed as X x 10³ cfu/g soil R- Rhizosphere soil S- Bulk soil RE- Rhizosphere effect

no crop was taken up) 15cm away from seedlings but within 50cm of nursery area whenever rhizosphere isolations were made. Total fungal colony forming units (cfu) were counted from all the ten plates and presented in the Tables 1 to 4. Fungal identification was done following Domsch *et al* (1980) and Watanabe (2001).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variation occurred in the total population of soil mycoflora when isolations were made from bulk soil depending upon time of isolation. Fungal cfu varied from 4 to 29 x 10³ cfu/g in bulk soil (Table 1). In general soil mycoflora population increased from 10th day to 30th day. This indicated that soil is dynamic and the mycoflora population keeps changing. Hence, whenever rhizosphere soil was collected simultaneous isolations were also made from bulk soil near the respective crop nursery and R:S ratios were calculated.

Soil fungal population and species dynamics in egg plant nursery

Total fungal colony forming units (cfu) in bulk soil varied from 7-20 x 10³ cfu/g soil with maximum obtained on 30th day and least on 10th day when egg plant nursery was taken up. In egg plant rhizosphere, total fungal flora varied between 25-64 x 10³ cfu/g rhizosphere soil with maximum obtained on 30th day and least on 20th day (Table 2). The fungal population in rhizosphere soil is higher than that in bulk soil on any day of observation. Buyer *et al.* (2002) reported that culturable bacteria and fungi had larger population densities in the rhizosphere than in bulk soil.

No specific trend was observed in the population of rhizosphere mycoflora with increase in the age of the seedlings. The egg plant rhizosphere effect, calculated based on rhizosphere mycoflora population and bulk soil mycoflora population, varied between a minimum of 2.1 (20th day) to a maximum of 6.0 (10th day) (Table 1). On an average, 42.8×10^4 cfu/g soil was obtained from brinjal rhizosphere which is higher than 12.6×10^3 cfu/g in bulk soil with an R:S ratio of 3.4.

Thirty four isolates belonging to fourteen genera, viz., Aspergillus, Botryodiplodia, Chaetomium, Coniothyrium, Curvularia, Fusarium, Humicola, Macrophomina, Metarrhizium, Mortierella, Phoma, Rhizoctonia, Sordaria and Trichoderma were obtained from egg plant rhizosphere (Table 1). Of these, Aspergillus niger, Chaetomium, Fusarium, Humicola, Macrophomina, Mortierella, Phoma, Rhizoctonia and Sordaria appeared in both rhizosphere and bulk soils. Genera that appeared only in rhizosphere soil but not in bulk soil include two species of Aspergillus, i.e., A. terreus and A. Botryodiplodia, flavus, Coniothyrium, Curvularia, Metarrhizium and Trichoderma. Further, only 12 isolates belonging to nine genera namely A. niger, A. flavus, Botryodiplodia, Fusarium, Coniothyrium, Curvularia, Macrophomina, Phoma and Rhizoctonia were found associated with 10 day old egg plant rhizosphere indicating their early colonizing abilities of rhizosphere. Fungi capable of capturing the primary resource are called "Ruderal species" (Cooke and Rayner, 1984) or r-stratagists (Campbell, 1989). Fungi that failed to capture

Table 2. Mycoflora population and species dynamics of egg plant nursery soil.

Aspergillus terrens													
Aspergillus flavus AI, 0 1 0 Aspergillus flavus AI, 3 1 2 0 Aspergillus niger An, 3 1 2 0 Bapergillus niger An, 3 1 2 0 0 Chaetomium sp Ch, 0 1 0 0 0 0 Chaetomium sp Ch, 0 1 0<				10th day		20th day	25 th day	30th day	10th day	15 th day	20th day	25th day	30th day
Aspergillus flavus Ai, and a produpted and a percentian singer. Air and	1	Aspergillus terreus	At,	0	0	-	0	0	ı	1	ı	ı	1
Aspergillus niger An, benyodiplodia 3 3 1 2 4 Chaetomium sp Ch, coniothyrum 2 1 0 0 0 Chaetomium sp Ch, coniothyrum 2 1 0 0 0 Chaetomium sp Ch, coniothyrum 2 1 0 0 0 Curvularia sp Cu, c	2	Aspergillus flavus	$Af_1^{'}$	3	1	2	0	0	1	1	1		1
Botryodiplodia sp Botryodiplodia 2 1 0 0 Chaecomium sp Ch. 0 1 0 0 Chaecomium sp Ch. 0 1 0 0 Chaecomium sp Ch. 0 0 1 1 Curvularia sp Cu. 0 0 0 1 1 Curvularia sp F. 2 0 0 0 1 1 Curvularia sp F. 4 2 1 0 0 0 1	3	Aspergillus niger	An,	3	3	1	2	4	0	2	0	0	_
Chaetomium sp Ch, 1 0 1 0 0 Chaetomium sp Ch, 2 0 1 2 4 Conotohyrium sp Cu, 2 0 0 1 1 Curvularia sp Cu, 2 0 0 1 1 Curvularia sp Cu, 2 0 0 1 1 Curvularia sp Cu, 2 0 0 1 1 Fusarium sp F, 4 2 1 0 0 Fusarium sp F, 5 2 1 0 0 Fusarium sp F, 5 0 0 0 0 Fusarium sp F, 5 0 0 0 0 Fusarium sp F, 5 0 0 0 0 0 Fusarium sp F, 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 Humicola sp Macarentarium sp M, 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	4	Botryodiplodia sp	Botryodiplodia	2	1	0	0	0	ı		1		1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	Chaetomium sp	Ch, C	0	1	0	0	0	1	ı	1	1	1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	Chaetomium sp	Ch,	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	1		2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	Coniothyrium sp	Coniothyrium	2	1	0			ı	1	1		1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	~	Curvularia sp	Cu,	0	2	0	0		ı	1	1		1
Curvalaria sp Cu_3^+ 2 0 0 0 Fisarium sp $F_1^ 4$ 2 1 0 0 Fusarium sp $F_3^ 0$ 0 1 0 0 Fusarium sp F_3^+ 0 0 1 2 1 Fusarium sp F_4^+ 0 0 0 1 1 Hunicola sp 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Hunicola sp 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Hunicola sp 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Hunicola sp 0	6	Curvularia sp	Cu,	0	0	0		-	1	ı	1	1	1
Fusarium sp F_1 4 2 1 0 0 Fusarium sp F_2 2 1 0	10	Curvularia sp	Cu,	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1		1
Fusarium sp F_1^{\perp} 2 1 0 0 Fusarium sp F_2^{\perp} 0 2 1 3 2 Fusarium sp F_3^{\perp} 0 0 0 1 2 1 Humicola sp Hu_1 0 0 0 0 1 1 Humicola sp Hu_1 0 0 0 0 0 3 Humicola sp M_1 3 2 1 0 3 Humicola sp M_1 0 0 0 0 0 0 Macrophomina sp M_1 3 2 1 0 1 Macrophomina sp M_2 0 0 0 0 0 1 Macrophomina sp M_2 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mearrhizum sp M_2 0 0 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp P_2 0 <td>Ξ</td> <td>Fusarium sp</td> <td>, Н</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	Ξ	Fusarium sp	, Н	4	2	1	0	0	2	-	0	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	Fusarium sp	- щ	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	Fusarium sp	$\overline{\Gamma}_{2}^{2}$	0	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	2	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	Fusarium sp	_T	0	0	1	2	-	1	ı	1	1	1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	Fusarium sp	т,	0	0	0	7	11	0	0	0	2	3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	Humicola sp	Hu,	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1		1
Macrophomina sp M_1 3 2 1 0 1 Macrophomina sp M_2 0 3 8 5 2 Macrophomina sp M_3 0 0 0 10 19 Macrophomina sp Metarrhizium 0 0 0 0 1 Moriterella sp Moriterella 0 2 0 0 1 Homa sp P 2 0 2 0 0 0 Phoma sp P 3 2 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp P 4 0 2 2 5 10 Phoma sp P 4 0 2 5 10 0 Phoma sp P 4 0 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp P 8 4 2 1 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R 3 4	17	Humicola sp	Hu,	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
Macrophomina sp M_2 0 3 8 5 2 Macrophomina sp M_3 0 0 0 10 19 Macrophomina sp Metarrhizium 0 0 0 1 19 Mortierella sp Mortierella 0 2 0 0 1 Phoma sp P ₁ 3 2 0 0 0 Phoma sp P ₂ 0 2 0 0 0 Phoma sp P ₄ 0 2 2 5 10 Phoma sp P ₄ 0 2 2 5 10 Phoma sp P ₅ 0 0 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp P ₆ 2 1 0 6 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R ₃ 2 1 0 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R ₄ 2 1 0 0 0	18	Macrophomina sp	M_{\parallel}	3	2	1	0		-	0	0	0	0
Macrophomina sp M_3^- 0 0 0 10 19 Metarrhizium sp Metarrhizium 0 0 0 0 1 Mortierella sp Mortierella 0 2 0 0 1 Phoma sp P 0 2 0 0 0 Phoma sp P 0 2 2 5 10 Phoma sp P 0 2 2 5 10 Phoma sp P 0 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp P 0 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp R 2 1 0 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R 2 1 0 0 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 Sordaria sp S ₁ 0 0 0 0 0 0	19	Macrophomina sp	M,	0	3	8	5	2	0	1	9	1	0
Metarrhizium sp Metarrhizium p Metarrhizium o 0 0 0 1 Mortierella sp Mortierella 0 2 0 0 0 Phoma sp P ₁ 3 2 0 0 0 Phoma sp P ₄ 0 2 2 5 10 Phoma sp P ₄ 0 2 2 10 0 Phoma sp P ₅ 0 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp P ₆ 0 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp P ₆ 0 0 0 0 0 0 Phoma sp P ₆ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R ₁ 2 1 0 0 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R ₄ 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sordaria sp Trichoderma sp Trichoderma sp	20	Macrophomina sp	$M_{_3}^{^2}$	0	0	0	10	19	0	0	0	3	5
	21	Metarrhizium sp	Metarrhizium	0	0	0	0	1	ı		1		1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	Mortierella sp	Mortierella	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	Phoma sp	P,	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	Phoma sp	P,	0	2	0	0	0	1	ı	ı		1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	Phoma sp	$P_{_3}$	0	2	2	5	10	0	1	1	2	9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	Phoma sp	\mathbf{P}_4	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Phoma sp P ₆ 0 0 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R ₁ 2 1 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R ₃ 12 4 1 1 Rhizoctonia sp R ₄ 0 2 0 0 Sordaria sp S ₁ 0 0 0 0 Trichoderma sp Trichoderma 0 0 1 0 Total 42 38 25 45	27	Phoma sp	P,	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	0
Rhizoctonia sp R ₁ 2 1 0 0 Rhizoctonia sp R ₂ 4 2 1 0 Rhizoctonia sp R ₄ 1 1 1 Rhizoctonia sp R ₄ 0 2 0 0 Sordaria sp S ₁ 0 1 0 Trichoderma sp Trichoderma 0 1 0 Total 42 38 25 45	28	Phoma sp	P,	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
Rhizoctonia sp R_2 4 2 1 0 Rhizoctonia sp R_3 12 4 1 1 Rhizoctonia sp R_4 0 2 0 0 Sordaria sp S_1 0 1 0 Trichoderma sp Trichoderma 0 1 0 Total 42 38 25 45	59	Rhizoctonia sp	, K	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	ı	ı	1
Rhizoctonia sp R_3 12 4 1 1 Rhizoctonia sp R_4 0 2 0 0 Sordaria sp S_1 0 1 0 Trichoderma sp Trichoderma 0 1 0 Total 42 38 25 45	30	Rhizoctonia sp	\mathbf{R}_2^{\cdot}	4	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Rhizoctonia sp R_4 0 2 0 0 Sordaria sp S_1 0 0 1 0 Trichoderma sp Trichoderma price Trichoderma price 1 0 Total 42 38 25 45	31	Rhizoctonia sp	_ ₃ _	12	4	1		0	3	2	1	0	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32	Rhizoctonia sp	$\mathbf{R}_{_{4}}$	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Trichoderma sp Trichoderma 0 0 1 0 Trichoderma sp Trichoderma 42 38 25 45	33	Sordaria sp	\mathbf{S}^{1}	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
42 38 25 45	34	<i>Trichoderma</i> sp	Trichoderma	0	0	1	0	0	1	į	1	1	1
			Total	42	38	25	45	64	7	12	12	12	20

Table 3	Table 3. Mycoflora population and species dynamics of caul	species dynamics of	cauliflower	liflower nursery soil	1.							
S. No.	S. No. Isolate	Designated as	Population	ı in Rhizos	sphere soil	ppulation in Rhizosphere soil (X x 10^3 cfu / g soil)	u / g soil)	Pop	ulation in	bulk soil (A	Population in bulk soil (X x 10^3 cfu / g soil	g soil)
			10th day	15 th day	20th day	25 th day	30th day	10th day	15 th day	20th day	25th day	30th day
	Aspergillus fumigatus	Afu,	0	8	9	0	5	0	3	1	0	0
7	Aspergillus terreus	At,	0	0	0	17	18	0	0	0	0	7
3	Aspergillus flavus	Af_{z}^{z}	0	0		0	1	ı			ı	,
4	Aspergillus niger	An_{j}	2		_	0	2	0	0	0	0	_
5	Cladosporium sp	Cladosporium	0	0	7	0	0	ı	,			,
9	Fusarium sp	$ m F_{s}$	ж	_	5	0	3	1	0	_	0	0
7	Fusarium sp	F,	0	7	_	0	3	0			2	0
∞	Fusarium sp	`Ľ	0	23	_		5	0	4	0	0	3
6	Macrophomina sp	Ñ	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	7
10	Macrophomina sp	M¸	0	3	7	0		0	_	0	0	0
11	Macrophomina sp	M	0	0	7	_	4	0	0	2	4	3
12	Macrophomina sp	M_7	0	0	0	0	8		,	,		,
13	Naranus sp	Naranus	18	4	_	0		3	_	0	0	0
14	Penicillium sp	Pen,	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
15	Penicillium sp	Pen,	0	9	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
16	Penicillium sp	Pen_{i}	0	0	7	2	2	0	0	_	0	0
17	Penicillium sp	$\operatorname{Pen}_{_{\scriptscriptstyle{d}}}$	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	9
18	Phoma sp	\mathbf{P}_7	7		_	0	2	ı				1
19	Phoma sp	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}$	0	0	0	0	2	ı			ı	•
20	Verticillium sp	Verti $cillium$	&	16	11	12	15	5	2	7	3	12
		Total	42	72	36	33	17	13	41	13	6	53

primary resource are called kstrategist (Campbell, 1989).

It may be further mentioned here that the population ofnative Trichoderma was found not only very less (1 x 10³ cfu/g soil) but also appeared only after 20 days of sowing indicating its inability to compete with other primary colonizers and to colonize the rhizosphere early. Hence native Trichoderma appeared to be a k-strategist. It is also noteworthy here that native Trichoderma could not be isolated from bulk soil. Anasuya (2009) reported that sandy loam soils of Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh had negligible population of Trichoderma. Though the soils are acidic and contain high fungal cfu (1.3-2.5 x 10⁵ cfu/g soil). She further reported that Trichoderma spp could be obtained using direct soil plate method rather than soil dilution method. Warcup (1950) opined that direct soil plating leads to isolation of dormant conidia. Thus, appearance of Trichoderma only rhizosphere soil is indicative of stimulation from egg plant rhizosphere. Among the egg plant rhizosphere mycoflora, maximum cfu was with Rhizoctonia (12 x 10³ cfu/g soil) on 10th day and Macrophomina on 30th day (19×10³ cfu/g soil). Both these fungi are known to be plant pathogenic. The present study indicated strong rhizosphere colonizing abilities of these two tongi.

Soil fungal population and dynamics species in cauliflower nursery

When fungal flora from cauliflower rhizosphere were

Table 4. Mycoflora population and species dynamics of tomato nursery soil.

S. No.	Isolates	Designated as	Population		sphere soil (.	in Rhizosphere soil (X x $10^3 cfu / g soil)$	g soil)	Population	Population in bulk soil (X x 10³ cfu/g soil)	(X x 10³ cft	1/ g soil)	Myc
			10 th day	15 th day	20th day	25 th day	30th day	10th day	15 th day	20th day	25 th day	30 th day
1	Aspergillus flavus	Af,	0	1	-	-	1	0	0	0	8	a po
2	Aspergillus fumigatus	Afu_{j}	1	1	0	0	0	1	ı	ı	ı	pu '
3	Aspergillus niger	An_{i}	2	22	0	2	2	-	9	0		lat -
4	Aspergillus terreus	$\operatorname{At}_{i}^{J}$	0	4	2	0		0	_	0	0	ion —
5	Fusarium sp	, L	1		0	0	0	1	ı	ı	ı	ar
9	Fusarium sp	H_	0		1	0	2	0	0		0	nd :
7	Fusarium sp	Т	0	7	0	0	0	1		1	ı	spe
8	Fusarium sp	F. 7	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	ecie
6	Fusarium sp	F.:	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1		es (
10	Fusarium sp	표 -	0	0	0	1	0	1	ı	ı	ı	lyn '
11	Fusarium sp	Т.	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	an
12	Helminthosporium sp	H,	0	7	0	1		0	0	0	1	nic
13	Helminthosporium sp	H,	0	0	1	0	0	1	ı	ı	ı	s ir
14	Macrophimina sp	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{s}}$	1	-	0	0	0	1		1	ı	1 S6
15	Macrophimina sp	M°	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	elec •
16	Macrophimina sp	\mathbf{M}_{10}	0	0	1	1	0	ı	ı	ı	ı	eteo '
17	Macrophimina sp	\mathbf{M}_{11}	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	
18	Macrophimina sp	\mathbf{M}_{12}	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
19	Papulaspora sp	Papulaspora	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	eta]
20	Phoma sp	$\mathbf{P}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 0}$	3	4	7	3	4	1	1	4	5	
21	Phoma sp	\mathbf{P}_{10}	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	
22	Rhizoctonia sp	, Y	4	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	
23	Rhizopus sp	Rhizopus	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
24	Sordaria sp	\mathbf{S}_2	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
25	Sordaria sp	်လိ	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	erie —
26	Sphaeropsis sp	Sphaeropsis	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	es o
		Total	14	45	20	111	32	4	~	7	11	59

monitored and isolated, it indicated that total fungal flora varied between 33-77 x 10³ cfu/g soil with maximum obtained on 30th day and least on 25th day (Table 3). Though the fungal population associated with cauliflower rhizosphere was slightly higher than that in egg plant, average R:S ratio is almost similar, i. e., 3.3. Thus cauliflower and egg plant rhizosphere were found to support fungal flora equally well. Variation in soil mycoflora population is dependent on soil type and crop. Anasuya (2009) reported that acidic soils contain higher fungal cfu compared to alkaline soils with lower cfu. Pandey and Palni (2007) reported that coniferous species of the subtropical and temperate locations, viz., Cedrus, Pinus and Taxus supported relatively higher microbial population in the rhizosphere in comparison to other species.

Simultaneous isolations from bulk soil surrounding cauliflower nursery indicated a population of 9-29×10³ cfu/g soil which is almost similar to the bulk soil population obtained from egg plant. The rhizosphere effect of cauliflower varied from 2.7-5.1 with maximum on 15th day followed by 25th day (3.7) and least on 30th day and 20th day (Table 1).

Twenty isolates belonging to eight genera, viz., Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Fusarium, Macrophomina, Naranus, Penicillium, Phoma and Vertcillium were obtained from cauliflower rhizosphere (Table 2). Of these, except A. flavus and Cladosporium, one species each of Penicillium, Phoma and Macrophomina which appeared only in rhizosphere, all other isolates appeared in both rhizosphere and bulk soils. Of the 20 isolates belonging to eight genera obtained from cauliflower rhizosphere, only seven genera namely A. niger, Fusarium, Macrophomina, Naranus, Penicillium, Phoma and Verticillium were found in 10 day old rhizosphere and hence these may be regarded as primary colonizers. *Trichoderma* isolate(s) could not be obtained from cauliflower rhizosphere throughout the period of observations indicating its inability to compete with other primary colonizers and to colonize the cauliflower rhizosphere. Among the cauliflower rhizosphere mycoflora maximum cfu was obtained by Fusarium sp (25×10³ cfu/g soil) on 15th day followed by Naranus on $10^{th} day (18 \times 10^3 cfu/$ g soil) and A. terreus on 30th day (18×103 cfu/g soil). Six of the eight genera, viz., Aspergillus, Cladosporium, Fusarium, Macrophomina, Phoma and Verticellium obtained from cauliflower system are known plant pathogens.

Soil fungal population and species dynamics in tomato nursery

When fungal flora from tomato rhizosphere were monitored and isolated, it indicated that total fungal flora varied between 11-45×10³ cfu/g soil with maximum obtained on 15th day and least on 25th day (Table 4). The fungal population associated with tomato rhizosphere was lower than that in egg plant or cauliflower. Thus, tomato rhizosphere was found to support fungal flora lesser than that of cauliflower or egg plant. Pandey and Palni (2007) reported that Abies pindrow (a conifer), Betula utilis, and Rhododendron campanulatum, species of the subalpine region were found to exert a distinct negative rhizosphere effect. The negative rhizosphere effect coincided with lowering of the soil pH in the rhizosphere region. However such negative rhizopshere effect was not observed with any of the three crops studied. Differences existed only in the quantum of rhizosphere effect as evidenced from variation in total fungal mycoflora obtained from individual cropping system.

Simultaneous isolations from bulk soil surrounding tomato nursery indicated a population of 4-29x10³cfu/g soil which is almost similar to the bulk soil population obtained from egg plant and cauliflower. The rhizosphere effect of tomato varied from 1.0-5.6 with maximum on 15th day followed by 10th day (3.5) and least on 25th day and 30th day (Table 1).

Twenty six isolates belonging to ten genera, viz., Aspergillus, Fusarium, Helminthosporium, Macrophomina, Papulaspora, Phoma, Rhizoctonia, Rhizopus, Sordaria and Sphaeropsis were obtained from tomato rhizosphere (Table 4). All these ten genera appeared in both rhizosphere and bulk soils. Of there tungal genera, only seven genera namely A. fumigatus, A. niger, one isolate each of Fusarium, Macrophomina, Phoma, Rhizoctonia and Sordaria were found in 10 day old rhizosphere and hence these may be regarded as primary colonizers. Five out of these seven genera, i.e., A. niger, Fusarium, Macrophomina, Phoma, and Rhizoctonia are known plant pathogens. Native *Trichoderma* isolate(s) could not be obtained from tomato rhizosphere throughout the period of observations indicating its inability to compete with other primary colonizers and to colonize the tomato rhizosphere. Among the tomato rhizosphere mycoflora maximum cfu was obtained by *A. niger* (22 x 10³ cfu/g soil) on 15th day.

From the above results it may interpreted that egg plant and cauliflower rhizospheres supported mycoflora better than tomato. Buyer *et al.* (2002) who found, after fatty acid analysis, a strong soil effect but little plant effect on the microbial community indicating that the overall microbial structure was not affected by the rhizosphere. However, the present investigation revealed that the type of mycoflora and their population varied with the type of crop.

During present investigation, only one isolate of *Trichoderma* could be obtained that to only from egg plant rhizosphere on 20th day but not before. This indicated that native Trichoderma population is very low and is also not a primary colmizes. Anasuva (2009) while studying the effect of cropping systems on native Trichoderma population in Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh reported that in sandy soils where tobacco nurseries are grown though total fungal cfu varied between 1.3 - 2.5 x 10⁵ cfu/g soil, the native *Trichoderma* population was negligible and majority of the samples were found to have nil population of Trichoderma. Present study confirmed that the nursery soil chosen (sandy loam) contained minimal *Trichoderma* population and could be isolated only from egg plant and not from other two crop systems.

Oyeyiola (2009) reported the rhizosphere soil contained a greater spectrum of fungal species than the non rhizosphere soil. The rhizosphere effect increased progressively with increase in plant age upto 6th week after sowing and then declined. They isolated species of *Pencillium*, *Aspergillus*, *Mucor* and *Alternaria*. It is to be noted here that *A. niger*, *A. flavus*, *Fusarium*, *Macrophomina* and *Phoma*

(all are plant pathogenic) were all appeared by 10th day in all the three test crops' rhizosphere indicating their better rhizosphere colonizing ability.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anasuya M 2009 Prevalence of *Trichoderma* spp. and fluorescent *Pseudomonas* in different cropping systems. *M.Sc.* (Ag.) *Thesis.* ANGRAU, Hyderabad, India.
- Belanger R R and avis T J 2002 Ecological process and interactions occurring in leaf surface fungi. In: Lindow., S. E., Hecht-Poinar., E. L., Elliot., V.J. (Eds). *Phyllosphere Microbilogy*, APS Press. St. Paul, MN. 193-207.
- Buyer J S, Roberts D P and Cohen E R 2002 Soil and plant effects on microbial community structure. *Canadian journal of Microbiology*, 48: 955-964.
- Campbell R 1989 Biological Control of Microbial Plant Pathogens. *Cambridge Univ. Press*, 218.
- Cooke R C and Rayner A D M 1984 The Ecology of Saprophytic Fungi. Longman, Lodon, U. K.
- Domsch K H, Gams W and Anderson T H 1980 Compaendium of Soil Fungi. Institute of Soil Biology. Federal Agricultural Research Centre. Federal Republic of Germany, Vol. 1, 2: 1-859.
- Oyeyiola G P 2009 Rhizosphere Mycoflora of Okro (Hibiscus esculentus). *Research Journal of Soil Biology*, 1: 31-36.
- Pandey A and Palni L S 2007 The rhizosphere effect in trees of the Indian central Himalaya with special reference to altitude. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, 5(1): 93-102.
- Warcup J H 1950 The soil plate method for isolation of fungi from soil. *Nature*. 116: 117-118.
- Watanabe T 2001 Morphology of Cultured fungi and Key to Species. *Pictorial atlas of soil* and seed fungi, p:486.