

# Knowledge level of Poly Culture Fish Farmers in Southern Andhra Pradesh

## S J M Prathap Kumar and K Sumanth Kumar

Research Scholar, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh

#### ABSTRACT

The was carried out during 2013-2014 in the purposively selected Krishna, Guntur and Prakasam districts of southern Andhra Pradesh focused on the factors influencing knowledge of fish farmers towards poly culture fish practices. The findings revealed that majority (85.72%) of fish farmers belonged to medium to high knowledge category. The knowledge level of fish farmers towards poly culture fish practices was positively and significantly influenced by the factors like age, education, fish farming experience, occupation status, caste, socio economic status, social participation, possession of fishing equipments, annual income, scientific orientation, extension participation, extension agency contact, mass media participation, size of water body, duration of water availability and extent of weed infestation. Family type, duration of water availability and extent of weed infestation are significant with knowledge level in multiple regression.

#### Key words : Knowledge, Poly culture fish farmer.

Fish occupied an imperative place in the global market as a secure and low-priced source of animal protein with elevated customer acceptability. Andhra Pradesh has been playing an important role in fish culture since time immemorial. Andhra Pradesh ranks first in brackish water shrimp production and fresh water prawn production, second in fresh water fish production, second in total value of fish and prawn produced and fifth in marine fish production. The State is contributing about Rs.3000 crores by way of marine exports, which is nearly 40% of the marine exports from India. During 2009-10 in Andhra Pradesh, 12.93 lakh tonnes of fish /prawn is produced. The potential estimate of inland fish production of state has been estimated at 16 lakh tonnes as compared to the present production of 14.50 lakh tonnes. Poly culture fish practices involves stocking and growing two or more compatible and complementary fish species like Indian Major Carps (IMC) and exotic carps in a water body like pond to maximize the fish production by fullest utilization of all available niches in the pond ecosystem. The principle behind the poly culture fish practices is to produce maximum quantity of fish per unit area from a scientifically managed water body by stocking fast growing, economically important, compatible species having shortest food chain utilizing all the ecological niches of the water body.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The study was carried out using ex post facto research design during 2013-14 in the purposively selected Krishna, Guntur and Prakasam districts of Southern Andhra Pradesh. A combination of purposive and systematic random sampling procedures was employed. The Districts were purposively selected as they have vast and diverse inland fishery resources ideally suited for taking up poly culture fish practices. Among the identified districts, number of poly culture fish farmers in each mandal obtained from the Department of Fisheries, A.P., and such mandals where more number of farmers selected purposively for the study. The technique of proportionate and simple random sampling adopted to select the required number of respondents for poly culture fish farming practices in each mandal. A sample size of 210 respondents was selected from the identified mandals in three districts viz. Krishna, Guntur and Prakasam.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The distribution of respondents based on their knowledge level about poly culture fish practices was shown in Table 1. Majority of respondents (66.67%) belonged to medium level of knowledge, While 19.05 per cent respondents belonged to high knowledge level followed by 14.28 per cent respondents belonged to low knowledge level. Thus, it implied that majority of the fish farmers of the study area had medium to high knowledge level on poly culture fish practices. This might be due to most of the fish farmers have correct information and knowledge about poly culture fish practices. These findings are in conformity with those reported by (Nagarajaiah *et al.*, 2002).

The age of fish farmers was positive and highly significant with there knowledge (Chandrakala and Ewsarappa et.al., 2001). The education of fish farmers was positively and significantly corrected with knowledge level of poly culture fish practices. Present findings are in line with the findings of (Chandranna et al., 2009). Family type was positive and non significantly with knowledge level (Nagarajaiah et.al.2002). Family size was positive and non significant relation with knowledge level (Nagarajaiah et.al.2002). Fish farming experience was positive and significant with knowledge level of fish farmers (Maraddi et. al., 2007). Occupation was positive and highly significantly with knowledge level of fish farmers (Tripathi et al., 2006). There was positive and significant correlation between caste and knowledge level of respondents (Tripathi et al., 2006). Socioeconomic status and knowledge level of fish farmers were found to be positive and significantly related (Awasthi et al., 2000). Social participation had highly significant and positive relationship with knowledge level of fish farmers (Chandranna et al 2009). Possession of fishing equipments by fish farmers was found to be positively and significantly related with their knowledge level (Kadian and Ram Kumar 1999). Annual income showed positively significant relationship with knowledge level of poly culture fish practices (Patil A.P et al 2009). A

positive and non-significant relationship was noticed between size of land holding of respondents and their knowledge level (Maraddi et al., 2007). Economic performance of fish farmers was positively and non significantly related with their knowledge level (Nagarajaiah et al., 2002) positive and significant relation with knowledge. Knowledge about poly culture fish practices was positively and non significantly correlated with the risk orientation (Lakshman et al., 2006). Scientific orientation had positive and significant relationship with knowledge of fish farmers (Shakya et al., 2008). Innovative proneness had positive and non significant relationship with the knowledge level of fish farmers (Lakshman et al., 2006). Positive and highly significant relationship was notice between extension participation of fish farmers and their knowledge level on poly culture fish practices (Chandranna et al., 2009). Extension contact of fish farmers was found to be positively and highly significantly related to their knowledge level on poly culture fish practices (Ashok Doddamani et al., 2011). A Positive and highly significant relationship of mass media participation of fish farmers and their knowledge level (Nagarajaiah et al., 2002). Size of the water body under fish culture by fish farmer and their knowledge level showed positive and highly significant relationship (Talukdar et al., 2000). Duration of water availability in fish culture tanks and knowledge level exhibited positive and significant relationship (Nagarajaiah et al., 2002). A positive and significant relationship between source of water and knowledge of fish farmer (Nagarajaiah et al., 2002). A positive and highly significant relationship was reported between extent of weed infestation and knowledge level of fish farmers (Nagarajaiah et al., 2002).

Table 1. Overall knowledge level of fish farmers regarding recommended Poly culture fish Practices.
(n=210)

S.No	Knowledge categories	Fish	Fish farmers	
		Frequency	Per cent	
1	Low (up to 65.31)	30	14.28	
2	Medium (65.32 to 87.79)	140	66.67	
3	High (87.80 and above)	40	19.05	

		(n=210)
Sl.No	Independent variables	Correlation
		Coefficient
	A.Personal variables	
X <sub>1</sub>	Age	0.2668***
X,	Education	0.1760*
$ \begin{array}{c} X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \end{array} $	Family type	0.0684NS
X <sub>4</sub>	Family size	0.0107NS
X	Fish farming experience	0.3703*
X	Occupation status	0.2464***
0	<b>B.Socio economic variables</b>	
X <sub>7</sub>	Caste	0.1500*
$egin{array}{c} \mathbf{X}_{8}^{'} \\ \mathbf{X}_{9} \\ \mathbf{X}_{10} \end{array}$	Socio economic status	0.2691***
X	Social participation	0.4093***
$X_{10}^{'}$	Possession of fishing equipments	0.2120**
X <sub>11</sub>	Annual income	0.1758*
X <sub>12</sub>	Size of land holding	0.0544NS
X <sub>13</sub>	Economic performance	0.0696NS
15	C.Psychological variables	
X <sub>14</sub>	Risk orientation	0.1299NS
X <sub>15</sub>	Scientific orientation	0.2096**
$X_{16}^{15}$	Innovative proneness	0.1345NS
10	D.Communication variables	
X <sub>17</sub>	Extension participation	0.2991***
X <sub>18</sub>	Extension agency contract	0.2908***
X <sub>19</sub>	Mass media participation	0.3307***
19	E.Situational variables	
X20	Size of water body	0.2582***
$X_{21}^{20}$	Distance of water body to the residence	0.1019NS
$X_{22}^{21}$	Duration of water availability	0.2223**
$egin{array}{c} X_{20} \ X_{21} \ X_{22} \ X_{23} \end{array}$	Source of water	0.1764*
$X_{24}^{23}$	Extent of weed infestation	0.2614***

 Table 2. Correlation of different independent variables with the knowledge level of fish farmers towards poly culture fish practices as dependent variables.

NS= Non Significant

\*= Significant at 0.05 level of probability

**\*\*** = Significant at 0.01 level of probability

\*\*\*= Significant at 0.005 level of probability

				(n=210
Sl.No	Independent variables	Regression	SE of reg.	't' value
		Coefficient(b)	Coefficient (b)	
	A.Personal variables			
$X_1$	Age	0.2048	0.1091	1.877
$\begin{array}{c} X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \end{array}$	Education	0.6098	0.7502	0.813
$\bar{X_3}$	Family type	8.2086	3.7124	2.211*
<b>X</b> <sub>4</sub>	Family size	-6.5777	3.7984	1.732
5	Fish farming experience	0.1275	0.6178	0.206
Č <sub>6</sub>	Occupation status	0.3534	1.1815	0.299
v	<b>B.Socio economic variables</b>			
$K_7$	Caste	-0.4347	1.3044	0.333
Κ <sub>8</sub>	Socio economic status	-0.2114	0.6467	0.327
K <sub>9</sub>	Social participation	0.1891	0.5920	0.319
( <sub>10</sub>	Possession of fishing equipments	-0.1426	0.6488	0.220
11	Annual income	-2.8234	1.8834	1.499
12	Size of land holding	0.8713	1.4849	0.587
13	Economic performance	-0.0213	0.0499	0.428
15	C.Psychological variables			
14	Risk orientation	-0.1176	0.2346	0.502
.1 <del>4</del> 15	Scientific orientation	0.7901	0.5632	1.403
15	Innovative proneness	-0.2501	0.2828	0.887
10	<b>D.Communication variables</b>			
- 17	Extension participation	0.3391	0.6940	0.489
-17 18	Extension agency contract	0.1996	0.6043	0.330
-10 19	Mass media participation	0.3849	0.2625	1.466
19	E.Situational variables			
20	Size of water body	0.6909	0.9702	0.712
-21	Distance of water body to the residence	0.6836	0.7029	0.973
~1 ~20	Duration of water availability	3.4455	1.0552	3.265**
.22	Source of water	-0.2844	0.9730	0.292
21 22 23 24	Extent of weed infestation	2.9701	1.0085	2.945**

Table 3. Multiple regression with knowledge level of fish farmers towards poly culture fish practices.

F = 4.79

R2 = 0.5470

D.F: 23,186

\*= Significant at 0.05 level of probability

'a' = 3.671

\*\* = Significant at 0.01 level of probability

# Multiple regression of the socio-personaleconomic, psychological and communication variables on the dependent variable:

The multiple regression analysis was performed to find out the extent of contribution of each variable towards knowledge level. The variable, family type had significant contribution to the knowledge level of respondents at 5 per cent level and duration water availability, extent weed infestation were positive and significant contribution to the knowledge level of respondents at 1 per cent level of probability (Table 3).

# LITERATURE CITED

Ashok Doddamani, Angadi J G, Govinda Gowda V, Biradar B N and Jagadajyoti Binkadakatti S 2011 factors influencing the knowledge and adoption of land reclamation practices among the farmers of malaprabha command area I.J.S.N., vol. 2(2):376-378

- Aswathi H K, Singh P R, and Sharma R N 2000 Knowledge and attitude of dairy farmers towards improved dairy practices, Maharashtra Journal of Extension Education., 19: 290-292.
- Chandrakala H T and Eswarappa G 2001 Knowledge and adoption of dairying practices of farm women in relation to their socio-personal character, *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science*, 14(1): 95-100.
- Chandranna J S, Jagadeeshwara K and Madhu Prasad V L 2009 knowledge of groundnut growers about integrated pest management practices for redheaded hairy caterpillar in chitradurga district legume res., 32 (2): 125-128,
- Kadian K S and Ram Kumar 1999 Factor associated with knowledge level of dairy farmers *Maharashtra Jounal of Extension Education*, 18:33-37.
- Lakshman J Pol, Shashidar K C, Nagaraj M K and A Bheemappa 2006 a critical analysis of management behaviour of horticultural crop growers of Dakshina Kannada Practicing Micro Irrigation Systems Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science, 19(4): (883-887)

- Maraddi G N, Hirevenkanagoudar L V, Angadi J G and Kunnal L B 2007 Analysis of Farmer's Knowledge about Selected Sustainable Cultivation Practices in Sugarcane Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science, 20(3), (555-559).
- Nagarajaiah C S 2002 A study on knowledge attitude and extent of adoption of composite fish culture practices in southern Karnataka (published thesis).
- Patil A P, Gawande S H, Nande M P and Gobade M R 2009 Assessment of knowledge level of dairy farmers in Nagpur district and the co-relation between socioeconomic variables with their training needs. Volume.2 (5): 199-201.
- Shakya M S, Patel M M and Singh V B 2008 Knowledge Level of Chickpea Growers about Chickpea Production Technology. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*, Volume 8 (2&3),(65-68).
- Talukdar P K 2000 Knowledge level and extent of adoption of composite fish culture practices by aqua culturists in Sonitpur dist. Of Assam, M.F.Sc., thesis, CIFE, Mumbai.
- Tripathi S K, Mishra B and Singh P 2006 Knowledge Extent of Farmers about Chickpea Production Technology Indian Research Journal of Extension Education Volume 6, No. 3, September (1-3).

(Received on 17.12.2013 and revised on 03.02.2014)