



# K Suneetha, N Sunitha and A V Nagavani

Department of Agronomy, S V Agricultural College, Tirupati 517 502, Andhra Pradesh

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati (ANGRAU), during *rabi* season of 2012 -13 to study the response of groundnut genotypes to the nutrient levels. The findings of the experiment revealed that the growth, yield attributes and yield were higher with the genotype TCGS-1073 than Rohini, Greeshma and TCGS-1043. Application of 150 % RDF recorded the highest growth, yield attributes and pod yield of groundnut than application of 100 % RDF(*a*) 30:40:50 kg N, P,O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Key words : Groundnut genotypes, Nutrient levels, Yield.

Groundnut is an important oil seed crop in India and commonly called as poor man's nut. India is the one of the major producing country in the world with an area, production and productivity of 4.91m.ha, 5.62m.t and 1341kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The present production level of groundnut needs to be increased in order to meet the ever growing population pressure on per capita consumption of oil to reach self sufficiency in oil seed production. Therefore, development of groundnut genotypes is a practically feasible and readily adoptable genetic option to increase the groundnut productivity. Adoption of an improved genotype alone can increase the yield by about 20 per cent. (http:// www.ikisan.com).

Fertilizer recommendations from the past research do not hold promise in the present day scenario for new genotypes. The fertile soils in the past are gradually becoming sick due to intensive cultivation, inadequate replenishment of nutrients than their removal. One of the prime factor responsible for low yields in groundnut is the inadequate and imbalanced use of nutrients. New groundnut genotypes were recently developed at RARS, Tirupati, but very little of the agronomic requirement of these genotypes are known. Hence, the present study was conducted to study the growth and yield of groundnut genotypes as influenced by nutrient levels in Southern Agro climatic zone of Andhra Pradesh.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted during rabi, 2012-13 at Dry land Farm of S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati. The soil of the experimental site was sandy clay loam in texture, low in available nitrogen (210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and medium in available phosphorus (34.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and low in available potassium (140.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with a pH of 7.3. The field experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three replications. The treatments comprised of four genotypes viz., Greeshma (G1), Rohini  $(G_2)$ , TCGS-1073  $(G_3)$  and TCGS- 1043  $(G_4)$  as main plots and three nutrient levels viz., Control (N<sub>1</sub>), 100% RDF @30- 40-50 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and  $K_2O$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>(N<sub>2</sub>) and 150 % RDF (N<sub>2</sub>) as sub plots. The crop was supplied with nutrients as per the treatments. Nitrogen was applied in the form of urea in two equal splits as basal and at 30 DAS. Phosphorus and Potassium were applied uniformly to all the treatments as basal in the form of single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. Recommended agronomic practices and plant protection measures were followed. The data was subjected to statistical analysis as prescribed by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The leaf area index and dry matter production were significantly influenced by groundnut genotypes and nutrient levels. However,



the interaction between genotypes and nutrients was not found to be significant (Table 1). At 45 and 60 DAS the highest leaf area index was recorded with TCGS-1073 ( $G_2$ ), which was distinctly superior to other genotypes. The genotype Rohini  $(G_2)$  was found to be the next best and produced leaf area index comparable with Greeshma  $(G_1)$ . The lowest LAI was noticed with genotype TCGS- 1043  $(G_4)$ . The genotype TCGS- 1073  $(G_2)$  showed excellent performance and produced highest LAI compared to rest of the genotypes. This might be due to higher plant height, more number of green leaves, varietal difference in leaf area and delayed senescence of leaves. The above results corroborate with that of Kaul (1999), Bharatha Lakshmi and Sambasiva Reddy (2001).

The highest leaf area index was recorded with application of 150 % RDF (N<sub>3</sub>) followed by 100 % RDF (N<sub>2</sub>) with significant disparity between them. The lowest LAI was noticed with control (N<sub>1</sub>). Increase in LAI with levels of nutrients application was evidently due to their favourable effect on triggering of leaf primordia coupled with cell enlargement, resulting in production of more number of leaves with larger area plant<sup>-1</sup> and obviously per unit area. The present findings are in conformity with those of Barik *et al.*(1994).

The highest dry matter was produced by TCGS- 1073 (G<sub>3</sub>) which was significantly higher than other genotypes. The next best genotype was Rohini (G<sub>2</sub>), however, it was comparable with Greeshma (G<sub>1</sub>). The genotype TCGS- 1043 (G<sub>4</sub>) recorded the lowest dry matter. Higher dry matter production by TCGS-1073 indicates its physiological advantage to out yield other three genotypes. This is in the accordance with results reported by Raghavaiah *et al.* (1995) and Bharatha Lakshmi and Sambasiva Reddy (2001).

Application of 150 % RDF ( $N_3$ ) resulted in highest dry matter production, followed by 100 % RDF ( $N_2$ ) with distinct disparity between them. The lowest dry matter production was recorded with control ( $N_1$ ). The cumulative effect of better photosynthesis due to taller plants with more number of leaves and larger leaf area might have lead to increased dry matter production. Enhanced dry matter production with increasing levels of nutrients as evidenced in this investigation corroborates with the findings of Barik *et al.*(1994), Kausale *et al.*(2009) and Rezaul Kabir *et al.*(2013). The highest number of filled pods plant<sup>-1</sup> and hundred pod weight were obtained with TCGS-1073 ( $G_3$ ), which was significantly higher than other genotypes (Table 2). The next best genotypes were Rohini ( $G_2$ ) and Greeshma ( $G_1$ ), which were comparable with each other. The lowest number of filled pods plant<sup>-1</sup> and hundred pod weight were obtained with genotype TCGS-1043 ( $G_4$ ). Higher number of filled pods plant<sup>-1</sup> and hundred pod weight with TCGS- 1073 ( $G_3$ ), might be due to effective translocation of photosynthates from source to the pods (sink) and also genetic potential of genotype. This is in the accordance with the results reported by Subrahmaniyam and Kalaiselvam (2006)

Application of 150 % RDF (N<sub>3</sub>) recorded highest number of filled pods plant<sup>-1</sup> and hundred pod weight, followed by 100 % RDF (N<sub>2</sub>). The lowest yield attributes were recorded with control (N<sub>1</sub>). Improvement in the stature of yield attributes with higher level of nutrients applied might be due to combined effect of N, P and K in better assimilation and translocation of photosynthates for developing pods. These results are in conformity with the findings of Tirumala Reddy *et al.*(2011).

The highest pod and haulm yields were produced by the genotype TCGS- 1073 which was significantly superior to other genotypes (Table.2). This was followed by Rohini  $(G_2)$  and Greeshma (G<sub>1</sub>). The genotype TCGS-1043 (G<sub>4</sub>) resulted in significantly least pod yield. The differences between genotypes in the yielding ability might be attributed to several factors like vegetative matter build up, development of yield attributes and sink capacity of the genotype. The yielding ability is also governed by the partitioning ability of genotype towards development of reproductive organs. The increase in pod and haulm yields was by 53.1% and 37% over least producing genotype,(TCGS 1043) The results are in full agreement with the findings of Bharatha Lakshmi and Sambasiva Reddy (2001) and Kamara et al. (2011).

Regarding nutrient levels, application of 150 % RDF ( $N_3$ ) recorded highest pod and haulm yields, which was significantly superior to 100 % RDF ( $N_2$ ). The lowest pod and haulm yields were observed with control ( $N_1$ ). The increase in pod and haulm yields by 59% and 34.8% over control respectively, might be due to the enhanced

Treatments	Leaf area index		Dry matter production (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	45 DAS	60 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS
Genotypes				
$G_1$ : Greeshma	1.96	3.23	2653	4696
G <sub>2</sub> <sup>'</sup> : Rohini	1.96	3.24	2766	5018
$G_{3}^{2}$ : TCGS -1073	2.28	3.56	3886	5949
G <sub>4</sub> : TCGS -1043	1.61	2.89	2188	3456
SĒm±	0.08	0.04	50.4	124
CD (P=0.05)	0.30	0.15	174	429
Nutrient levels				
N <sub>1</sub> : Control	1.45	2.73	2115	3431
N <sub>2</sub> : 100 %RDF	1.86	3.14	2860	5034
N <sub>3</sub> <sup>2</sup> : 150 %RDF	2.55	3.83	3645	5872
SĔm±	0.09	0.05	89.5	137
CD (P=0.05)	0.28	0.18	268	412
$\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{N}$	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 1. Growth of the groundnut as influenced by genotypes and nutrients.

2015

Table 2. Yield attributes and yield of groundnut as influenced by genotypes and nutrients.

Treatments	Filled pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	100 pod weight (g)	Pod yield (kg/ha)	Haulm yield (kg/ha)
Genotypes				
$G_1$ : Greeshma	13.5	94.73	2961	4248
G <sub>2</sub> : Rohini	14.0	95.16	3098	4417
$G_{3}^{2}$ : TCGS -1073	16.4	110.90	3550	4929
$G_{4}$ : TCGS -1043	11.1	80.93	2318	3596
SĒm±	0.19	2.06	68	147
CD (P=0.05)	0.67	7.14	237	509
Nutrient levels				
$N_1$ : Control	9.25	87.98	2245	3637
N <sub>2</sub> : 100 %RDF	14.5	96.69	3124	4351
N <sub>3</sub> : 150 %RDF	17.5	101.67	3576	4904
SÉm±	0.39	1.36	107	109
CD (P=0.05)	1.2	4.09	321	329
$G \times N$	NS	NS	NS	NS

morphological characters *i.e.*, highest plant height, LAI and dry matter accumulation, with the highest level of nutrients applied. The above results are in conformity with the findings of Barik *et al.*(1994) and Tirumala Reddy *et al.*(2011).

From the present investigation, it can be concluded that among the different genotypes TCGS-1073 performed better with the application 150 % RDF (45:60:75 N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) for realising higher pod and haulm yields of groundnut in Southern Agro climatic zone of Andhra Pradesh.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Barik A, Jana P K, Sounda G and Mukharjee A K 1994 Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth, yield and oil content of *kharif* groundnut. *Indian Agriculturist*, 38 (2):105-110.
- Bharatha Lakshmi M and Sambasiva Reddy A 2001 Effect of crop geometry on growth and yield of rainfed Spanish bunch groundnut varieties. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 18 (1):134-135.
- Gopal K, Shaik Khayum Ahamed and Prasad Babu G 2006 Evaluation of advanced Spanish groundnut cultivares under kharif season of Northern telangana region of Andhra Pradesh. *Legume Research*, 29 (4): 292-294.

http://www.ikisan.com.

Kamara A Y, Ekeleme F, Kwari J D, Omoigui L O and Chikoye D 2011 Phosphorus effects on growth and yield of groundnut varieties in tropical savannas of northeast Nigeria. *Journal of tropical Agriculture*, 49(1-2):25-30.

- Kaul J N 1999 Response of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea) genotypes to planting geometry under sub-tropical conditions. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 69 (6): 458-460.
- Kausale S P, Shinde S B, Patel L K and Borse N S 2009 Effect of integrated nutrient management on nodulation, dry matter accumulation and yield of summer groundnut at South Gujarath conditions. *Legume Research*, 32(3): 227-229.
- Panse V G and Sukhatme P V 1985 Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. pp: 100-174.
- Raghavaiah C V, Padmavathi P and Prasad M V 1995 Response of groundnut genotypes to plant density and phosphorus nutrition in alfisols. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 12 (2): 295 -298.
- Rezaul Kabir, Sabina Yeasmin, Mominul Islam A K and Abdur Rhman Sarkar Md 2013 Effect of phosphorus, calcium and boron on the growth and yield of groundnut (Arachis hypogaeaL.). International Journal of Bio-Science and Bio-Technology, 5 (3) : 51-60.
- Subrahmaniyan K and Kalaiselva P 2006 Agronomic management of large seeded groundnut varieties. Legume Research 29(4): 298-300. <u>http://www.ikisan.com</u>
- Tirumala Reddy S, Srinivasulu Reddy D and Prabhakara Reddy G 2011 Influence of fertilizer management practices on growth, yield and quality of export oriented groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) The Andhra Agricultural Journal, 58 (1) : 105-109.

(Received on 05.11.2013 and revised on 16.10.2014)