

Economic Analysis of Rice Establishment Techniques in Delta Region of Krishna District

V Maheswara Prasad and S V S Gopala Swamy

District Agricultural Advisory and Transfer of Technology Center, Krishna District - 521 002

ABSTRACT

An on-farm trial was conducted in Krishna district during *kharif* seasons of 2010-11 and 2012-13 to analyse the performance of different rice establishment techniques against traditional method of transplanting in rice in various farming situations. They include manual transplanting, direct seeding through broadcasting, direct sowing using seed drill, sowing of sprouted seed using drum seeder and system of rice intensification under mechanization (MSRI). The cost of establishment was highest in manual transplanting method (Rs. 13,838/- ha⁻¹) followed by MSRI method (Rs. 12,925/- ha⁻¹). Though broadcasting required significantly less cost for establishment (Rs. 5,233/- ha⁻¹), it required significantly higher (Rs. 5,850/- ha⁻¹) expenditure towards weed management compared with other methods of establishment. The highest grain yield (7398 kg/ ha⁻¹) with highest gross return (Rs. 1,02,013/ - ha⁻¹), net return (Rs. 65,754/- ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (1.81) were realized in direct sowing using seed drill. Direct sowing using seed drill was found remunerative in heavy soils.

Key words : Economic Analysis, Rice establishment techniques.

Paddy (Oryza sativa) is the staple food for most of the Indians and it occupies a significant position in the agricultural economy of the country. It is grown by adopting various crop establishing techniques. The traditional method followed from many years in Krishna delta region is transplanting of seedlings raised in nursery which involves seedbed preparation, nursery growing with proper nutrition and protection, uprooting of seedlings, transportation and transplanting operations. This is a time consuming, labour intensive method and requires more water for land preparation as well as for the establishment of crop. Availability of farm labour is drastically reduced, especially for drudgery like transplanting and weeding in rice and maintaining optimum plant population is very difficult. Delayed transplanting of rice affects growth and yields not only of rice but also succeeding crops, thereby reducing system productivity and profitability. In order to overcome these problems, alternate methods of economic and environmentally appropriate production systems for rice are inevitable. Resource conserving technologies like dry direct seeded rice is a potential alternative, which, is a successful method in various rice growing countries of the world (Adair et al., 1992). The transplanting of rice seedlings can be

replaced by direct seeding that can reduce labour needs by more than 20 per cent in terms of working hours required (Santhi *et al.*, 1998.). The system of Rice intensification has been successfully used in a number of countries. Owing to the problems like delay in release of water through canals, shortage of labour during peak time, hike in labour charges *etc.*, the rice farmers practicing transplanting have been switched over to various methods of rice crop establishment, taking the advantage of rains during early period of monsoons *i.e.*, May and June.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

On-farm trials were conducted in Krishna district during *kharif* seasons of 2010-11 and 2012-13 to analyse the performance of different rice establishment techniques against traditional method of transplanting in various farming situations. The experiments comprised of following treatments. They include *viz*, manual transplanting, direct seeding through broadcasting, direct sowing using seed drill, sowing of sprouted seed using drum seeder and system of rice intensification under mechanization (MSRI). Five locations were selected for testing each treatment and rice variety; BPT 5204 was used in all the treatments. Thus, a total of 25 trials were conducted. In direct sowing; seeds were sown straight to the main field either by broadcasting or tractor drawn seed drill. This method was practiced where water was not sufficient. The wet drum seeding of rice was followed in irrigated areas as it required perfect leveling and puddling of the fields. For this paddy seeds were soaked in water for 24 hours and incubated for 24 to 48 hours. These sprouted seeds were sown in puddled field 1-2 days after puddling using perforated drum seeder. "System of Rice intensification" involved different practices for plant, soil, water and nutrient management and to overcome high operational costs, it was slightly modified by introducing mechanization.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An analysis was made on different crop establishment techniques followed by rice farmers compared to conventional transplanting in different farming situations of Krishna district. During kharif 2011, the cost of rice establishment was highest in MSRI method (Rs. 12,050/- ha⁻¹) and was on par with manual transplanting (Rs. 11,925/- ha⁻¹); while direct sowing techniques viz; broadcasting (Rs. 4,515/- ha⁻¹), sowing using drum seeder (Rs. 5,350/ - ha⁻¹) and sowing using seed drill (Rs. 5,900/- ha⁻¹) required significantly less cost for establishment (Table 1) as they did not require any nursery rising. The cost of weed management was significantly higher (Rs. 5,850/- ha⁻¹) in direct sowing with broadcasting method compared with other methods of establishment. The cost of inputs excluding herbicides was highest in manual transplanting method (Rs. 9,503/- ha⁻¹), while it was significantly less (Rs. 5,450/- ha-1) in mechanized SRI. The cost incurred for harvesting and threshing was significantly less (Rs. 8,150/- ha-1) in MSRI compared to other methods followed by broadcasting method (Rs. 15,750/- ha⁻¹). While the cost for harvesting and threshing was same for remaining three methods. The total cost of cultivation was lowest (Rs. 29,625/- ha-1) for MSRI and highest (Rs. 42,478/- ha-1) for manual method of planting where as it was at par for other techniques. The grain yield was significantly higher (7,800 kg/ ha⁻¹) in direct sowing using seed drill method while it was significantly less (5,738 kg/ ha-¹) in broadcasting method of sowing. The gross

returns (Rs. 94,850/- ha⁻¹), net returns (Rs. 60,625/ - ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (1.77) were significantly highest for direct sowing using seed drill method compared to all other methods.

During kharif 2012, the cost of establishment was highest in manual transplanting method (Rs. 15,750/- ha⁻¹) and was at par with MSRI method (Rs. 13,800/- ha⁻¹) (Table 2). Similar to the previous year, the cost of weed management was significantly higher (Rs. 5,850/- ha⁻¹) in direct sowing with broadcasting method compared with other methods of establishment. The cost of inputs excluding herbicides was highest in manual transplanting method (Rs. 16,445/- ha⁻¹), followed by broadcasting method (Rs. 13,300/- ha⁻¹). The cost incurred for harvesting and threshing was significantly less (Rs. 9,250/- ha-1) in MSRI compared to other methods followed by broadcasting method (Rs. 17,700/- ha⁻¹). The total cost of cultivation was lowest (Rs. 36,485/- ha⁻¹) for MSRI and highest (Rs. 54,345/- ha-1) for manual method of planting. The grain yield was significantly higher (6,995 kg/ ha-1) in direct sowing using seed drill method while it was significantly less (5,513 kg/ ha⁻¹) in broadcasting method of sowing. The gross returns (Rs. 1,09,175/- ha⁻¹), net returns (Rs. 70,883/- ha^{-1}) and benefit cost ratio (1.85) were significantly highest for direct sowing using seed drill method compared to all other methods.

During kharif 2011, the total rainfall received was 744 mm from 38 rainy days. During early period of *kharif* 2012, though there was delay in release of water through canals due to delay in onset of monsoons, 1417 mm rainfall was received from 57 rainy days during the later part of the season. In addition, the rains coincided with flowering stage and resulted in low yields compared to kharif 2011. However, the sale price of paddy for the year 2011 was Rs. 1,150/- per quintal against Rs. 1,500/- for the year 2012. When both the seasons were taken into account, the cost of establishment was highest in manual transplanting method (Rs. 13,838/- ha⁻¹) followed by MSRI method (Rs. 12,925/- ha⁻¹); while for direct sowing using drum seeder (Rs. 6,050/- ha⁻¹) and seed drill (Rs. 6,175/- ha⁻¹), it was at par. Broadcasting required significantly less cost for establishment (Rs. 5,233/- ha⁻¹) (Table 3). Direct sowing through broadcasting method required significantly higher

Table 1. Cost of cultivation and returns of	of cultivation a	nd returns of diffe	rent rice produ	different rice production technologies during kharif 2011	s during khar	<i>if</i> 2011.				
Particulars	Cost of establishment (Rs./ha)	Cost of weed management (Rs./ha)	Cost of Inputs (Rs./ha)	Cost of harvesting & threshing (Rs./ha)	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	Yield (kg/ha)	Straw value (Rs./ha)	Gross returns (Rs./ha)	Net returns (Rs./ha)	B: C
Manual planting Broadcasting Seed drill Drum seeder MSRI	 11925 4515 5900 5350 12050 	3550 5850 3200 3575 3975	9503 8075 7625 6800 5450	17500 15750 17500 17500 8150	42478 34190 34225 33225 29625	6823 5738 7800 6350 6565	5200 5350 5150 4550	83659 71331 94850 77576 75498	41181 37141 60625 44350 45873	0.97 1.09 1.77 1.34 1.55
SE m <u>+</u> C D at 0.05	221 663	185 556	293 879	187 559	448 1342	125 374		1443 4327	1433 4297	0.05 0.14
Table 2. Cost of cultivation and returns ofParticularsCost ofcestablishmentweed(Rs./ha)management(Rs./ha)(Rs./ha)	f cultivation an Cost of establishment (Rs./ha)	id returns of differ Cost of weed management (Rs./ha)	ent rice produc Cost of Inputs (Rs./ha)	different rice production technologies during <i>kharif</i> 2012. Cost of Cost of Cost of Yiele Inputs harvesting & cultivation (kg/ha (Rs./ha) threshing (Rs./ha)	s during <i>khari</i> Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	f 2012. Yield (kg/ha)	Straw value (Rs./ha)	Gross returns (Rs./ha)	Net returns (Rs./ha)	B.C
Manual planting Broadcasting Seed drill Drum seeder MSRI	15750 5950 6450 6750 13800	3250 5850 2800 4325 4225	16445 13300 10243 8825 9210	18900 17700 18800 18750 9250	54345 54345 42800 38293 38650 36485	5513 6225 6995 5850 6253	3850 3700 4250 4000	86538 97075 109175 91750 93788	32193 54275 70883 53100 57303	0.59 1.32 1.85 1.38 1.38
SE m <u>+</u> C D at 0.05	103 308	364 1092	500 1498	192 577	682 2044	137 411		2061 6178	1879 5631	0.04 0.12

2015

* Sale price of paddy = Rs. 1,150/- per quintal.

L

Table 3. Cost o	f cultivation ar	Table 3. Cost of cultivation and returns of different rice production technologies during kharif 2011 and 2012.	rent rice produ	ction technologie	s during <i>khari</i>	f 2011 and 20)12.			
Particulars	Cost of establishment (Rs./ha)	Cost of weed management (Rs./ha)	Cost of Inputs (Rs./ha)	Cost of harvesting & threshing (Rs./ha)	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	Yield (kg/ha)	Straw value (Rs./ha)	Gross returns (Rs./ha)	Straw Gross Net value returns returns (Rs./ha) (Rs./ha) (Rs./ha)	B: C
Manual planting Broadcasting Seed drill Drum seeder MSRI	 13838 5233 6175 6050 12925 	3400 5850 3000 3950 4100	12974 10688 8934 7813 7330	18200 16725 18150 18125 8700	48411 38495 36259 35938 33055	6168 5981 7398 6100 6409	4525 4525 4700 4275	85098 84203 102013 84663 84643	36687 45708 65754 48725 51588	0.78 1.20 1.81 1.36 1.41
SE m <u>+</u> C D at 0.05	137 410	203 608	234 701	149 448	345 1034	112 337		1511 4530	1461 4380	0.04 0.12
* Sale price of	paddy = Rs. 1,	* Sale price of paddy = Rs. 1,150/- per quintal.								

(Rs. 5,850/- ha⁻¹) expenditure towards weed management compared with other methods of establishment. Weeds were of major concern, as they compete for moisture, nutrients, light and space and a consequence, weeds infestation in direct sown rice result in yield losses and enhance the cost of production. Expenditure towards inputs (fertilizers and pesticides) was higher in case of manual transplanting (Rs. 12,974/- ha⁻¹), followed by broadcasting method (Rs. 10,688/- ha⁻¹), probably due to higher fertilizer usage and more pest incidence. In broadcasting method, farmers are adapting high seed rate (more than 20 kg/acre), which causes nitrogen deficiency, reduced tillering and lead to attack of brown plant hopers and crop lodging. Higher pest and disease incidence because of dense canopy and less ventilation around plants was reported in broadcast-sown rice with high seed rate (Sittisuang, 1995). In direct sowing, crop establishment of rice was affected in unleveled or traditional leveled fields, due to unequal distribution of water in soil profile and inundation of newly germinating seedlings at initial stages. Lantican et al, (1999) reported that yield for direct sown rice was significantly improved with precise land leveling.

The expenditure incurred towards harvesting and threshing was significantly less (Rs. 8,700/- ha⁻¹) in MSRI compared to other methods followed by broadcasting method (Rs. 16,725/- ha⁻¹). The cost of harvesting and threshing was more or less similar for remaining three methods. The total cost of cultivation was lowest (Rs. 33,055/- ha⁻¹) for MSRI and highest (Rs. 48,411/- ha⁻¹) for manual method of planting where as it was at par for drum seeder (Rs. 35,938/- ha-1) and seed drill (Rs. 36,259/ - ha⁻¹) techniques. The highest grain yield (7398 kg/ ha⁻¹) with highest gross return (Rs. 1,02,013/ha⁻¹), net return (Rs. 65,754/- ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (1.81) were realized in direct sowing using seed drill. Though gross returns were at par in other techniques, net return (Rs. 36,687/- ha-1) and benefit cost ratio (0.78) were lowest in manual method of planting owing to the labour costs involved for transplanting and weed management. In broadcasting technique, the cost benefit ratio was less may be due to low yield, additional cost for weed management as reported by Aslam et al., (2008). Venkateswarlu et al., (2011) recorded a 50 per cent reduction in labour required for rising of nursery and transplanting; and 13 per cent increase in grain yield in machine planting against manual planting. Though there was no significant difference in grain yield between transplanted rice and drum seeded rice; highest net return and benefit:cost ratio were obtained with drum seeded rice compared to transplanted rice (Manoranjan *et al.*, 2011; Sucheta and Hensel., 2012). In drum seeding practice, maintenance of water in initial stages of crop establishment, birds menace, more expenditure towards weed management were the major constraints observed by Shivaramu *et al.*, (2011).

The experiences of farmers of Krishna district suggest that seeding of rice after onset of monsoon become difficult due to problem in movement of machinery in the wet fields. Moreover, under wet field conditions, there are problems in depth control of drill, clogging of seed tubes etc makes seeding difficult resulting in poor crop establishment. More over, a dry spell for 2-3 days after sowing in wet soil may cause surface crust formation resulting poor emergence. Hence, for the kharif season, planting of direct sown rice 10-12 days before historical date of onset of monsoon would be better than planting early or late as suggested by Ravigopal et al., (2010). Direct sowing using seed drill was found remunerative in heavy soils. When paddy seed was dibbled using the seed drill at desired depth crop establishment was very good. In light textured soils, though sowing with seed drill performed well, it is little costlier and time consuming compared to direct broadcasting. During the initial period of crop establishment though weeds become problematic, farmers were able to manage them successfully with the available new herbicides and cultural methods. Based on findings of these experiments as well as experiences of farmers of Krishna district, it can be concluded that direct seeded rice with seed drill improved the crop yields and system productivity while conserving natural resources.

LITERATURE CITED

Adair C R, Beachell H M, Jodon N E, David L L and Jones J W 1992 Comparative yields of transplanted and direct sown rice. Journal of American Society of Agronomy, 34(2): 129-127.

- Aslam M, Hussain S, Ramzan M and Akhter M 2008 Effect of different stand establishment techniques on rice yields and its attributes. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 18(2-3): 80-82.
- Lantican M A, Lampayan R M, Bhuiyan S I and Yadav M K 1999 Determinants of improving productivity of dry seeded rice in rainfed lowlands. Experimental Agriculture 35: 127-140.
- Manoranjan K, Sanjay K, Subhash C 2011 Economic evaluation of paddy seeder in sandy loam soil for short duration rice variety. *Environment and Ecology*, 29: 911-915.
- Ravigopal R K, Malik R K, Kumar V, Alam M M, Jat M L, Mazid M A, Sahrawat Y S, Mc Donald, Andrew and Gupta R 2010 Direct dry seeded rice production technology and weed management in rice based systems. Technical bulletin. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, New Delhi, India. Pp 28.
- Santhi P, Ponnuswamy K and Chetty N K 1998 Effect of seeding methods and efficient nitrogen management practices on the growth of lowland rice. *Journal of Ecobiology*, 10(2): 123-132.
- Shivaramu K, Siddu H C and Ranganatha A D 2011 Economics and adoption of drum seeding technology by paddy growers. *Environment and Ecology*, 29: 287-289.
- Sittisuang P 1995 Extent of problems and policies in wet-seeded rice production in Thailand. In: Moody K, editor. Constraints, opportunities, and innovations for wet-seeded rice. IRRI Discussion Paper Series No. 10. Los Baños (Philippines): International Rice Research Institute. p 1-5.
- Sucheta S and Hensel O 2012 On farm research (OFR) on transplanting paddy: a "best-bet" prototype for drudgery reduction. *International Journal of Agriculture*, Research and Review; 2(4): 483-490.
- Venkateswarlu E, Rao N S and Prasad D R 2011 On farm evaluation of mechanical transplanting of rice (*Oryza sativa* L) against traditional method. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*, 58(1): 9-11.