

### **Response of Chickpea Varieties to Phosphorus Application**

Srinivasa Rao R, Pratap Kumar Reddy A, Shailaja V and Ramprasad M

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500 030

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2009-10 with 3 varieties of chickpea viz., Annigeri, JG-11 KAK-2 with 4 levels of phosphorus (0, 25, 50, 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) tested in a factorial randomized block design. The results revealed that KAK-2 showed maximum plant height (17.1 28.5 and 32.2 cm), at different stages and produced significantly maximum primary, secondary branches, number of pods per plant(54.7), test weight(19.9 g) and grain yield(1301 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Application of 50 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in significantly higher pods per plant and seed yield compared to other levels of phosphorus application. The phosphorus, nitrogen and potassium nutrient uptake also significantly higher by KAK-2 variety similarly significant nutrients uptake was found with the application of 50 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Key words : Nutrient uptake, Phosphorus levels, Pods, Seed Yield, Test weight, Varieties.

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is an important pulse crop sharing 36 percent of total area and 48 per cent of total production of grain legumes in the country. The average productivity of this crop is very low because it is traditionally cultivated under rainfed conditions with residual soil moisture. One of the ways of increasing the yield is by means of balanced fertilization of chickpea. Legumes are normally heavy feeders on phosphorus. Phosphate fertilization of chickpea promotes growth, nodulation and enhances vield(Kumpawat et al1990). It imparts hardiness to shoots, improves grain quality, regulates the photosynthesis, governs other physio-bio-chemical processes and also helps in root enlargement(Shaktawat and Sharma 1985), nodule production and by there increases nitrogen fixation. The root growth as well as plant development may differ in new plant types of gram cultivars(Singh and Yadav 1985). As a result the efficiency of phosphorus utilization by different varieties may differ under different phosphorus levels. The present investigation was conducted to find out the phosphorous requirement of different varieties of chickpea.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The field experiment was conducted during rabi season 2009-10 at Students' Farm of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The experiment at soil had a P<sup>H</sup> of 7.8 and clay loam in texture, containing 0.68% organic carbon, 268.4, 28.4 and 340 kg ha-1 available N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  respectively. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design, with three replications, consisting three varieties viz.,  $V_1$  – Annigeri,  $V_2$  – JG-11 and  $V_3$  – KAK-2 as main treatments and four levels of phosphorus  $(P_1 - 0, P_2 - 25, P_3 - 50 \text{ and } P_4 - 75$ kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) as sub levels. Chickpea cultivars were sown on 5th November 2009 and harvested on 7th Feb, 2010. Fertilizers (a) 20 kg N and 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied as basal dose in general through urea and muriate of potash respectively and phosphorus was applied as basal through single super phosphate according to the treatments. The spacing and plot size were 30x10cm and 6x3.5m respectively. Rainfall received during crop season was 22.2 mm. Nutrient uptake was caliculated as per the procedure outlined by Jackson (1973) and Olsen (1954).

Nutrient uptake (kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) =

Total drymatter X nutrient concentration(%). 100

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** Effect of varieties and phosphorus levels on yield and yield attributes

It is evident from the data in Table 1 and 2 that the maximum plant height, primary, secondary branches, number of pods per plant, test weight and seed yield were significantly influenced by varieties. Among the varieties KAK-2 produced highest number of pods (54.7) per plant, test weight(19.9g), no of seeds per pod (1.6) and seed yield (1301 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), yield due to more number of branches, higher canopy and dry matter production contributed to better seed filling as compared to Annigeri and JG-11. The lowest number of number of pods (43.5)per plant test weight (16.9g), no of seeds per pod (1.4) and seed yield (1040 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded with Annigeri. The branching pattern was better with more number of primary (3.1 and 4.2) and secondary (4.2,7.1 and 8.2) branches, resulting in production of more number of pods per plant, while in Annigeri variety the branching pattern was poor with less number of primary (2.5 and 3.6) and secondary (3.6,6.6 and 7.4) branches, resulting in production of less number of pods per plant. The number of seeds per pod of chickpea is mostly a genetic parameter and likely to be altered hardly by agronomic manipulation. Application of 50 kg  $P_2O_5$ ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in significantly increased the plant height, primary, secondary branches, number of pods per plant (56), seeds per pod (1.6) test weight(18.9g) and seed yield(1413 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) these findings corroborate with the findings of Bahadur et al., (2002) and Chaitanya (2006) Grain yield significantly increased with increasing fertilizer application upto 50 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. Further increase in the level of fertilizer application i.e, 75 kg  $P_2O_5$ ha-1 did not cause additional increase on yield and yield attributes. This clearly indicated that 50 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> was optimum dose and increased in the grain yield over to control and 25 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest number of pods per plant (37.3), seeds per pod (1.2), test weight (17g) and seed yield (901 kg) ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded at control. Similar results were reported by Shiva kumar (2001), Saini and Faroda (1998) and Mustafa *et al.*, (2008).

# Effect of varieties and phosphorus levels on nutrient uptake

The data on uptake of phosphorus indicated that maximum (4.17,12.0 and 15.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) phosphorus uptake was found in KAK-2 at 30 DAS, 60 DAS and also at harvest (table2). Among different crop growth stages, maximum phosphorus uptake was noticed at 60 DAS (Flowering and pod formation). Among the varieties the lowest (3.2, 9.9 and 13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.) phosphorus uptake was recorded by Annegiri variety at all the crop growth stages. Due to the better root growth, nodulation of roots enhanced the uptake of phosphorus from the soil by KAK-2 as compared to other two varieties.

Similarly uptake of nitrogen (106.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Potassium (74.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was also more with KAK-2 variety, followed by JG-11 and Annegeri. The lowest nitrogen (89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (66.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) uptake was recorded in Annigeri variety. Maximum growth associated with KAK-2 has resulted in higher uptake of nitrogen and potassium as compared to other varieties.

The highest uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium was associated with 75 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>, (110.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and (79.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by of 50 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. The crop supplied with adequate phosphorus supply at 75 and 50 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> produced more drymatter and seed yield and thus enhanced the uptake of nutrients in comparison to lower doses. The results are in accordance with the findings of Yadav *et .al*, (2003) and Meena *et. al*, (2003). The lowest nitrogen (82.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (60.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) uptake was recorded with control.

#### Conclusion

Among the chickpea varieties KAK-2 was superior variety for obtain of high yield potential in southern parts of telangana zone and it shown significant response to phosphorus to application up to 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Among phosphorus levels application of 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was optimum dose for obtain of maximum yield.

Treatments	Pl	ant Height (	(cm)	No. of Primar	No. of secondary branches			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	At Harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	At Harvest
(a) Varieties								
V <sub>1</sub> : Annigeri	14.3	25.3	29.8	2.5	3.6	3.6	6.6	7.4
V,: JG-11	15.1	26.3	31.0	2.6	3.8	3.7	6.8	7.8
$V_{3}^{2}$ : KAK-2	17.1	28.5	32.2	3.1	4.2	4.2	7.1	8.2
SEm±	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
CD (P=0.05)	1.8	2.5	1.9	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.7
(b) Phosphorus lev	els							
$P_1 : 0 \text{ kg } P_2 O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	13.3	24.3	29.0	2.4	3.1	3.2	6.2	7.3
$P_{2}^{1}$ : 25 kg $P_{2}O_{5}$ ha <sup>-1</sup>	14.3	25.3	29.7	2.5	3.6	3.4	6.5	7.6
$P_3 : 50 \text{ kg } P_2 O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	17.0	28.4	32.1	3.1	4.1	4.2	7.2	8.2
$P_{4}$ : 75 kg $P_{2}O_{5}$ ha <sup>-1</sup>	17.5	28.7	32.8	3.2	4.3	4.4	7.4	8.3
SEm±	0.9	1.2	1.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
CD (P=0.05)	2.4	2.8	2.6	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.9
V x P (Interaction)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 1. Effect of varieties and phosphorus levels on growth of chickpea.

Table 2. The yield attributes, yield and nutrients uptake of chickpea as influenced by varieties and phosphorus levels.

Treatments	No. of seeds pod <sup>-1</sup>	No. of Pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	100-seed weight (g)	Seed yield (Kgha <sup>-1</sup> )	Phosphorus uptake (Kgha <sup>-1</sup> )			Nitrogen	Potassium
					30 DAS	60 DAS	At Harvest	uptake (kgha <sup>-1</sup> )	uptake (kgha <sup>-1</sup> )
Varieties									
V <sub>1</sub> : Annigeri	43.5	1.4	16.9	1040	3.2	9.90	13.0	89.0	66.4
V <sub>2</sub> : JG-11	46.2	1.45	17.5	1150	3.4	11.15	13.8	93.8	69.4
$V_{3}^{2}$ : KAK-2	54.7	1.60	19.9	1301	4.17	12.05	15.2	106.6	74.4
SEm±	1.68	0.20	0.89	41.51	0.20	0.40	0.60	3.3	2.9
CD (P=0.05)	3.50	0.45	1.80	88.20	0.54	1.20	1.50	8.1	7.0
Phosphorus levels									
$P_1 : 0 \text{ kg } P_2 O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	37.3	1.2	17.0	901	3.0	8.7	11.2	82.2	60.4
$P_2 : 25 \text{ kg} P_2 O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	41.0	1.3	17.4	1002	3.2	9.4	12.2	87.4	64.3
$P_3 : 50 \text{ kg } P_2 O_5 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	56.0	1.6	18.9	1341	3.9	12.6	16.1	106.0	75.8
$P_{4}$ : 75 kg $P_{2}O_{5}$ ha <sup>-1</sup>	58.3	1.7	19.1	1413	4.1	13.4	16.5	110.4	79.8
SĒm±	1.60	0.20	0.80	48.02	0.21	0.40	0.50	3.6	3.3
CD (P=0.05)	4.04	0.50	2.10	99.60	0.59	1.20	1.30	9.2	8.2
V x P (Interaction)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

### LITERATURE CITED

- Bahadur M M, Ashrafuzzaman M, Kabir M A, Chowdhury M F and Majumdar D A 2002 Response of chickpea (*Cicer* arietinum L.) varieties of different levels of Phosphorus.*Crop Research*, 23 (2); 293-299.
- Chaitanya S K and Chandrika V 2006 Performance of chickpea varieties under varied dates of sowing in chittor district of Andhra Pradesh. *Legume Research*, 29 (2): 137-139.
- Chauhan M P and Singh I S 2000 Variability estimates and identifying chickpea genotypes for yield and yield attributes in salt effected soil. *Legume Research*, 23 (3): 199-200..0
- Jackson M L 1973 Soil chemical analysis. Prentice hall of india Private Ltd., New Delhi.
- KumpawatB S Singh R P and Rathore S S1990 Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) varieties to phosphorus application. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 35 (4) 416-417.
- Meena L R, Singh R K and Goutham R C 2003 yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by moisture conservation practices, phosphorus levels and bacterial inoculation. Legume Research, 26 (2): 109-112.
- Mustafa M N, Karunasagar G, Chandrika V and Reddy P M 2008 Growth and yield of Chickpea as influenced by irrigation and nutrient management. *Legume Research*, 31(3): 221-223.

- **Olsen S R Codec C L and Watanabe F S1954** Estimation of available phosphorus in plants and soil by extraction with sodium carbonate. USDA Circular No939.
- Saini S S and Faroda A S 1998 Response of chickpea (Cicer arietinum) genotype 'H86-143' to seeding rates and fertility levels. *Indian journal of Agronomy*, 43 (1): 90-94.
- Singh S C and Yadav D St M S and Sharma R K 1985 Response of chickpea(Cicer arietinum) varieties to seed rate and phosphorus levels and seed rates . Indian Journal of Agronomy, 30 (4) 414-416.
- Shaktawat M S and Sharma R K 1985 Response of chickpea varieties (*Cicer arietinum L.*) to seed rate and phosphorus. *Indian Journal* of Agronomy, 30 (3) 389-390.
- Shivakumar B G 2001 Performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) varieties as influenced by sulphur with and without phosphorus. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 46 (2): 273-276.
- Yadav P S, Kameriya P R and Rathore S 2002 Effect of phosphorus and iron fertilization on yield, protein content and nutrient uptake in mungbean on loamy sand soils. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, Vol 50 (2): 225-226.

(Received on 09.12.2012 and revised on 19.03.2013)