

Characterization and Classification of Rice Growing Soils of Southern Telangana Region of Andhra Pradesh

M Ramprasad, V Govardhan and G Kiran Reddy

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad-30

ABSTRACT

Ten representative pedons from rice-growing soils of southern Telangana region were characterized and classified. The results showed that the soils were sandy loam to clay in texture with low permeability. The soils were neutral to slightly alkaline in reaction, low to high in organic carbon, mixed in mineralogy and moderately deep to deep. Bulk density increased with depth and values ranged from 1.26 to 1.81 Mg m⁻³. Water retentions at 33 kPa and 1500 kPa of soils ranged from 7.9 to 38.7 % and 2.2 to 22.1 %, respectively. Cation exchange capacity and soil pH followed no definite distribution pattern with depth. The available N was low to medium and available P and K were low to high. The available N, P and K decreased with depth. Based on soil characteristics, the soils of Chevella (P1), Thandur (P4), Shadnagar (P5), Palem (P6) and Narayanpuram (P9) were classified as Alfisols, soils of Ibrahimpatnam (P3), Jadcharla (P7) and Suryapeta (P8) were grouped under Inceptisols, soils of Gollapally (P10) were classified as Entisols and soils of Rajendranagar (P2) were grayed under Vertisols.

Key words : Characterization, Classification, Rice-growing soils.

Rice crop was grown in southern Telangana region in diversified soils and resource environs predominantly with puddling and waterlogging conditions in lowland systems. Soils varied from red, lateritic, black, alluvial and colluvial soils, with heterogeneity and varied potential for nutrient supplying capacity. Nutrient supplying capacity and availability varies significantly in the waterlogged environs of low land systems due to the different farming situations adopted. The variability and heterogeneity of soil and land resource environs in the Telangana region is evidently not supplying with the requirements of rice crop.

To improve rice yields, it is important to standardize site-specific technologies on the basis of soil types which necessitates soil characterization. The present study, therefore, was planned to characterize and classify the rice growing soils of the southern Telangana region.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The southern Telangana region is located between 16° 11' to 17° 31' N latitude and 77° 33' to 80° 52' longitude in the south India. The mean

annual rainfall was 1121.6 mm, 74 per cent of which is received during monsoon (mid-June to mid-September). Ten representative pedons (P1 to P10) from Chevella, Rajendranagar, Ibrahimpatnam, Thandur, Shadnagar, Palem, Jadcharla, Suryapeta, Narayanpuram and Gollapally were exposed where all horizons were visible. All pedons were examined morphologically immediately after rice harvest. Soil samples collected from each horizon were analysed for different soil properties viz. particle-size distribution (hydrometer method), bulk density (core method), water retention characteristics (using pressure plate apparatus), saturated hydraulic conductivity (constant head method), pH (1:2.5 soil water solution), organic carbon (Walkley and Black, 1934) and cation exchange capacity (CEC) by neutral normal NH₄OAc. The soils were classified as per soil taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1998 and Soil Survey Staff. 2006).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Morphological characteristics

The soils had 10YR, 7.5YR and 2.5YR hue and the colour varied from very dark grayish

brown to dusky red. Texture ranged from sandy loam to clay. Texture of soils was sandy clay loam (P1, P3, P4, P6, P7, P9, P10), clay (P2), sandy loam (P5) and sandy clay (P8). The paddy soils under study in general recording massive structure at the surface which broke in to subangular blocky or angular blocky structure. Structure ranged from granular to subangular blocky in pedons (Table 1).

Physical characteristics

The clay content ranged from 22.0 to 48.6 per cent and in most of the pedons clay content was increased with depth (Table 2). The increase in clay content is an indication of illuviation of clay from surface to sub-surface (Pardeep Kumar and Verma, 2005; Ratnam et al., 2001). The aggregation in these soils was poor in surface and sub-surface horizons. As these soils are under rice cultivation since long, the repeated puddling during rice cultivation could be one of the reasons for poor aggregation in surface horizons. The poor aggregation in sub-surface horizons might be because of clay illuviation under continuous irrigation conditions. Rice soils have poor aggregation because of puddling or wet tillage that destroys soil structure (Dey and Sehgal, 1997).

The bulk density increased with depth in all pedons barring P7, indicating that the lower layers in soil profiles supporting rice cultivation system became compact with time. These results were in conformity with the findings of Ratnam *et al.*, (2001). The farmers plough with local (desi) plough which disturb the soil up to 20 cm only and lower layers remain undisturbed for years together which sometime result in pan formation. Because of dominance of silt and clay, the soils retained fairly good amount of water varying from 7.9 to 38.7 per cent (at field capacity). The saturated hydraulic conductivity of surface layers varied from 2.6 to 13.1 cm hr⁻¹. Similar findings were also reported by Reza *et al.*,(2010).

Chemical properties

The soils were neutral to slightly alkaline in surface (pH 6.7 to 8.1) and sub-surface horizons (pH 6.4 to 8.7) (Table 3). The organic carbon varied from 2.1 to 9.7 g kg⁻¹ in surface and 0.9 to 7.6 g kg⁻¹ in sub-surface horizons and decreased with depth. The temperature during rice cultivation ranged from 13.0 to 39.0° C. High temperature during most part of the year might be responsible for high rate of decomposition might be responsible for higher values of organic carbon in surface horizons than in sub-surface horizons. The CEC values varied from 5.9 to 45.1 cmol(p⁺) kg⁻¹ in surface horizons and from 6.4 to 47.0 cmol(p⁺) kg⁻¹ in sub-surface horizons which, could be in positive correlation with clay content. Similar findings were also reported by Dhanorkar *et al.*, (2010).

The available N varied from 110.5 to 328.9 kg ha⁻¹ in the surface horizons, whereas sub-surface horizons had available N in the range of 41.0 to 276.6 kg ha⁻¹. By considering ratings of Muhr et al. 1965, majority of the soils fell into low to medium category with respect to available nitrogen. The available P varied from 8.3 to 70.1 kg ha⁻¹ in surface and 5.4 to 60.6 kg ha⁻¹ in sub-surface horizons. By considering the ratings of Muhr et al. 1965 these values, the majority of the soils were low to high in available P. Available K ranged from 146.7 to 420.1 kg ha⁻¹ in surface horizons and 88.0 to 358.6 kg ha⁻¹ in sub-surface horizons. By considering the ratings of Muhr et al. 1965, these soils could be classified under low to high available K content. These results were similar to those of Rao et.al.(2008) in the soils of different land farms of Ramachandrapuram mandal of the Chitoor district in Andhra Pradesh.

Soil classification

Based on morphological, physical, physico-chemical characteristics of the soils and climate data, the soils were classified according to Keys to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff 2006) in to the order Entisols (pedon 10) which do not have any diagnostic horizon. The presence of lithic contact that is shallower depth than 25 cm and above 1 m, having an organic corbon content decrease with increasing depth and reaches a level of 0.2 per cent at a depth of 1.25 m, not permanently saturated with water, hence placed under the Orthents at sub order level. As the moisture regime is ustic, the pedons 10 were classified as Ustorthents at great group level. This pedon 10 was classified as Udic Ustorthents at great group level because of the present land use condition, essentially good irrigation practices were followed for cultivation of crops in the last three decades. The soil and land resource environs are utilized properly, economically

Horizon	Depth(cm)	* • •		Texture	Structure		
		(Moist)	(Wet)		С	G	Т
P1: Fine-	loamy, mixe	ed, iso-hypert	thermic Udic P	aleustalfs			
	0-16	7.5 YR 5/8	5 YR 4/5	scl	f	2	sbk
Bt	16-45	7.5 YR 6/6	5 YR 5/6	scl	f	2	sbk
BC	45-75		7.5 YR 3/4	scl	f	2	sbk
					f		sbk
							abk
							sbk
							2011
				-	m	3	abk
							abk
							abk
							abk
				-	111	5	uok
					f	1	sbk
							sbk
							sbk
					m	2	sbk
			mic Typic Hap		c	2	1.1
							sbk
							abk
			—				abk
			—	sc			abk
С	110+	7.5 YR 5/3	—	с	f	2	abk
P5: Fi	ne, mixed, i	so-hyperther	mic Typic Pale	ustalfs			
Ар	0-15	7.5 YR 6/4		sl	f	3	sbk
Bt	15-40	7.5 YR 5/4		scl	f	3	sbk
BC	40-70+	7.5 YR 5/6		scl	f	3	sbk
P6: Fi	ne, mixed, i	so-hypertheri	mic Typic Hap	lustalfs			
	0-18	2.5YR 4/6	2.5YR 3/4	scl	f	2	gr
	18-66	2.5YR 4/4			m		gr
							sbk
							sbk
				-	111	5	30K
					f	2	sbk
							abk
							sbk
					I	2	sbk
			nic Typic Calci	-		2	-1-1-
							sbk
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Bk}_{2} \\ \mathbf{BC} \end{array}$					m		sbk
			—		m		sbk
				sc	m	3	sbk
P9: Fi	ne, mixed, i		mic Typic Hap	lustalfs			
Ар	0-15	7.5 YR 3/3	—	scl	f	2	sbk
Bt_1	15-45	7.5 YR 3/3		scl	f		sbk
Bt,	45-80	7.5 YR 3/4		c	f	3	sbk
	80-110+	7.5 YR 3/4		sc	f	2	sbk
С							
		d, iso-hvner	thermic Udic I	Jstorthents			
P10: Fine-	loamy, mixe		thermic Udic U		f	2	ør
		ed, iso-hyper 5 YR 4/4 5 YR 4/4	thermic Udic U 	J storthents scl scl	f f	2 2	gr gr
	P1: Fine-Ap Bt BC C Bw ₁ Bw ₂ P2: Fine Ap Bg Bss ₁ Bss ₂ P3: Fine-Ic Ap Bw ₁ Bw ₂ Bw ₃ P4: Fin Ap Bt Bc P5: Fi Ap Bt BC P6: Fin Ap Bt ₁ Bw ₂ Bw ₁ Bw ₂ Bw ₃ P4: Fin Ap Bt BC P6: Fin Ap Bt ₁ Bw ₂ Bt ₂ Bt ₃ P7: Fine-Ic Ap Bt ₁ BC P6: Fin Ap Bt ₁ BC P7: Fine-Ic Ap Bt ₁ BC P7: Fine-Ic Ap BC P7: Fin Ap BC P7: Fin P7:	P1: Fine-loamy, mixe Ap 0-16 Bt 16-45 BC 45-75 C 75-150+ Bw1 15-36 Bw2 36-90 P2: Fine, smectitic, Ap 0-24 Bg 24-45 Bss1 45-110 Bss2 110+ P3: Fine-loamy, mixed Ap 0-15 Bw1 15-45 Bw2 45-70 Bw3 70-90 P4: Fine, mixed, is Ap 0-15 Bw1 15-45 Bw2 45-70 Bw3 70-90 P4: Fine, mixed, is Ap 0-16 AB 16-32 Bg 32-75 Bt 75-110 C 110+ P5: Fine, mixed, is Ap 0-15 Bt 15-40 BC 40-70+ P6: Fine, mixed, is Ap 0-15 Bw1 15-36	(Moist)P1: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hypertAp0-167.5 YR 5/8Bt16-457.5 YR 5/6BC45-757.5 YR 5/4C75-150+7.5 YR 7/6Bw115-365 YR 4/4Bw236-902.5 YR 3/4P2: Fine, smectitic, iso-hyperthetAp0-2410YR 4/2Bg24-4510YR 2/2Bss145-11010YR 3/1Bss2110+10YR 3/1P3: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthetAp0-155 YR 4/3Bw115-455 YR 4/4Bw245-705 YR 4/6Bw370-905 YR 4/6Bw370-905 YR 4/6Bw370-905 YR 5/3AB16-327.5 YR 5/3AB16-327.5 YR 5/3AB16-327.5 YR 5/2Bt75-1107.5 YR 5/2Bt75-1107.5 YR 5/2C110+7.5 YR 5/4BC40-70+7.5 YR 5/4BC40-70+7.5 YR 5/4BC40-70+7.5 YR 5/4BC40-70+7.5 YR 3/2Bt118-662.5 YR 4/6Bt118-662.5 YR 4/6Bt118-662.5 YR 4/6Bv115-365 YR 4/6Bv115-365 YR 4/6Bv115-365 YR 4/6Bw115-365 YR 4/6Bw115-365 YR 4/6Bw115-365 YR 4	(Moist) (Wet) P1: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Udic P Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/8 5 YR 4/5 Bt 16-45 7.5 YR 5/4 5 YR 3/6 BC 45-75 7.5 YR 5/4 7.5 YR 3/4 C 75-150+ 7.5 YR 7/6 7.5 YR 3/4 Bw1 15-36 5 YR 4/4 2.5 YR 3/2 P2: Fine, smectitic, iso-hyperthermic Typic Ha Ap 0-24 10YR 4/2 Bg 24-45 10YR 2/2 10YR 2/2 Bss1 45-110 10YR 3/1 10YR 2/2 Bss2 110+ 10YR 3/1 10YR 2/2 P3: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Typic Ha Ap 0-15 5 YR 4/3 Bw1 15-45 5 YR 4/6 - Bw2 45-70 5 YR 5/3 - P4: Fine, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Typic Hap Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/3 - Bg 32-75 7.5 YR 5/3 - - Bt Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/3 - - <td>(Moist) (Wet) P1: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Udic Paleustalfs Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/8 5 YR 4/5 scl Bt 1645 7.5 YR 5/4 5 YR 3/4 scl BC 45-75 7.5 YR 7/6 7.5 YR 4/1 scl Bw1 15-36 5 YR 4/4 2.5 YR 3/4 scl Bw2 36-90 2.5 YR 3/4 2.5 YR 3/2 scl P2: Fine, smectitic, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplusterts Ap 0-24 10YR 4/2 10YR 2/2 c Bss 45-110 10YR 3/1 10YR 2/2 c Bss scl Bw1 15-45 5 YR 4/3 — scl scl Bw2 45-70 5 YR 4/4 — scl scl Bw2 45-70 5 YR 5/3 — scl scl Bw2 45-70 5 YR 5/3 — scl scl Bw2 45-70 5 YR 5/3 — scl scl Bw2 70-90 <</td> <td>(Moist) (Wet) C (Moist) (Wet) C P1: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Udic Paleustalfs Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/8 5 YR 4/5 scl f Bt 1645 7.5 YR 5/4 7.5 YR 3/4 scl f BC 45.75 7.5 YR 7/6 7.5 YR 3/4 scl f Bw1 15-36 5 YR 4/4 2.5 YR 3/2 scl f P2: Fine, smectitic, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplusterts m m m Ap 0-24 10YR 2/2 10YR 2/2 c m Bss1 45-110 10YR 3/1 10YR 3/2 c m P3: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplustepts Ap 0-15 5 YR 4/3 — scl f Bw1 15-45 5 YR 4/3 — scl f Bw2 45-70 5 YR 4/6 — scl f Bw3 70-90 5 YR 4/6 — scl</td> <td>(Moist) (Wet) C G P1: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Udic Paleustalfs Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/8 5 YR 4/5 scl f 2 Bt 16-45 7.5 YR 5/6 scl f 2 BC 45-75 7.5 YR 7/6 7.5 YR 3/4 scl f 2 Bw1 15-36 5 YR 4/4 2.5 YR 3/4 scl f 2 Bw2 36-90 2.5 YR 3/4 2.5 YR 3/2 scl f 2 P2: Fine, smectitic, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplusterts Ap 0-24 10YR 4/2 10YR 2/2 c m 3 Bg 24-45 10YR 3/1 10YR 3/2 c m 3 Bss1 16-4 10YR 3/1 10YR 2/2 c m 3 Bss 10YR 3/1 10YR 3/2 c m 3 3 P3: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplustalfs Ap 0-15 5 YR 4/4 - scl f 2<</td>	(Moist) (Wet) P1: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Udic Paleustalfs Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/8 5 YR 4/5 scl Bt 1645 7.5 YR 5/4 5 YR 3/4 scl BC 45-75 7.5 YR 7/6 7.5 YR 4/1 scl Bw1 15-36 5 YR 4/4 2.5 YR 3/4 scl Bw2 36-90 2.5 YR 3/4 2.5 YR 3/2 scl P2: Fine, smectitic, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplusterts Ap 0-24 10YR 4/2 10YR 2/2 c Bss 45-110 10YR 3/1 10YR 2/2 c Bss scl Bw1 15-45 5 YR 4/3 — scl scl Bw2 45-70 5 YR 4/4 — scl scl Bw2 45-70 5 YR 5/3 — scl scl Bw2 45-70 5 YR 5/3 — scl scl Bw2 45-70 5 YR 5/3 — scl scl Bw2 70-90 <	(Moist) (Wet) C (Moist) (Wet) C P1: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Udic Paleustalfs Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/8 5 YR 4/5 scl f Bt 1645 7.5 YR 5/4 7.5 YR 3/4 scl f BC 45.75 7.5 YR 7/6 7.5 YR 3/4 scl f Bw1 15-36 5 YR 4/4 2.5 YR 3/2 scl f P2: Fine, smectitic, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplusterts m m m Ap 0-24 10YR 2/2 10YR 2/2 c m Bss1 45-110 10YR 3/1 10YR 3/2 c m P3: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplustepts Ap 0-15 5 YR 4/3 — scl f Bw1 15-45 5 YR 4/3 — scl f Bw2 45-70 5 YR 4/6 — scl f Bw3 70-90 5 YR 4/6 — scl	(Moist) (Wet) C G P1: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Udic Paleustalfs Ap 0-16 7.5 YR 5/8 5 YR 4/5 scl f 2 Bt 16-45 7.5 YR 5/6 scl f 2 BC 45-75 7.5 YR 7/6 7.5 YR 3/4 scl f 2 Bw1 15-36 5 YR 4/4 2.5 YR 3/4 scl f 2 Bw2 36-90 2.5 YR 3/4 2.5 YR 3/2 scl f 2 P2: Fine, smectitic, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplusterts Ap 0-24 10YR 4/2 10YR 2/2 c m 3 Bg 24-45 10YR 3/1 10YR 3/2 c m 3 Bss1 16-4 10YR 3/1 10YR 2/2 c m 3 Bss 10YR 3/1 10YR 3/2 c m 3 3 P3: Fine-loamy, mixed, iso-hyperthermic Typic Haplustalfs Ap 0-15 5 YR 4/4 - scl f 2<

Table 1. Morphological properties of the pedons.

Pedon No and Location	Horizon	Mechanical composition (%)			Bulk density	H.C (cm/hr)	Moisture retention (%)	
		Sand	Silt	Clay	Mg m ⁻³		33 kPa	1500 kPa
P1:Chevella	Ap	64.0	14.0	22.0	1.39	6.6	19.7	6.5
	Bt	60.0	10.0	30.0	1.26	4.2	22.9	7.6
	BC	66.0	10.0	24.0	1.49	3.5	15.6	5.2
	С	68.0	7.0	25.0	1.51	1.2	13.2	4.5
P2:Rajendranagar	Ap	39.4	18.0	42.6	1.24	3.2	18.0	10.0
	Bg	41.4	10.0	48.6	1.32	1.5	32.8	12.6
	Bss ₁	42.4	12.0	45.6	1.36	1.6	35.6	20.8
	Bss ₂	43.6	14.0	42.4	1.38	0.4	42.8	22.2
P3:Ibrahimpatnam	Ap	63.1	7.1	29.8	1.39	8.4	7.9	2.2
	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{w}_{1}$	66.9	6.9	26.2	1.43	9.8	8.1	2.9
	Bw,	67.3	6.8	25.9	1.55	7.6	9.3	3.7
	Bw ² 3	65.2	6.9	27.9	1.61	6.4	10.1	4.1
P4:Thandur	Ap	56.0	12.0	32.0	1.27	5.8	19.4	10.9
	AB	55.0	11.0	34.0	1.29	2.6	22.9	12.6
	Bg	56.0	7.0	37.0	1.32	3.6	24.6	15.4
	Bť	49.0	6.0	45.0	1.35	2.6	26.2	19.2
	С	52.0	12.0	36.0	1.39	1.6	30.5	21.5
P5:Shadnagar	Ap	77.1	8.9	13.9	1.44	13.1	16.8	12.2
	Bt	68.1	8.3	23.6	1.55	12.6	18.8	13.6
	BC	63.0	8.6	28.3	1.56	11.8	15.2	11.1
P6:Palem	Ap	46.5	19.5	34.0	1.48	2.6	22.9	17.9
	Bt_{1}	21.8	30.6	48.6	1.56	1.8	30.6	17.2
	Bt,	29.1	28.2	42.7	1.48	2.2	35.5	22.1
	Bt_3^2	29.3	26.4	44.3	1.51	4.8	38.7	16.9
P7:Jadcharla	Ap	66.4	7.2	26.4	1.58	8.2	14.6	8.9
	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{W}_{1}$	66.2	3.0	30.8	1.59	6.8	12.5	9.4
	$\mathbf{Bw}_{2}^{^{1}}$	67.4	4.0	28.6	1.52	6.1	11.9	8.4
	C	66.0	3.8	30.2	1.57	6.0	10.9	7.6
P8:Suryapeta	Ap	54.0	8.0	38.0	1.51	3.2	11.2	8.4
	Bk ₁	56.0	9.0	35.0	1.56	2.9	10.7	6.2
	Bk ¹	57.0	7.0	36.0	1.48	2.7	13.4	9.1
	BC	53.0	8.0	39.0	1.56	2.7	14.5	11.6
P9:Narayanapuram	Ap	65.5	12.5	22.0	1.52	8.8	12.4	6.2
	Bt ₁	62.0	7.2	30.8	1.65	5.2	13.4	8.1
	Bt_{2}	40.3	7.0	42.7	1.81	2.1	15.2	6.6
	C^{2}	52.0	8.8	39.2	1.56	1.8	18.3	8.5
P10:Gollapally	Ă	63.0	10.0	27.0	1.39	3.6	10.1	6.6
	AC	68.0	7.0	25.0	1.61	2.1	14.2	8.7
	C	64.0	6.0	30.0	1.52	1.2	15.3	9.3

Table 2. Physical properties of the pedons.

2014

Pedon No and Location	Horizon	pH EC OC ((dS m ⁻¹) (g kg ⁻¹) (c f			CEC) (c mol	Available nutrients (kg ha ⁻¹)		
					(p+) kg ⁻¹)	N	Р	K
P1:Chevella	Ар	8.1	0.10	9.0	20.9	120.0	70.1	301.6
	Bt	8.3	0.21	6.0	28.6	70.0	60.6	208.1
	BC	8.4	0.16	4.0	14.9	71.0	50.4	180.6
	С	8.6	0.26	4.0	11.7	41.0	31.3	88.0
P2:Rajendranagar	Ар	8	0.80	8.0	45.1	215.4	30.6	228.1
	Bg	8.4	0.88	7.6	42.2	204.7	29.4	254.6
	Bss ₁	8.7	1.20	6.1	35.2	188.4	24.7	210.0
	Bss,	8.4	1.21	5.6	32.8	94.0	9.8	97.3
P3:Ibrahimpatnam	Ap	7.1	0.11	4.2	21.3	139.0	8.3	316.9
	Bw_1	7.6	0.11	4.6	25.2	142.0	7.2	239.6
	Bw_2	8.0	0.13	3.2	29.1	120.0	6.9	204.9
	Bw3	8.2	0.12	3.1	33.5	109.0	5.4	183.6
P4:Thandur	Ар	8.0	0.12	7.9	30.0	257.1	60.9	397.3
	AB	8.1	0.52	5.9	32.0	210.1	58.3	188.2
	Bg	8.2	0.18	4.1	35.0	163.0	43.3	181.2
	Bť	8.2	0.21	2.9	43.0	68.9	37.2	100.8
	С	8.1	0.51	2.0	47.0	43.8	20.9	92.4
P5:Shadnagar	Ар	6.7	0.28	2.4	5.9	154.2	18.1	154.6
	Bt	7.1	0.35	1.2	6.4	101.5	10.6	132.3
	BC	7.2	0.36	0.9	7.9	93.3	9.6	108.0
P6:Palem	Ар	7.0	0.60	2.1	15.2	142.6	12.8	146.7
	Bt ₁	6.4	1.14	2.7	18.6	144.5	11.6	144.3
	Bt,	7.1	0.78	2.0	19.2	94.4	9.8	131.2
	Bt ₃	6.8	0.32	1.9	22.2	92.6	9.4	136.4
P7:Jadcharla	Ap	6.9	0.68	3.6	14.2	148.2	18.7	149.6
	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{w}_1$	6.7	0.55	5.2	26.1	150.5	16.4	132.6
	Bw ₂	6.8	0.61	4.8	22.1	109.7	11.6	130.2
	C	6.9	0.58	4.1	20.8	62.7	9.4	104.6
P8:Suryapeta	Ар	8.1	0.46	6.2	26.5	110.5	12.5	214.0
	Bk ₁	8.0	0.31	5.7	31.0	122.6	11.4	161.2
	Bk,	7.8	0.26	4.2	32.5	108.2	10.2	109.5
	ВČ	8.1	0.41	3.0	32.5	96.2	9.2	96.2
P9:Narayanapuram	Ар	6.8	0.34	8.2	18.0	284.6	32.6	316.7
	Bt ₁	6.9	0.32	4.0	20.5	181.3	10.1	309.3
	Bt,	7.3	0.29	3.4	20.9	104.2	8.6	204.5
	C	7.3	0.30	2.1	22.1	83.3	8.4	208.6
P10:Gollapally	А	8.1	0.24	9.7	19.2	328.9	60.7	420.1
	AC	8.1	0.25	6.2	23.8	276.6	43.3	358.6
	С	8.2	0.21	4.8	28.4	158.3	21.4	202.0

Table 3. Selected chemical characteristics of the pedons.

providing water with various sources. Thus this influenced the moisture conditions greatly.

The pedons 1, 4, 5, 6 and 9 were classified under Alfisols because of the presence of an argillic (Bt) sub-surface diagnostic horizon and the pedons 3, 7 and 8 were classified under the order Inceptisols because of the absence of any other diagnostic horizon other than cambic (Bw) horizon. As the moisture regime is ustic, the pedons 1, 4, 5, 6 and 9 were classified as Ustalfs, whereas the pedons 3 and 7 were classified as Ustepts at sub order level and were classified as Haplustepts at great group level because the pedons did not have either duripan or calcic horizon and the base saturation is more than 60 per cent at a depth between 0.2 to 0.7 m from the soil surface. The pedons 3 and 7 were classified as Typic Haplustepts at sub group level because these pedons did not have vertic properties and lithic contact with in 50 cm from the soil surface. The pedon 8 was classified under Calciustepts at great group level because of the presence of a horizon with more than 15 per cent calcium carbonate and this pedon was classified as Typic Calciustepts at sub group level because of absence of lithic contact within 50 cm of mineral soil surface and the absence of petrocalcic, gypsic horizon within 100 cm of mineral soil surface.

The pedon 1 and 5 were classified as "Paleustalfs" at great group level because of the absence of densic, lithic or para lithic contact within 15 cm of the mineral soil surface and the lower one half of the argilic horizon, one or more sub horizons with hue of 7.5YR or redder and chroma of 5 or more in 50 per cent or more of matrix and the pedon 1 was classified as Udic Paleustalfs at sub group level because of the Present land use condition, essentially good irrigation practices were followed for cultivation of crops in the last three decades. The soil and land resource environs are utilized properly, economically providing water with various sources. Thus this influenced the moisture conditions greatly. Further the moisture was also stored in the depth profile. The pedon 5 was classified as Typic Paleustalfs at sub group level because of an argillic horizon that has hue of 2.5YR or redder and the value, moist of three or less.

The pedons 4, 6 and 9 were classified under "Haplustalfs" at great group level because, other than argillic horizon these soils not having any horizon like natric horizon, petro calcic horizon, dulipan and plinthite horizons. Further, these pedons did not have vertic properties and lithic contact with in 50 cm from the soil surface. Hence these pedons were classified under Typic Haplustalfs at subgroup level respectively.

The pedon 2 was classified under Vertisols at order level and they express their morphology very identical through out che dirth with more than 30 percent clay in fine earth fraction of all the horizons. In these pedons clay exhibited significant swell-shrink characteristics and have a layer of 25 cm (or) more thick with an upper boundary with in 100 cm of mineral soil surface, that have slickensides which exhibited shiny and smooth surfaces at interspace of peds. Due to the presence of slikensides in a soil horizon and designated as Bss. The soils were ustic in soil moisture regime, hence these pedons were classified as "Usterts" at suborder level and at great group level these pedon were calssified as Haplusterts because the soil of pedon did not have either salic, gypsic and petrocalcic horizons within 100 cm depth. This pedon had EC less than 4 dS m⁻¹ and pH more than 4.5. The pedon 2 were classified as Typic Haplusterts at subgroup level because this pedon had deep cracks that remained open for more than 150 cumulative days for most years. Agarwal et al. (2012) classified the soils of Wardha district of Vidharbha region in to Typic Haplusterts based on above features.

The results lead to a conclusion that the rice-growing soils of southern Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh were shallow to very deep, moderately well to poorly drained, neutral to slightly alkaline, low to high in organic carbon, low to medium in CEC, moderately to high base saturated and sandy loam to clayey with variation in relation to physiography. Regarding nutrient status the soils were low to medium in available nitrogen, low to high in available phosphorous, low to high in available potassium. Different rice growing soils of Southern Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh were classified up to sub-group level.

LITERATURE CITED

- Agarwal D V, Ingle S R and Khambalkar H 2012 Characterization of some cotton growing soils of Wardha district of Vidharbha region (M.S., INDIA). Journal of Soils and Crops, 22(1): 159-167.
- Dey J K and Sehgal J L 1997 Characteristics and classification of some alluvium derived paddy and associated non-paddy soils of Assam. *Agropedology*, 7: 22-31.
- Dhanorkar B A, Niranjana K V, Koyal A, Naidu L G K, Reddy R S and Sarkar D 2010 Soil resource inventory of lateritic terrain of Medak district, Andhra Pradesh for sustainable crop planning. *Agropedology*, 20 (2): 97-102.
- Muhr G R, Datta N P, Subramone H S, Dever R F, Leley V K and Dimahire R L 1965 Soil testing in India. United States Agency for International Development Mission to India, New Delhi.
- Pardeep Kumar and Verma T S 2005 Characteristics and classification of some rice growing soils of Palam Valley of Himachal Pradesh. *Agropedology*, 15(2): 80-85.

- Rao A P V, Naidu M V S, Ramavatharam N and Rao R G 2008 Characterization, classification of soils of different land farms in Ramachandrapuram mandal of Chittoor District in Andhra Pradesh for sustainable land use planning. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 56(1): 23-33.
- Ratnam B V, Rao M S and Rao V S 2001 Characteristics of rice growing and non-rice growing Vertisols from Andhra Pradesh. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science, 49(2): 371-373.
- **Reza S K, Ahmed N and Pal S 2010** Characterization, classification and mapping of soils of Panja-rao watershed, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh. *Agropedology*, 20(2): 124-132.
- Soil Survey Staff 2006 Keys to Soil Taxonomy. U.S. Dept. Agric., Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington D. C. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi.
- Soil Survey Staff 1998 Keys to Soil Taxonomy. Eighth edition, National Resource Conservation Centre, USDA, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- Walkley A and Black I A 1934 An examination of the Dogiareff method for determination of soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid situation method. *Soil Science*, 37: 29-33.

(Received on 29.09.2012 and revised on 20.12.2012)