

Effect of Nitrogen and Weed Management Practices on Growth and Yield of Aromatic Rice under Aerobic Culture

S Tirumala Reddy, D Srinivasulu Reddy, V Sumathi and D Subramanyam

Department of Agronomy, S V Agricultural College, A.N.G.R.A.U, Tirupati 517 502

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at S.V. Agricultural College farm, Tirupati for two consecutive seasons of *rabi*, 2009, and 2010 on sandy clay loam soils to study the effect of varied nitrogen and weed management practices on growth parameters and yield of aromatic rice under aerobic culture. The results indicated that highest values of growth parameters *viz.*, plant height, leaf area index, number of tillers m^{-2} and dry matter production were recorded with application of highest level of nitrogen *i.e.* 160 kg ha⁻¹ while the lowest with lower level of nitrogen *i.e.* 100 kg ha⁻¹. Among the weed management practices pre emergence application of oxadiargyl @ 75 g ha⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS recorded the highest growth parameters and yield of aromatic rice, which was comparable with pre emergence application of pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 20g a.i ha⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS. The lowest growth and yield was associated with un-weeded check.

Key words : Aromatic rice, Aerobic culture, Growth parameters, Nitrogen and Weed management.

Rice is the staple food for more than three billion people, and over half the world's population and is the single biggest user of fresh water. On an average, about 2,500 liters of water need to be supplied (by rainfall and/or irrigation) to a rice field to produce 1 kg of rough rice. Aerobic rice saves water input and increases water productivity by reducing water requirement for land preparation and limiting seepage, percolation, and evaporation losses. Nitrogen is the most important limiting nutrient in rice production and has a positive influence on the yield. Yield losses due to weeds are greater in direct-seeded rice up to 73 per cent (Milberg and Hallgren, 2004). Research results from various locations showed that herbicides alone do not solve the purpose of weed control satisfactorily and they cause environmental pollution and hence environment friendly, low volume and labour efficient methods of weed control for aerobic rice is essential. Hence, the present experiment was conducted to study the effect of nitrogen along with weed management practices on growth parameters and yield of aromatic rice under aerobic culture.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted for two consecutive seasons of rabi, 2009, and 2010 at S.V.Agrilcultural College, Tirupati. The soil of the experimental site was sandy clay loam in texture. The experiment was laid out in split plot design replicated thrice. The treatments comprised of four levels of nitrogen viz., 100 kg ha⁻¹ (N₁), 120 kg ha⁻¹ $^{1}(N_{2})$, 140 kg ha⁻¹(N₂) and 160 kg ha⁻¹ (N₄) assigned to main plots and seven weed management practices viz., Un-weeded check (W_1) , PE of pretilachlor @ 500 g a.i ha⁻¹ (W_2) , PE of oxadiargyl @ 75 g a.i ha⁻¹ (W₂), PE of pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 20g a.i ha-1(W4), PE of pretilachlor @ 500 g a.i ha⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS(W₅), PE of oxadiargyl @ 75 g a.i ha⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS(W_6) and PE of pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 20g a.i ha⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 $DAS(W_{7})$ allotted to sub plots. Recommended dose of 60 and 50 kg ha⁻¹ P_2O_5 and k₂O applied basally. The test variety of rice was sugandha samba (RNR 2465). Nitrogen was applied in three equal splits viz., basal, maximum tillering and at panicle initiation. Growth parameters viz., plant height, leaf

		Rabi,	2009			<i>Rabi</i> ,201	0	
Treatments	AT	MT	ΡΙ	FL	AT	MT	Ιd	FL
Nitrogen								
100 kg ha ⁻¹ (N ₁)	18.7	38.5	46.0	55.0	19.0	39.0	47.9	55.3
120 kg ha ⁻¹ (N ₂)	19.8	40.2	50.5	57.9	20.1	41.0	51.7	58.5
$140 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(\text{N}_3)$	20.6	43.0	52.3	62.0	21.0	43.4	54.0	62.2
$160 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(\text{N}_4)$	20.7	43.7	52.5	62.3	21.3	43.6	54.5	63.2
SEm <u>+</u>	0.20	0.30	0.32	0.47	0.19	0.34	0.45	0.56
CD (P=0.05)	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.6	0.7	1.2	1.6	1.9
Weed management								
Un-weeded check (W ₁)	13.3	30.4	37.7	47.1	13.3	31.0	41.4	47.7
PE of pretilachlor $@500$ g a.i	19.6	39.5	48.4	53.0	19.1	40.2	48.6	57.8
ha^{-1} (W ₂)								
PE of oxadiargyl (a) 75 g a.i	20.7	41.8	50.6	59.2	20.7	42.1	51.8	60.3
$\frac{114}{25} \left(\frac{W_3}{2}\right)$		1 					u t	
TE OI pyrazosunuron cunyi (ω 20g a.i ha ⁻¹ (W,)	70.4	C.14	C.UC	78./	20.4	47.I	c.1c	<u>9.90</u>
PE of pretilachlor (a) 500 g a.i	21.3	43.8	53.6	63.2	22.0	44.0	54.6	62.4
ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS (W)								
PE of oxadiargyl (a) 75 g a.i	22.3	46.7	55.7	67.2	23.4	46.5	58.3	65.7
ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25								
PE of pyrazosulturon ethyl (α 20g a. i ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS (W ₇)	22.1	45.9	55.6	66.7	23.3	46.2	57.9	64.6
SEm +	0.21	0.52	0.56	0.98	0.38	0.50	0.93	0.70
CD (P=0.05)	0.6	1.5	1.6	2.8	1.1	1.4	2.6	2.0
AT- Active tillering, MT- Maximu	um tillering,	PI- Panicle i	nitiation, FL	- Flowering				

Table 1. Plant height (cm) of aromatic rice at different growth stages as influenced by nitrogen and weed management practices under

267

Table 2. Leaf area index of ar under aerobic culture	omatic ric	e at different	t growth stage	es as influence	d by nitrogen an	d weed r	nanagem	ent pract	tices	
			Rabi,2009				Rabi,2	2010		
Treatments	AT	MT	ΡΙ	FL	At harvest	АТ	МТ	Id	FL	At harvest
Nitrogen										
100 kg ha ⁻¹ (N,)	0.46	1.26	2.7	3.28	1.15	0.51	1.42	2.91	3.91	1.28
$120 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(N_{2})$	0.53	1.36	2.89	3.63	1.21	0.58	1.55	3.09	4.02	1.35
140 kg ha ⁻¹ (N_3)	0.58	1.57	3.16	3.81	1.29	0.64	1.77	3.34	4.34	1.43
$160 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(N_{a})$	0.61	1.62	3.19	3.89	1.33	0.66	1.84	3.49	4.41	1.46
SEm <u>+</u>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01
CD (P=0.05)	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.16	0.08	0.04
Weed management										
Un-weeded check (W,)	0.29	1.13	1.26	1.82	0.58	0.33	1.19	1.36	1.94	0.63
PE of pretilachlor @ 500 g a.i	0.50	1.33	3.06	3.67	1.23	0.55	1.51	3.17	4.21	1.37
ha^{-1} (W ₂)										
PE of oxadiargyl $@$ 75 g a.i ha ⁻¹ (W)	0.57	1.44	3.19	3.85	1.33	0.61	1.65	3.38	4.40	1.46
PE of pyrazosulfuron ethyl @	0.54	1.43	3.15	3.82	1.30	0.59	1.62	3.36	4.34	1.44
PE of pretilachlor (a) 500 g a.i	0.61	1.54	3.33	4.02	1.38	0.66	1.75	3.58	4.64	1.54
ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS (W ₂)										
PE of oxadiargyl ((a) 75 g a.i ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25	0.67	1.68	3.5	4.21	1.46	0.73	1.91	3.84	4.87	1.62
DAS (W ₆) PF of nvrazosulfirron ethvl @	0.65	1.63	3.46	4.18	1.43	0.71	1.86	3.77	4.81	1.61
20g a. i ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS (W ₋)					<u>)</u>					
$SEm \pm $	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.02
CD (P=0.05)	0.03	0.08	0.05	0.15	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.18	0.10	0.05

area index, number of tillers m^2 , drymatter production were recorded at different stages of crop growth *viz.*, active tillering, maximum tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and at harvest. Yield was recorded at harvest.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The plant height tends to increase with advance in the age of crop up to flowering (Table 1). The plant height measured at different growth stages *i.e.*, active tillering, maximum tillering, panicle initiation and flowering recorded highest values with the highest level of nitrogen application 160 kg ha⁻¹, which was at par with 140 kg ha⁻¹ during both the years. The next best was with nitrogen application 120 kg ha⁻¹ and it was significantly superior to 100 kg ha⁻¹. The shortest plants were recorded with application of nitrogen 100 kg ha⁻¹. The increase in plant height with increased levels of nitrogen might be attributed to the fact that higher nitrogen levels induce cell division and maintain higher auxin levels, which in turn stimulate cell elongation along the main axis leading to better elongation of internodes and finally resulted in conspicuous increase in plant height. Similar results of increase in plant height with increasing nitrogen levels have been amply documented by Maheswari et al. (2008) and Sathiya and Ramesh, (2009). Among different weed management practices, the tallest plants were noticed with pre-emergence(PE) application of oxadiargyl (a) 75 g ha⁻¹ supplemented with HW at 25 DAS, which was at par with PE application of pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 20g ha⁻¹ supplemented with HW at 25 DAS and both of them were significantly higher than rest of the weed management practices. This might be due to effective control of weeds by oxadiargyl or pyrazosulfuron lead to elimination of weed competition during critical stages of crop, resulted in increased plant height. The next best weed management practice in recording the plant height was the PE application of pretilachlor (a) 500g ha⁻¹ supplemented with HW at 25 DAS which was significantly higher than with PE application of oxadiargyl (a) 75 g ha⁻¹ alone and pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 20g ha⁻¹ alone and these two weed management practices were comparable with each other and significantly higher than with pretilachlor 500 (a) gha⁻¹ alone. The shortest plants were recorded with un-weeded check at all the stages of crop growth during both the years of experimentation due to heavy weed infestation, which resulted in increased competition for growth resources between crop and weeds which in turn reduced the plant height. These results corroborates the documented evidence by Ramana *et al.* (2007) and Bhandare *et al.* (2011)

Leaf area index (Table 2) and number of tillers m⁻² (Table 3) of aromatic rice increased progressively with the advance in the age of the crop up to flowering and then declined to harvest due to senescence of older leaves and mortality of tillers, where as dry matter production (Table 4) increased progressively up to harvest during both the years. All these growth parameters registered the highest with 160 kg N ha-1, which was at par with 140 kg N ha⁻¹. The next best nitrogen application 120 kg N ha⁻¹ and it was significantly superior to 100 kg N ha⁻¹. Higher nitrogen levels increases the availability of vital nutrients, there by production of more number of leaves and tillers per unit area, in addition to these higher plant height with higher level of nitrogen resulted in higher dry matter production. These findings are in conformity with those of Devi and Sumathi (2011). With regard to weed management practices, the highest growth parameters were recorded with pre-emergence application of oxadiargyl @ 75 g ha-1 supplemented with HW at 25 DAS, comparable with W_7 and these in turn superior to W₅ The next best treatment was W_3 which was at par with W_4 and both of them were significantly higher than W, Significantly lowest growth parameters were observed with W₁ The highest growth parameters with W_6 owing to effective control of all category of weeds during active crop growth period and reduced the nutrient uptake by weeds and gave better environment for development of growth attributing characters and lowest with W₁ was due to severe competition of rice plant with weeds for available nutrients which in turn reduced the plant height, number of tillers resulted in reduced dry matter production. Similar results were reported by Bhandare et al. (2011).

The highest grain yield and net returns (Table 5) were recorded with application of nitrogen 140 kg ha⁻¹, where as highest straw yield was produced with 160 kg N ha⁻¹ and both of them were in turn comparable. Application 120 kg N ha⁻¹ was next best level, while the lowest grain and straw

			Rabi,2	600				Rabi,20	10	
Treatments	AT	MT	Ιd	FL	At harvest	АТ	МТ	ΡΙ	FL	At harvest
Nitrogen										
100 kg ha ⁻¹ (N,)	133	296	334	353	232	139	310	348	356	235
$120 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(N_{s})$	138	310	343	361	245	143	319	356	364	251
$140 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(\text{N}_3)$	144	323	352	368	259	149	329	367	372	262
$160 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(N_{A})$	146	329	354	369	262	150	334	373	376	265
SEm +	1.22	3.22	1.99	1.67	1.41	0.58	2.31	2.05	1.93	1.11
CD (P=0.05)	4	12	7	9	5	2	7	7	7	4
Weed management										
Un-weeded check (W,)	80	247	275	307	218	82	238	305	313	228
PE of pretilachlor @ 500 g a.i	135	303	336	358	237	141	316	352	360	247
ha ⁻¹ (W ₂)										
PE of oxadiargyl @ 75 g a.i	144	319	354	367	250	153	331	366	371	254
$\operatorname{IId}^{-1}(W_3)$										
PE of pyrazosulturon ethyl (<i>a</i>) 20a a i ha- ¹ (W)	142	316	349	365	248	150	326	362	368	252
PE of pretilachlor (a) 500 g a.i	156	331	362	374	256	159	341	374	379	259
ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25										
PE of oxadiargyl (a) 75 g a.i	162	347	376	387	270	167	357	386	390	268
ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25										
PE of pyrazosulfuron ethyl $@$	160	344	372	382	266	165	352	382	387	265
20g a. i ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS (W ₋)										
SEm +	1.22	3.74	2.44	2.06	1.66	1.51	3.13	1.98	2.36	1.58
CD (P=0.05)	3	10	7	6	5	4	6	6	7	4

practices under aero	obic cult	ure	977; 0					10C : T~ Q		
			KaDI,	5002				<i>Nabl,2</i> 01		
Treatments	АТ	MT	ΡΙ	FL	At harvest	АТ	MT	ΡΙ	FL	At harvest
Nitrogen										
$100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(N_1)$	1668	4190	5844	6246	7310	1674	4139	5804	6272	7374
120 kg ha ⁻¹ (N ₂)	1814	4460	6135	6546	7591	1828	4429	6178	6601	7693
$140 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} (N_3)$	2070	5003	6759	7117	8283	2158	5125	6986	7411	8485
$160 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(N_{a})$	2121	5064	6761	7184	8354	2196	5192	7074	7503	8557
SEm <u>+</u>	16.3	18.8	26	28.29	34.82	11.98	22.24	27.25	28	22.48
CD (P=0.05)	57	65	90	98	121	42	LL	95	76	78
Weed management										
Un-weeded check (W,)	594	2267	3516	4080	4420	839	2251	3613	4180	4561
PE of pretilachlor @ 500 g a.i	1906	4580	6358	6757	8006	1955	4779	6564	6984	8185
$\lim_{n \to \infty} (w_2) \qquad \qquad$										
PE of oxadiargyl (a) 75 g a.1 ha ⁻¹ (W ₃)	2003	4804	6511	6902	8164	2027	4919	6729	7168	8364
PE of pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ $20g a.i ha^{-1} (W.)$	1977	4763	6460	6842	8106	2017	4882	6694	7129	8318
PE of pretilachlor (a) 500 g a.i ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25	2253	5299	0602	7464	8673	2230	5266	7217	7591	8773
DAS (W _s)										
PE of oxadiargyl @ 75 g a.i ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25	2360	5548	7371	7716	8924	2349	5493	7403	7815	9014
PE of pyrazosulfuron ethyl (a)	2334	5495	7321	7651	8898	2330	5457	7353	7761	8975
20g a.i ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25 DAS (W ₂)										
SEm +	23.77	24.68	19.14	26.89	26.52	19.6	27.39	34.19	35.9	43.4
CD (P=0.05)	67	70	55	76	75	56	78	98	102	123

Response of rice to nitrogen and weed management

		Rabi, 200	9			Rabi,	2010	
Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index	Net returns (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index	Net returns (Rs ha ⁻¹)
Nitrogen								
$100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(\text{N}_{1})$	1802	4231	0.28	36742	1844	4281	0.28	37455
$120 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}(N_2)$	2062	4682	0.29	43248	2089	4759	0.29	43596
140 kg ha ⁻¹ (N_3)	2364	4940	0.31	50870	2431	4995	0.31	52187
160 kg ha ⁻¹ (N_4)	2324	5023	0.30	49700	2380	5093	0.30	50760
SEm <u>+</u>	15.25	24.40	0.002	394	19.75	29.55	0.002	499
CD (P=0.05)	53	84	0.01	1365	68	102	0.01	1727
Weed management								
Un-weeded check (W_1)	463	3643	0.11	3202	500	3594	0.12	3702
PE of pretilachlor @ 500 g a.i	1818							
$ha^{-1}(W_2)$		4630	0.28	37434	1925	4719	0.28	39806
PE of oxadiargyl @ 75 g a.i	2211							
$ha^{-1}(W_3)$		4783	0.32	47171	2275	4856	0.32	48446
PE of pyrazosulfuron ethyl @	2189							
$20g a.i ha^{-1}(W_4)$		4769	0.31	47031	2201	4841	0.31	47000
PE of pretilachlor @ 500 g a.i	2517							
ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25		4910	0.34	54142	2591	5042	0.34	55716
DAS (W_5)	• • • •							
PE of oxadiargyl (a) 75 g a.i	2917	5154	0.36	64105	2936	5227	0.36	64244
ha ⁻¹ fb hand weeding at 25								
DAS (W_6)	2052	5144	0.25	(2002	0.070	5107	0.25	(2002
PE of pyrazosulturon ethyl (a)	2852	5144	0.35	62893	2872	5196	0.35	63082
20g a.1 ha ⁻¹ 1b hand weeding								
at 25 DAS (W_7)	27 (2	21 72	0.002	(00	26.71	41.65	0.002	(7)
$SEIII \underline{T}$	27.02 70	31.72 00	0.003	089	20./1	41.00	0.003	0/4 1016
CD(r=0.03)	19	90	0.01	1930	/0	110	0.01	1910

 Table 5. Grain, straw yield, harvest index and net returns of aromatic rice as influenced by nitrogen and weed management practices under aerobic culture

yields along with net returns were recorded with application of 100 kg N ha⁻¹. Increased N supply would have improved the metabolic activity and cell division resulting in increased growth and yield components coupled with higher metabolic activity, produced higher yields of aerobic rice led to higher returns. Higher levels of nitrogen application at 160 kg N ha⁻¹ recorded lesser grain yield than 140 kg N ha⁻¹ due to the reason that over dose of nitrogen application produced profuse tillering which led to competition among them resulting in conversion of lesser number of tillers into effective tillers and reduced the quantity of transfer of photosynthates from source to sink would have resulted with more number of ill filled grains. These results are in accordance with the findings of Gautam *et al.* (2008) and Sathiya and Ramesh, (2009). Among the weed management practices, the highest grain and straw yields along with net returns were recorded with pre-emergence application of oxadiargyl @ 75 g ha⁻¹ supplemented with HW at 25 DAS, comparable with PE application of pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 20g ha⁻¹ supplemented with HW at 25 DAS and these in turn superior to PE of pretilachlor @ 500 g ha-1 supplemented with HW at 25 DAS Application of herbicides alone with out hand weeding oxadiargyl @ 75 g ha-1 and pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 20g ha⁻¹ were next best treatments comparable with each other and both of them were significantly higher than pretilachlor (a) 500 g ha⁻¹ Significantly lowest yields and returns were observed with un-weeded check The highest vields and net returns with PE of oxadiargyl @ 75 g ha⁻¹ supplemented with HW at 25 DAS might be due to higher weed control efficiency during early growth stages of crop, there by competition between crop and weeds for nutrients was minimized and made the crop plants to utilize available nutrients more efficiently through out crop growth period which in turn positively influenced the grain and straw yields in turn resulted in higher returns and lowest with un-weeded check was due to severe competition offered by weeds for available growth resources through out crop growth period severely affect the yields. These results are in confirmity with the findings of Rajkhowa et al. (2005) and Arul Chezhian and Kathiresan, (2008).

The experimental results concluded that nitrogen @ 140 kg ha⁻¹ with pre emergence application of oxadiargyl @ 75 g ha-1 or pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 20g ha-1 supplemented with hand weeding at 25 DAS was effective for higher growth parameters and yield of aromatic rice under aerobic culture.

LITERATURE CITED

- Arul Chezhian M P and Kathiresan R M 2008 Studies on the effect of Integrated weed management in rice. Plant Archives, 8 (2): 679-682.
- Bhandare V L, Nawlakhe S M, Mankar D D and Adkine P M 2011 Efficacy of oxadiargyl on weed control and yield of aromatic rice. Journal of Soils and Crops, 21(2): 273-279.

- Devi M G and Sumathi V 2011 Effect of nitrogen management on growth, yield and quality of scented rice (Oryza sativa L.) under aerobic conditions. Journal of Research ANGRAU, 39(3): 81-83.6.
- Gautam A K, Kumar K, Dinesh Shivay Y S and Mishra B N 2008 Influence of nitrogen levels and plant spacing on growth, productivity and quality of two inbred varieties and a hybrid of aromatic rice. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 54:515-532.
- Maheswari J, Jaya Kumar Bose, Sangeetha S P, Sanjutha S and Sathya Priya R 2008 Irrigation regimes and N levels influence chlorophyll, leaf area index, proline and soluble protein content of Aerobic rice. International Journal of Agricultural Research, 3(4): 307-316.
- Milberg P and Hallgren E 2004 Yield loss due to weeds in cereals and its large-scale variability in Sweden. Field crops Research, 86 (2-3): 199-209.
- Rajkhowa D J, Barua I C, Deka N and Borah **D** 2005 Bio-efficacy of oxadiargyl in transplanted rice under rainfed conditions. Indian Journal of Weed Science, 37(3/4): 258-259.
- Ramana A V, Reddy D S and Ramakumar Reddy K 2007 Influence of sowing time and nitrogen levels on growth, yield and N uptake of rainfed upland rice varieties. The Andhra Agricultural Journal, 54(3&4): 114-120.
- Sathiya K and Ramesh T 2009 Effect of split application of nitrogen on growth and yield of aerobic rice. Asian Journal of Experimental Sciences, 23 (1): 303-306.

(Received on 29.09.2012 and revised on 17.01.2013)