

Interaction Effects of Entomopathogenic Fungi on Lesser Grain Borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) in Paddy

P Jyothi N Sambasiva Rao and P Anil Kumar

Department of Entmology, Agricultural College, Bapatla – 522 101

ABSTRACT

The interaction effects of entomopathogenic fungi, *Beauveria bassiana, Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Lecanicillium lecanii* were tested against lesser grain borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* at Post Harvest Technology Centre, Bapatla during the year 2011-12. The interactions of entomopathogenic fungi at 15 DAT, revealed that the grains treated with *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* have recorded the highest adult mortality of 96.30% followed by *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (92.1%) when compared to *Beauveria* (89.2%), *Metarhizium* (84.6%) and *Lecanicillium* (62.2%) tested alone. At 180 DAT, *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* has recorded least progeny adults and per cent weight loss of 119.7 and 17.7% followed by *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* (122.00 and 18.8%) when compared to control (398.67 and 51.3%). Highest per cent reduction in progeny was observed with *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (69.9%) followed by *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* (69.40%), *Beauveria* alone (66.6%) and *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (65.5%) when compared to control at 180 DAT. *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* has recorded high per cent reduction in weight loss of 65.5% followed by *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* (63.4%), *Beauveria* (61.8%) and *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (60.5%) when compared to control at 180 DAT.

Key words : Beauveria bassiana, Entomopathogenic fungi, Lesser grain borer, Lecanicillium lecanii, Metarhizium anisopliae.

Paddy is the most important staple food crop of India. About 65% of Indian population is dependent on rice for food stuff. After harvesting, unprocessed rice will be stored for various lengths of time at producers, wholesalers and millers level. While in storage, rice is at risk to infestation by a wide range of stored product insects like Rice moth (Corcyra cephalonica Stainton), Rice weevil (Sitophilus oryzae Linn.) and lesser grain borer (Rhyzopertha dominica Fabricius). In India, upto 12% of post harvest losses were caused by insect pests (Mohan, 2003). Lesser grain borer, R. dominica is a major insect pest of many stored grains, including rice (Arthur et al., 2007) etc. The infestations of R. dominica cause loss of biomass (Swaminathan, 1977). Losses due to this pest have been estimated at 15% or more of total grains stored each year (Batta, 2005).

Application of insecticides is one of the preventing measures to reduce losses during storage period. The continuous use of chemical insecticides for control has also resulted in serious problems such as resistance to the insecticides, pest

resurgence, elimination of economically beneficial insects, and toxicity to humans and wildlife. These problems and the demand for pesticide free foods have triggered efforts to find alternative management options (Padin et al., 2002). Microbial pesticides are one such alternative to tackle insecticide problems. Several reports are available on efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi like Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuiillemin, Metarhizium anisopliae (Metschnikoff) Sorokin and Lecanicillium lecanii (Zimmerman) on storage insect pests (Batta, 2005; Buba, 2010 & Hafez, 2011). Dal Bello et al. (2000) reported that the interaction of B. bassiana and M. anisopliae caused greater mortality of S. orvzae adults than the two fungi tested alone in storage. Zimmermann (2007a & b) reported that B. bassiana and M. anisopliae are considered to be safe with minimal risks to vertebrates, humans and the environment. In the present study, interaction effects of above three entomopathogenic fungi in mixtures against lesser grain borer, R. dominica in paddy were reported.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Post Harvest Technology Center, Bapatla during the year 2011-12. The fungal isolates of *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae and L. lecanii* were procured from Plant Pathology laboratory, Directorate of Oilseeds Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh. The paddy variety BPT 5204 (Sambamashuri) was procured from Rice Research Unit, Bapatla, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh.

The pure cultures of all the three fungi were maintained and preserved on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) slants. Further, these cultures were mass multiplied by inoculating into the flask containing sterilized Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) and were incubated at 32°C in a incubator till the profused sporulation was attained. Then the mycelia mat along with spores was thoroughly macerated in a sterile pestle & mortar. The macerated material was then transferred to sterile conical flasks under aseptic conditions. The suspension of the fungi was mixed to the sterile talc powder at the rate of 1: 4 (250 ml/kg of carrier material). The population of the fungi in the talc powder formulation was determined by standard dilution technique by using MRBA. The population of the fungi was 2 x10⁶, 1×10^9 , and $2 \times 10^7/g$ in B. bassiana, M. anisopliae and L. lecanii formulations, respectively.

Adults of lesser grain borer, *R. dominica* were collected from the stock culture of Entomology laboratory, Post Harvest Technology Centre, Bapatla and were transferred into 250 g of disinfested Paddy grains (BPT 5204) in a plastic jar of 1 L capacity. The released adults were allowed for 20 days to lay sufficient eggs in culture jars, later the adults were removed and the jars were kept for progeny adult emergence. The jars were regularly observed for adult emergence after 30 days of release. The newly emerged adults were used for experimental purpose.

The fungal formulations of 1.25 g each of *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *L. lecanii*, 0.625 g each of *B. bassiana* + *M. anisopliae*, *B.bassiana* + *L. lecanii* and *M. anisoplea* + *L. lecanii* and 0.3125 g each of *B. bassiana* + *M. anisoplea* + *L. lecanii* were added to 250 g of paddy separately in each replication and mixed the grain thoroughly till all the dust distributed uniformly on the grain. Later the treated grain was kept in 0.5 L plastic jar, five pairs of freshly emerged adults

(0-24 h old) were released and covered with muslin cloth for aeration. Three replications were maintained for each treatment. The experiment was conducted under ambient conditions. Observations were recorded on adult mortality at 7 DAT and 15 DAT. The progeny buildup and per cent weight loss was recorded from 30 DAT to 180 DAT at fortnight interval. To calculate the per cent corrected mortality the following Abbott's formula was used.

% test mortality - % control mortality
Abbott's formula =
$$-$$
 x 100
100 - % control mortality

The per cent weight loss was calculated by the following formula.

Per cent weight loss =
$$\frac{(U.Nd) - (D.Nu)}{U (Nd+Nu)} \times 100$$

Where,

U = weight of undamaged grains

Nu = number of undamaged grains

D = weight of damaged grains

Nd = Number of damaged grains.

The adult mortality and per cent weight loss were transformed into arcsine values and the progeny build-up was transformed into square root values and was subjected to Complete Randomized Design (CRD) analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS Effect on released adults

The data pertaining to the interaction effects of entomopathogenic fungi against the mortality of *R. dominica* were presented in Table 1. The data at 7 DAT indicated that among the different fungal treatments, *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* was found superior in causing highest adult mortality (67.9%) which was on par with *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (64.5%), *Beauveria* (64.1%), *Metarhizium* (56.7%) and *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (53.3%). *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (46.7%) and *Lecanicillium* (39.3%) had produced less adult mortality, but were significantly different from control.

Similar trend was observed at 15 DAT with *Beauveria + Metarhizium* produced highest adult mortality of 96.3% which was on par with *Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium*

Table 1. Interaction effects of entomopathogenic fungi against the mortality of R. dominica in paddy.

Treatments		Mortality (%)		
	Dosage (g/kg grain)	7 DAT	15 DAT	
Beauveria bassiana	5	64.07	89.17	
		(53.27) ^a	(74.28) ab	
Metarhizium anisopliae	5	56.67	84.63	
-		$(48.95)^{ab}$	$(64.40)^{bc}$	
Lecanicillium lecanii	5	39.26	62.22	
		(38.79)°	(52.20)°	
Beauveria + Metarhizium	2.5 + 2.5	67.78	96.30	
		(55.65) ^a	$(83.55)^a$	
Beauveria + Lecanicillium	2.5 + 2.5	53.33	81.30	
		$(46.95)^{abc}$	(64.69)bc	
Metarhizium+ Lecanicillium	2.5 + 2.5	46.67	63.06	
		$(43.10)^{bc}$	(52.61)°	
Beauveria + Metarhizium+	1.67 +	64.44	92.13	
Lecanicillium	1.67+1.67	(53.64) ^a	$(76.65)^{ab}$	
Control*		0.00	0.00	
SE.m±		± 3.10	± 4.87	
CD (0.05)		9.30	14.61	

DAT- Days After Treatment Means in a column with the same letter are not significantly different The values in parentheses are Arc sine transformed values

(92.2%) and *Beauveria* (89.2%). The next best treatments were *Metarhizium* (84.6%) followed by *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (81.3%), *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (63.1%) and *Lecanicillium* (62.2%) and were on par with each other. However, all the treatments were significantly different from control

The results are in agreement with the findings of Dal-Bello *et al.* (2001) who have reported that the fungal mix of *B. bassiana* strain ARSEF5500 + *M. anisopliae* strain ARSEF 2974 caused highest mortality (51.7%) of *S. oryzae* than the fungus tested alone. The results obtained in present study against the mortality of *R. dominica* at 15 DAT with *Beauveria*, *Metarhizium* and *Lecanicillium* alone was 89.2, 84.6 & 62.2, respectively whereas the interaction of *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* fungus produced the mortality of 96.3% which has showed the synergistic effect, but *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (81.3) and

Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (63.1%) has produced the antagonistic effect when Lecanicillium was added to Beauveria & Metarhizium. Similar results were obtained with Mahmoud (2009) who reported 80, 92 & 100 per cent mortality of Olive fly, Bactrocera oleae with B. bassiana, M. anisopliae and L. lecanii, but in combination with B. bassiana + M. anisopliaeshowed synergistic effect, by causing 100% mortality, whereas antagonistic effect was recorded with B. bassiana + L. lecanii (72 %) and anisopliae + L. lecanii (62%) at 1 x 108 conidia/ ml. Baker & Cook (1982) reported that the complex association in nature are more stable and attains successful biocontrol with a mixture of several bioagents than with a single alone.

Effect on progeny build-up

The data pertaining to the interaction effects of entomopathogenic fungi against the

Table 2. Interaction effects of entomopathogenic fungi against the progeny adult build up of lesser grain borer, *R. dominica*.

		Progeny adult build-up (No.)					
Treatments	Dosage (g/kg grain)	30 DAT	60 DAT	90DAT	120 DAT	150 DAT	180DAT
Beauveria bassiana	5	4.33	29.94	50.33	72.33	103.67	133.33
		$(2.19)^{c}$	$(4.81)^{bc}$	$(7.11)^{b}$	$(8.51)^{bc}$	$(10.19)^{cd}$	'(11.55)bc
Metarhizium anisopliae	5	5.00	27.33	60.33	76.67	110.00	144.00
_		$(2.34)^{bc}$	$(5.21)^{bc}$	$(7.80)^{b}$	$(8.77)^{bc}$	$(10.50)^{c}$	$(12.00)^{c}$
Lecanicillium lecanii	5	8.33	42.00	69.00	96.67	139.33	175.67
		$(2.96)^{b}$	$(6.52)^{b}$	$(8.33)^{b}$	$(9.86)^{b}$	$(11.82)^b$	$(13.27)^{b}$
Beauveria + Metarhizium	2.5 + 2.5	5.33	20.67	48.33	63.00	93.00	122.00
		$(2.41)^{bc}$	$(4.60)^{c}$	$(6.98)^{b}$	$(7.97)^{c}$	$(9.67)^{cd}$	$(11.06)^{d}$
Beauveria + Lecanicillium	2.5 + 2.5	5.67	29.94	52.00	74.33	99.33	137.67
		$(2.44)^{bc}$	$(4.81)^{bc}$	$(7.23)^{b}$	$(8.65)^{bc}$	$(9.98)^{cd}$	$(11.74)^{bc}$
Metarhizium+ Lecanicillium	2.5 + 2.5	6.67	38.33	57.33	77.67	106.67	138.67
		$(2.68)^{bc}$	$(6.21)^{bc}$	$(7.60)^{b}$	$(8.83)^{bc}$	$(10.35)^{c}$	$(11.79)^{bc}$
Beauveria + Metarhizium+	1.67 +	4.33	18.33c	40.67	63.00	88.33	119.67
Lecanicillium	1.67+1.67	$(2.18)^{c}$	(4.32)	$(6.38)^{b}$	$(7.97)^{c}$	$(9.42)^{d}$	$(10.95)^{d}$
Control*		26.00	319.00	514.33	530.00	462.67	398.67
		$(5.11)^a$	$(17.76)^a$	$(22.58)^{a}$	$(22.96)^a$	$(21.52)^a$	$(19.98)^a$
SE.m±		0.24	0.58	0.62	0.51	0.27	0.34
CD (0.05)		0.73	1.74	1.86	1.54	0.81	1.03

DAT – Days After Treatment

The values in parentheses are square root transformed values In each column values with similar alphabet do not vary significantly at 5%

progeny of R. dominica were presented in Table 2. The observations recorded on 30 DAT showed that Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium and Beauveria were most effective & recorded equal progeny of 4.3 and were on par with Metarhizium (5.0), Beauveria+ Metarhizium (5.3), Beauveria + Lecanicillium (5.7) and Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (6.7) but was significantly different from Lecanicillium (8.3). All these treatments were significantly different from control (26.0) (Table 2). Highest per cent reduction in progeny was observed with Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (89.4%) followed by Beauveria+ Metarhizium (89.1%), Beauveria alone (88.8 %) and Metarhizium alone (86.6 %) when compared to control after 45 DAT. The data at 60 DAT showed less progeny with Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (18.3) which was on par with Beauveria + Metarhizium (20.7), Metarhizium (27.3), Beauveria (29.9) and Beauveria+ Lecanicillium (29.9). The highest progeny was recorded with Lecanicillium (42.0) and Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (38.3) which was on par with each other. All the treatments were significantly different from control (319.0) (Table 2).

At 90 DAT lowest progeny was recorded with *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (40.7) followed by *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* (48.3), *Beauveria* (50.3), *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (52.0), *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (57.3). The highest progeny were recorded with *Lecanicillium* (69.0) followed by *Metarhizium* (60.3). All the treatments were on par with each other and were significantly different from control (514.3) (Table 2). Highest per cent

Table 3. Interaction effects of entomopathogenic fungi against weight loss (%) by lesser grain borer, *R. dominica*.

		Weight loss (%)				
Treatments	Dosage (g/kg grain)	60 DAT	90DAT	120 DAT	150 DAT	180 DAT
Beauveria bassiana	5	2.23	4.92	7.96	13.90	19.56
		$(8.59)^{cd}$	$(12.82)^{cd}$	$(16.37)^{c}$	$(21.87)^{c}$	$(25.63)^{bc}$
Metarhizium anisopliae	5	2.42	5.56	10.33	14.54	21.28
		$(8.91)^{cd}$	$(13.64)^{bcd}$	$(18.69)^{bc}$	$(22.40)^{bc}$	$(27.47)^{bc}$
Lecanicillium lecanii	5	3.60	7.00	13.50	18.19	23.81
		$(10.89)^{b}$	$(15.30)^{bc}$	$(21.53)^{b}$	$(25.25)^{b}$	$(29.18)^b$
Beauveria + Metarhizium	2.5 + 2.5	2.11	4.83	7.59	12.25	18.75
		$(8.36)^{d}$	$(12.66)^{cd}$	$(15.98)^{c}$	$(20.47)^{c}$	$(26.25)^{bc}$
Beauveria + Lecanicillium	2.5 + 2.5	2.56	5.07	8.41	14.48	20.26
		$(9.19)^{cd}$	$(13.00)^{cd}$	$(16.78)^{c}$	$(22.34)^{bc}$	$(26.75)^{bc}$
Metarhizium+ Lecanicillium	12.5 + 2.5	3.16	7.44	12.17	15.55	22.98
		$(10.21)^{bc}$	$(15.83)^{b}$	$(20.40)^{b}$	$(23.22)^{bc}$	$(28.65)^{b}$
Beauveria + Metarhizium+	1.67 +	2.04	4.44	7.66	12.17	17.68
Lecanicillium	1.67+1.67	$(8.23)^{d}$	$(12.13)^{d}$	$(16.04)^{c}$	$(20.42)^{c}$	$(24.85)^{c}$
Control*		10.11	20.36	30.11	43.42	51.27
		$(18.51)^{a}$	$(26.73)^{a}$	$(33.10)^a$	$(41.26)^a$	$(45.77)^a$
SE.m±		0.50	0.89	1.04	0.85	1.02
CD (0.05)		1.49	2.68	3.12	2.55	3.04

DAT – Days After Treatment

The values in parentheses are square root transformed values In each column values with similar alphabet do not vary significantly at 5%

reduction in progeny was observed with Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (92.1%) followed by Beauveria+ Metarhizium (90.6%), Beauveria alone (90.2%) and Beauveria + Lecanicillium (89.9%) when compared to control. Similar trend was observed at 120 DAT with less progeny adult build up was recorded in Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (63.0) and Beauveria + Metarhizium (63.0) which were on par with Beauveria (72.3), Beauveria + Lecanicillium (74.3), Metarhizium (76.7) and Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (77.7) and were significantly different from *Lecanicillium*. All the treatments were significantly different from control (530.0) (Table 2). Highest per cent reduction in progeny was observed with Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (87.8%) followed by Beauveria+

Metarhizium (87.4%), Beauveria alone (86%) and Beauveria + Lecanicillium (84.9%) when compared to control at 135 DAT. The results with regard to effect of treatments after 150 days of treatment showed less progeny build up with Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (88.3) which was on par with Beauveria + Metarhizium (93.0), Beauveria + Lecanicillium (99.3) and Beauveria (103.7) & was significantly different from Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (106.7) and Metarhizium (110.0). All the treatments were significantly different from Lecanicillium (139.3) that showed least significance but was significantly different from control (462.7) (Table 2).

The observations recorded at 180 DAT indicated that *Beauveria +Metarhizium + Lecanicillium* was superior among all treatments

with less progeny of 119.7 that was on par with Beauveria +Metarhizium (122.0) and was significantly different from all other treatments. Beauveria (133.3), Beauveria + Lecanicillium (137.7), Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (138.7). Highest progeny was recorded with Lecanicillium (175.7) followed by Metarhizium (144). All the treatments were significantly different from control (398.7) (Table 2). Highest per cent reduction in progeny was observed with Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (70%) followed by Beauveria + Metarhizium (69.4%), Beauveria alone (66.6%) and Beauveria + Lecanicillium (65.5%) when compared to control.

Effect on per cent weight loss

At 45 DAT, Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium has recorded high per cent reduction in weight loss of 79.05% followed by Beauveria (78.3%), Metarhizium (75.4%) and Beauveria + Metarhizium (74.1%) when compared to control. Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium caused less per cent weight loss of 2.0% at 60 DAT which was on par with Beauveria + Metarhizium (2.1), Beauveria (2.3%), Metarhizium (2.4) and Beauveria + Lecanicillium (2.6%). Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (3.2%) and Lecanicillium (3.6%) are at par, but was significantly different from control (10.1%) (Table 3).

The observations recorded on 90 DAT showed less per cent weight loss with *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (4.4%) which was on par with *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* (4.8%), *Beauveria* (4.9%), *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (5.1%) and *Metarhizium* (5.6%) (Table 3). High per cent weight loss was observed in *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (7.4%) followed by *Lecanicillium* (7.0%) which were on par with each other and were significantly different from control (20.4%). *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* has recorded high per cent reduction in weight loss of 78.2% followed by *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* (76.3%), *Beauveria* (78.8) and *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (75.7%) when compared to control.

The observations recorded on 120 DAT showed less per cent weight loss with *Beauveria+Metarhizium* (7.6%) and *Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium* (7.7%) which were on par with *Beauveria* (8.0%), *Beauveria +*

Lecanicillium (8.4%) and Metarhizium (10.3%). High per cent weight loss was observed with Lecanicillium (13.5%) followed by Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (12.2) that were on par with Metarhizium (10.3%). All the treatments were significantly different from untreated control (30.1%) (Table 3). Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium has recorded high per cent reduction in weight loss of 75.6% followed by Beauveria +Metarhizium (75.5%), Beauveria (73.9%) and Beauveria+ Lecanicillium (70.3%) when compared to control. Beauveria + Metarhizium + Lecanicillium, Beauveria + Metarhizium and Beauveria were found to be superior and caused less per cent weight loss of 12.2, 12.3 & 13.9% respectively, which were on par with Beauveria + Lecanicillium (14.5%), Metarhizium (14.5%) and Metarhizium + Lecanicillium (15.6%) at 150 DAT . High per cent weight loss was observed in Lecanicillium (18.2%). All treatments were significantly different from control (43.4%) (Table 3).

The observations recorded on 180 DAT showed less per cent weight loss with *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (17.8%) which was on par with *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* (18.8%), *Beauveria* (19.6%), *Beauveria* + *Lecanicillium* (20.3%), *Metarhizium* (21.3%) and is significantly different from *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* (23.0%) and *Lecanicillium* (23.8%). All treatments were significantly different from control (51.3%) (Table 3). *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* + *Lecanicillium* has recorded high per cent reduction in weight loss of 65.5% followed by *Beauveria* + *Metarhizium* (63.4%), *Beauveria* (61.8) and *Beauveria*+ *Lecanicillium* (60.5%) when compared to control.

LITERATURE CITED

Arthur F H, Bautista R C and Siebenmorgen T J 2007 Influence of growing location and cultivar on *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) infestation of rough rice. *Insect Science*, 14: 231–239

Baker K and Cook R J 1982 Biological Control of Plant Pathogens. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN, USA, Pp: 21-23

- Batta Y A 2005 Control of lesser grain borer (Rhyzopertha dominica (F.), Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) by treatments with residual formulations of Metarhizium anisoplea (Metschnikoff)Sorokin(Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes). Journal of stored products research, 41(2): 221-229
- Buba I A 2010 Potential of entomopathogenic fungi in controlling the menace of maize weevil, Sitophilus zeamais Motsch (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) on stored maize grain. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant protection, 43(2): 107-115
- Dal Bello G, Padin S, Lopez L C and Fabrizio M 2001 Laboratory evalution of chemical-biological control of the Rice weevil (Sitophilus oryzae L.) in stored grains.

 Journal of stored products research, 37(1):77-84
- Hafez S F 2011 Efficacy of the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) against *Tribolium confusum* (Duval) on stored wheat flour. *Journal of Plant Protection and pathology*, 2(2): 203-211

- Mahmoud F M 2009 Pathogenicity of three commercial products of entomopathogenic fungi, Beauveria bassiana, Metarhizum anisopilae and Lecanicillium lecanii against adults of Olive fly, Bactrocera oleae (Gmelin) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the laboratory. Plant Protection Science, 45(3): 98-102
- Mohan S 2003 Issues in the management of insects of food grain. Proceedings of the national symposium on frontier areas of entomological research, IARI, New Delhi, Pp 423
- Swaminathan M 1977 Effect of insect infestation on weight loss, hygienic condition, acceptability and nutritive value of food grains. *Indian Journal of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 14: 205–216
- **Zimmermann G 2007a** Review on safety of the entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* and *Beauveria brongniartii*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 17(6): 553-596
- **Zimmermann G 2007b** Review on safety of the entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 17(9): 879-920.

(Received on 21.05.2012 and revised on 20.02.2013)