

# Nutrient Uptake, Yield and Economics of *Rabi* Sunflower as Influenced by Plant Density and Weed Management Practices

# C Nagamani, S M Muneendra Naidu and D Subramanyam

Department of Agronomy, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati 517 502

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in *rabi*, 2009-10 at S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati to study the nutrient uptake, yield and economics of *rabi* sunflower as influenced by plant density and weed management practices. The experiment was laid out in split plot design, replicated thrice with three plant densities *viz.*,  $(1,11,111 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}) 45 \times 20 \text{ cm}$ ,  $(74,074 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}) 45 \times 30 \text{ cm}$  and  $(55,555 \text{ plants ha}^{-1}) 60 \times 30 \text{ cm}$  and seven weed management practices *viz.*, unweeded check, weed free check, intercultivation at 30 DAS, intercultivation at 30 DAS + HW at 45 DAS, pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg *a.i* ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS, oxadiargyl @ 0.3 kg *a.i* ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS and oxyflourfen @ 0.1 kg *a.i* ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS. The lowest dry weight, nutrient uptake by weeds, the highest growth parameters, yield and economics were noticed with the plant density of 45 × 30 cm. Among the weed management practices tried, the lowest biomass of weeds and the highest growth parameters, yield and nutrient uptake by crop were resulted from pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg *a.i* ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS, besides weed free check. The nutrient uptake by weeds associated with the sunflower crop was 44.97, 16.33 and 44.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium respectively in unweeded check.

Keywords : Nutrient uptake, Plant density, Sunflower, Weed Management, Yield.

Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) is one of the most important oilseed crops in India and ranks second after soybean as a source of edible oil. Sunflower plays a crucial role in the oilseed economy of the country. India accounted for 3.26 % (1.04 m.t.) of the total world production of sunflower during 2009 and the average sunflower productivity (5.8 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) in India is lower than the world average (13.41 g ha-<sup>1</sup>) (FAO, 2010). Plant density affects the plant architecture and alters growth and developmental pattern of the crop. Maintenance of optimum plant density is one of the most important crop husbandry practices, which is responsible for the amount of radiation intercepted per unit area and significantly reduce the weed growth by reducing the availability of growth resources to the weeds. It is a well known fact that weeds compete with crop plants for nutrients, water, space and solar radiation resulting in significant yield reduction. The competition between crop and weeds for growth resources below as well as above the ground results in substantial reduction of crop yield. The reduction in seed yield of sunflower due to severe weed competition is to the extent of 58% (Daugovish et al., 2003). The information available on the plant density and weed management in sunflower is limited. Of late, there is greater emphasis not to pollute the environment with indiscriminate use of chemicals and removal of weeds throughout the crop season may not be economical. Hence, it is necessary to integrate herbicides with other methods or switch over to alternative methods of weed control, which are ecofriendly. Hence, the present investigation "Nutrient uptake, yield and economics of *rabi* sunflower as influenced by plant density and weed management practices" was undertaken.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during rabi, 2009-10 in sandy loam soil of Wetland Farm of S.V. Agricultural college, Tirupati. The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three replications comprising three plant densities viz., (1,11,111 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) 45 × 20 cm, (74,074 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) 45 × 30 cm and (55,555 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) 60 × 30 cm which were assigned to main plots and seven weed management practices viz., unweeded check, weed free check intercultivation at 30 DAS, intercultivation at 30 DAS + HW at 45 DAS, pendimethalin 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS, oxadiargyl 0.3 kg ha-1 + HW at 30 DAS and oxyflourfen 0.1 kg ha-1 + HW 30 DAS were in subplots. The recommended dose of fertilizers applied to sunflower crop was 75 kg N, 90 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 30

Treatment	Dry weight of weeds	Nutrient uptake by the weeds (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	(g m <sup>-2</sup> )	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	
Plant density					
45 × 20 cm (1,11,111 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	45.22 (5.97)	12.37	4.89	12.11	
45 × 30 cm (74,074 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	41.78 (5.72)	11.06	4.07	10.91	
60 × 30 cm (55,555 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	48.80 (6.21)	13.62	5.76	13.09	
CD (P=0.05)	0.13	1.58	0.41	1.03	
Weed management					
Unweeded check	139.03 (11.80)	44.97	16.33	44.27	
Weed free check	0.00 (0.71)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Intercultivation at 30 DAS	60.93 (7.83)	15.47	6.67	17.53	
Intercultivation at 30 DAS + HW at 45 DAS	49.21 (7.04)	7.70	3.20	7.27	
Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i ha <sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS	21.49 (4.68)	5.43	2.53	4.33	
Oxadiargyl @ 0.3 kg <i>a.i</i> ha⁻¹ + HW at 30 DAS	23.70 (4.91)	6.90	2.83	5.83	
Oxyflourfen @ 0.1 kg a.i ha⁻¹ + HW at 30 DAS	(4.31) 22.51 (4.79)	5.97	2.77	5.03	
CD (P=0.05) Interaction effect	0.29 NS	1.87 NS	0.51 NS	1.35 NS	

Table 1. Dry weight (g m <sup>-2</sup> ) and nutrient uptake of weeds at harvest of sunflower as influence	d by plant
density and weed management practices	

Figures in parenthesis indicates square root ( $\sqrt{X+0.5}$ ) transformed values

kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. The entire dose of phosphorus and potassium and half of the nitrogen was applied as basal and the remaining half of the nitrogen was applied in two equal splits at 25 and 55 DAS as top dressing. The required quantity of herbicides were calculated and was mixed with water (600 I ha<sup>-1</sup>) and sprayed uniformly with knapsack sprayer fitted with flat fan nozzle, one day after sowing as preemergence. Dry matter production of weeds and crop were recorded at the time of harvesting and the corresponding nutrient uptake was analyzed by adopting standard procedures. The data recorded on various parameters of crop, nutrient uptake and yield was subjected to statistical scrutiny by the method of analysis of variance as outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The data on dry weight of weeds were transformed to square root ( $\sqrt{X+0.5}$ ) transformation to normalize their distribution.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Effect on weed

The major weed flora of the experimental field consisted of *Cynodon dactylon* (L) Pers., *Cyperus rotundus* L., *Celosia argentea* L. and *Digera arvensis* L.

The dry weight of weeds was significantly influenced by plant density and weed management practices and their interaction effect was found to be non-significant (Table 1). Among the different plant densities tried, (74,074 plants ha-1) 45 × 30 cm resulted in significantly the lowest dry weight of weeds. Higher plant population with this plant density, might have created better micro-environment to shift the balance in favour of crops and suppressed the weed growth and development. Further, crop plants would have smothered weeds, denying the light and space resulting in reduced weed dry weight. These results are in accordance with the findings of Pradeep and Sundaram (1996). Besides weed free check, total dry weight of weeds was significantly lesser with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS, which was however, on par with pre-emergence application of oxyflourfen @ 0.1 kg a.i ha-1 + HW at 30 DAS. Pre-emergence application of herbicides might have prevented the weed emergence at initial stages of the crop. However, both the weed management practices were more or less equally effective in suppressing the weed biomass production during the critical period of crop weed competition and these results are in accordance with Rathore and Gautham (2003).

The lowest nutrient uptake by weeds including nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium was observed with the plant density of (74,074 plants  $ha^{-1}$ ) 45 × 30 cm, but for nitrogen, it was on par with (1,11,111 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) 45  $\times$  20 cm. This might be due to reduction in dry weight of weeds in these plant densities. The results are in accordance with the findings of Sumathi et al. (2009). With regard to the weed management practices, nutrient uptake was the lowest with weed free check. The next best treatment was pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS. This might be due to better suppression of weed growth and reduced dry matter production by weeds. The nutrient uptake by weeds associated with sunflower crop was 44.97, 16.33 and 44.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium respectively in unweeded check. The results were in accordance with Kumara et al., (2007). The interaction effect of plant density and weed management on nutrient uptake by weeds was found to be non-significant.

### Effect on crop

The highest leaf area index and dry matter production of the crop were recorded with the plant density of (74,074 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>)  $45 \times 30$  cm (Table 2). This might be due to the higher number of plants per unit area producing more number of larger leaves, reduced mutual shading and made efficient

utilization of underground resources by root system. These findings are in confirmity with those of Sarmah et al., (1992). Among the weed management practices tried, weed free check resulted in the highest leaf area index and dry matter production than the rest of the weed management practices. The next best treatment was pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i ha-1 + HW at 30 DAS, however, it was at par with oxyflourfen @ 0.1 kg a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS. This might be due to nearly weed free environment in these treatments which has facilitated better availability of nutrients and moisture for crop growth and development. The interaction effect of plant density and weed management practices did not influence the crop growth parameters significantly. The nitrogen uptake by the crop was highest with the plant density of (74,074 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) 45 × 30 cm, which was however, on par with (1,11,111 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) 45  $\times$  20 cm, while the phosphorus and potassium uptake by the crop was significantly higher than with other plant densities. This might be due to lesser dry matter accumulation of weeds and higher crop dry matter production in the plant density of 45 × 30 cm. The results are in accordance with the findings of Sumathi et al., (2009). The highest nitrogen uptake by crop was observed with weed free check, which was however, in parity with pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS. Among the weed management practices tried, the phosphorus and potassium uptake by the crop was significantly higher with weed free check than the other weed management practices (Table 2). This might be due to increased dry matter accrual and corresponding nutrient content of tissues. This indicates that where the removal of nutrients by crop was higher, the corresponding uptake of nutrients by weeds was lesser and vice-versa.

### Yield and economics

Achene yield of sunflower was significantly influenced by different plant density and weed management practices, while their interaction effect was found to be non-significant. The highest achene yield was noticed with the plant density of (74,074 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) 45 × 30 cm, this might be ascribed to elevated growth profile since germination, which led to maintenance of excellent source sink relationship. The results are in accordance with Sarmah *et al.*, (1992). Among the weed management practices tried, the highest achene yield was obtained with weed free check which was followed by pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @

Table 2. Growth, nutrient uptake, yield and economics of sunflower as influenced by plant density and weed	
management practices.	

Treatment	LAI	Drymatter production	Nutrie	ent uptake (kg ha⁻¹)		Achene yield	Net Returns	Benefit cost
		(kg ha-1)	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(Rs.ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
Plant density								
$45 \times 20$ cm (1,11,111 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.96	4024	41.5	14.7	49.2	1405	6917	1.36
45 × 30 cm (74,074 plants ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.08	4230	43.1	17.1	51.6	1559	10299	1.57
60 × 30 cm (55,555 plants ha-1)	0.95	3800	39.5	13.2	47.9	1280	5478	1.29
CD (P=0.05)	0.05	130.7	2.5	0.4	1.7	94	-	-
Weed management								
Unweeded check	0.38	2742	22.1	6.1	36.4	814	-1567	0.90
Weed free check	1.23	4682	50.4	19.6	59.4	1852	13905	1.72
Intercultivation at 30 DAS	0.93	3698	33.8	12.1	42.3	1067	7092	1.14
Intercultivation at 30 DAS + HW at 45 DAS	0.99	3982	43.2	15.5	48.6	1488	9263	1.53
Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg <i>a.i</i> ha⁻¹ + HW at 30 DAS	1.20	4470	48.2	18.1	56.4	1614	10623	1.58
Oxadiargyl @ 0.3 kg <i>a.i</i> ha <sup>.1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS	1.10	4214	44.6	16.3	49.8	1511	8243	1.44
Oxyflourfen @ 0.1 kg <i>a.i</i> ha <sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS	1.14	4339	46.9	17.4	53.1	1557	10123	1.56
CD (P=0.05)	0.08	175.1	2.4	1.3	3.2	72	-	-
Interaction effect	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-	-

1.0 kg a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS. This was due to lesser crop weed competition for growth resources throughout the crop growth period, which would have provided congenial environment for better expression of growth and yield potential. Similar findings were reported by Poonguzhalan et al. (2002). The highest net returns (Rs. 10299 ha-1) and benefit cost ratio (1.57) were recorded with the plant density of  $(74,074 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$  45 × 30 cm. Among the weed management practices tried, the highest net returns (Rs. 13905 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit-cost ratio (1.72) were recorded with weed free check, which was followed by the pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS with net returns of Rs. 10623/- and benefit-cost ratio of 1.58. While, the unweeded check rendered net returns of Rs. -1567/- and benefit-cost ratio of 0.90.

Thus, the plant density of  $(74,074 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$  45 × 30 cm coupled with weed free check resulted in the highest nutrient uptake, achene yield, and economic returns from sunflower. However, the next

alternative weed management practice without draining either economic yield or the net profit is pre-emergence application of pendimethalin @1.0 kg a.i ha<sup>-1</sup> + HW at 30 DAS.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Daugovish O, Thrill D C and Shaft B 2003 Modeling competition between wild oat (*Avena fatua* L.) and yellow mustard or canola. *Weed Science*.51:102-109.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). 2010 FAO STAT. Crops. Production. Food and Agriculture organization of United Nations, Rome, Italy. (http:faostat.org/site/ 291/ default.aspx)
- Kumara O Venugopal N Chandraprasad T V R Reddy S S and Kumar N D 2007 Effect of nitrogen levels and weed management practices on nutrient uptake by sunflower and weeds. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 20(1): 123-125.

- Panse V G and Sukhatme P V 1985 Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 100-174
- Poonguzhalan R Nanjappa H V Challamuttu V and Satyavelu A 2002 Growth and yield of sunflower as influenced by weed control methods under graded levels of nutrients. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 89(7-9):455-457.
- Pradeep K S and Sundaram V S S 1996 Effect of planting geometry, intercropping and weed management on rainfed sunflower. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 83:664-665.
- Rathore V S and Gautham R C 2003 Population, biomass and nutrient uptake of weeds in sunflower as influenced by weed management. *Annals of Agricultural Research New series*, vol.24(2):444-446.
- Sarmah P C Katyal S K and Verma O P S 1992 Growth and yield of sunflower varieties in relation to fertility level and plant population. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 37: 285-289.
- Sumathi V Rao D K Subramanyam D and Reddy D S 2009 Effect of planting pattern and weed management on nutrient uptake and Economics of Rabi sunflower and its associated weeds. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 41(1&2):65-70.

(Received on 02.02.2012 and revised on 13.04.2012)