

Virus-Vector Relationship of Groundnut Bud Necrosis Virus Causing Mungbean Leaf curl Disease and its Vector *Thrips palmi* in Allahabad district of Uttar Pradesh

V Manoj Kumar and P Williams Department of Plant Protection, AAI-DU, Allahabad 211 007

ABSTRACT

Investigation on Virus- Vector relationship of Groundnut bud necrosis virus (GBNV) causing mungbean leafcurl and its vector *Thrips palmi* was carried out to study the acquisition access period, inoculation access period, incubation and retention period by serial transmission. Study showed that only larval stages with acquisition period of 2 days could acquire the virus and adults with inoculation period of two days could transmit. Minimum acquisition period for *T. palmi* adult to transmit the virus was found to be six hours, increase in inoculation access period increased transmission efficacy up to 48 hours. Inoculation access period of 72 hours showed reduced transmission (31.25 per cent) than 48 hours (35.84 per cent) Incubation period varied from 9-12 days after acquisition of GBNV by larvae, retention of GBNV by thrips varied from 7-16 days, pre-transmission intervals were in the range of 0-3 days.and transmission number varied from 3-13. Serial transmission studies showed that out of the 20 insects tested, 5 insects transmitted the virus throughout their life period.

Key words : Groundnut bud necrosis virus, Mungbean leaf curl, Thrips palmi, Virus - vector relationship

Mungbean leaf curl is one of the important diseases of mungbean which caused considerable loss in the past up to 40% in 33 districts of Uttar Pradesh as per the survey conducted by Nene (1972). Recent survey on the natural incidence and distribution of mung bean leaf curl in Allahabd district of Uttar Pradesh showed the incidence ranging between 1.88 to 49.76% (Kumar, 2007). The disease already assumed alarming level in southern states like Andhra Pradesh (Prasada rao et. al., 2003) and was reported to be transmitted by T. palmi in Andhra Pradesh (Sreekanth et al., 2006). As the disease is prevalent in Uttar Pradesh and causing considerable loss to mungbean, an investigation was done to establish the virus-vector relationship of mungbean leaf curl and T. palmi occurring in Uttar Pradesh.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection, identification and rearing of Thrips Tender mungbean terminal leaves were collected from AAI-DU field during morning hours into a plastic jar. The jar was uncapped and covered with a glass funnel with a vial attached to the stem of funnel. Collected thrips in vials were immobilized by keeping them in a refrigerator for 15 minutes and were dislodged on to ice tray (Lewis, 1973). After cold treatment, immobilized thrips were identified and quickly separated into species using stereoscopic binocular microscope based on the key characters (Palmer *et al.*, 1989; Palmer *et al.*, 1990 and Reddy *et al.*, 1991). Identified *T. palmi* was reared on detached cowpea leaflet method (Amin *et al.*, 1981). After sex differentiation (Lewis, 1973), *T. palmi* was cultured for virus-vector relationship as per Sreekanth *et al.*(2006), thrips from these cultures were used in further studies on virus-vector relationship.

Transmission studies with larvae and adults

Freshly emerged first instar larvae, from lab cultures of *T. palmi* were released gently on to the diseased mungbean leaf let portions floating on water in petridish and allowed for two days to acquire the virus. Larvae from the infected source were transferred to glass vials containing healthy greengram leaf lets. The vials were kept for incubation at 22-26°C for adult emergence. After emergence, five adults were released on to 2 days old greengram seedlings in green house pot culture and the seedlings were caged by plastic jars having thrips proof mesh at the top. Released thrips were given two days inoculation access period (IAP), later seedlings were spraved with 0.025% methyl demeton to keep the plants free from further thrips damage. The seedlings were kept in glass house for further investigation. Freshly emerged larvae in large numbers were given two days acquisition access period (AAP) and were divided into three sets. Immediately after AAP, first set of larvae was given two days IAP, the second set of larvae was given IAP up to pupation and third set of larvae was allowed to become adults. Emerged adults were used in transmission tests after giving two days IAP. Adults were also tried for transmission with 2 day AAP and 2 days IAP. Five larvae or five adults per greengram plant were released so as to ensure transmission despite differential inoculum concentration acquiring and differential feed probing nature of thrips (Vijayalakshmi, 1994), newly emerged adults which were not given AAP in the larval stage were given two days each of AAP and IAP. Five adults per plant were released.

Acquisition access period determination for *T. Palmi*

T. palmi first instar larvae were tested in AAP of 5, 10,15, 20, 25 30, 45 minutes, one hour, 6 hour, 12 hour, one day, two days and three days. The exposed larvae were transferred and allowed to become adults on healthy mungbean leaflets in an incubator at 22-26 $^{\circ}$ C. Five newly emerged adults were given 2 days IAP on to each mungbean plant.

Determination of inoculation access period and efffect of thrips number on transmission rate

T. palmi larvae after an AAP of two days were allowed to develop into adults and were given IAP of 5,10,15minutes,30minutes, 45 minutes,one hour,6hours,12hours,one day, two days and three days on mungbean seedlings. Five adults

Experiment	Test plant	No. of (5, I st instar la were relea	plants rvae or adults ased/plant)	Transmission (%)
		Tested	Infected	
Larvae with 2	Mungbean	81	0.00	0.00
IAP	Urdbean(local)	77.00	0.00	0.00
	Cowpea(local)	74.00	0.00	0.00
Larvae with 2	Mungbean (local)	88.00	0.00	0.00
day AAP day	Urdbean(local)	82.00	0.00	0.00
and IAP upto	Cowpea(local)	70.00	0.00	0.00
pupation	1 ()			
Larvae with 2	Mungbean	74.00	0.00	0.00
day AAP and	(local variety)			
adult with 1	Urdbean(local)	71.00	0.00	0.00
day IAP	Cowpea(local)	66.00	0.00	0.00
Larvae with 2	Mungbean	79.00	31.00	39.24
day AAP and	(local variety)			
adult 2 day	Urdbean(local)	69.00	24.00	34.78
IAP	Cowpea(local)	78.00	22.00	28.20
Adult 2 day	Mungbean	80.00	0.00	0.00
AAP and 2	(local variety)			
day IAP	Urdbean(local)	81.00	0.00	0.00
	Cowpea(local)	73.00	0.00	0.00

Table1.Transmission studies of mungbean leaf curl virus with larvae and adult of *T. palmi* in mungbean, urdbean and cowpea hosts

per mungbean plant were released for finding transmission efficiency. Adults of *T. palmi* were allowed two days IAP singly as well as in groups of 2,3,4,5,10 and 15 per plant. Number of infected plants were recorded based on symptoms.

Determination of incubation & retention period

Freshly emerged first instar larvae in sets of 15 each which are convenient for handling were given acquisition access period of two days were allowed to become adults on healthy mungbean leaf lets. Immediately after the adult emergence, a single viruliferous adult was transferred serially to each of the mungbean seedling at one day interval until its death. Experiment was conducted by maintaining twenty viruliferous adults through out. Incubation period was counted from the time of acquisition of the virus in the larval stage to the first transmission after adult emergence. Pre- transmission interval (interval between adult emergence and the first transmission), retention period (from the first transmission to the last transmission), posttransmission intervals (interval between last transmission and death), number of transmissions by individual viruliferous adult during its life were recorded. Transmission studies were conducted using mungbean, urdbean and cowpea as host plants.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION Identification of thrip species

Based on the taxonomic keys (Palmer *et al.*, 1989; Palmer *et al.*, 1990 and Reddy *et al.*, 1991), collected thrips were categorized into species. *T. palmi* was identified by straw yellow pale brown color, female size of 0.9mm long, pronotum with two pairs of setae on the posteriolateral margin, no setae on the anteriolateral margin, forewings with broken rows of wingvein setae and larvae whitish.

Transmission studies with larvae and adults

As per table.1, larvae with 2 day acquisition acess period (AAP) and adults with 2 day incoculation acess period (IAP) transmitted the virus in mungbean (39.24%), urdbean(34.78%) and cowpea (28.20%). Study showed that only larval stages could acquire the virus (AAP 2days) and transmitted by adults with incoculation access period of 2 days. Sreekanth *et al.* (2006) reported that adults of *T. palmi* could not acquire the virus,

 Table 2. Influence of acquisition access period on transmission of mungbean leaf curl virus by

 T. palmi

AAP(I st instar larvae were used)	No (5 adults v days we	Transmission	
,		plant)	
-	Tested	Infected	
5min.	38	0	0.00
10min.	44	2	4.54
15min.	42	3	7.14
20 min.	36	2	5.55
25 min.	40	3	7.5
30min.	38	6	15.78
45min.	44	7	15.90
1hr.	37	7	18.91
6hr.	36	8	22.22
12hr.	41	11	26.82
24hr.	43	16	37.20
48hr.	51	24	47.20
72hr.	32	9	28.1

IAP (I st instar larvae with AAP of 2d were	No (5 adults v days we	of Plants with an IAP of 2 re released per plant)	Transmission (%)
used)	Tested	Infected	
	51	0	0.00
	34	0	0.00
10min.	49	0	0.00
15min.	53	0	0.00
20 min.	44	0	0.00
25 min.	38	0	0.00
30min.	35	0	0.00
45min.	51	0	0.00
1 hr.	56	0	0.00
6hr.	48	6.00	12.50
12hr.	51	11	21.56
24hr.	44	12	27.20
48hr.	53	14	35.84
72hr.	48	13	31.25

 Table 3. Influence of Inoculation Access Period on transmission of mungbean leaf curl virus by

 T. palmi



T. palmi	No. of	No.of	Plants	Transmission
(addit/plaint)	attempts	Tested	Infected	(70)
1	1	30	8	26.66
	2	30	9	30.00
2	1	30	10	33.33
	2	30	11	36.66
3	1	30	13	43.33
	2	30	12	40.00
4	1	30	14	46.66
	2	30	16	53.33
5	1	30	18	60.00
	2	30	19	63.33
10	1	30	30	100.00
	2	30	30	100.00
15	1	30	30	100.00
	2	30	30	100.00

Table 4. Influence of no. of adult T. palmi population on transmission of mungbean leaf curl virus



Transmission 1 <t< th=""><th>nission by in 5 16 17 8 4 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +</th><th></th><th>quisit</th><th>on</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>ncub^b ation</th><th>Pre ° Transm</th><th>Rete^d ntion</th><th>Poste trans</th><th>Active Trans</th><th>Trans missior</th></t<>	nission by in 5 16 17 8 4 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		quisit	on									ncub ^b ation	Pre ° Transm	Rete ^d ntion	Post e trans	Active Trans	Trans missior
100 1	. + + + 0	dividuć	al <i>Thri</i> k	lled sc	ni Ka	rny ^a							beriod days)	lssion Intervals (days)	Period (days)	Mission (days)	Mission (days)	О. О.
1 1	∞ ++++ ∞ ++++	18	19	30	7	33	24	25	26	27	58	29						
Sono 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	, + + , + + + +	10	1	1	3 12	15	16	17	18	19	20	21						
د. د	, + + , + + + +																	
43 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	+ + , + + +	ı	+	1	I	I	占		ı				12	ო	ი	4	69.20	9
9 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	+ , + +	+	т ,	۰ ۰	ı	ı	പ്						6	0	ი	4	73.30	8
 8 5 5	' +	ı	1	·	ı	ı	പ്						6	0	7	7	53.30	ъ
43 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33		+	1	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	പ്				6	0	ი	ω	53.00	ъ
43 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33	+ '	ı	д										6	0	ω	7	80.00	9
3 4 4	ı ı	ı	1	+	+	Ь							6	-	12	~	91.66	ß
2 23 23 23 23 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	' +	+	1	I	Ò								6	0	ი	4	69.20	വ
23 25 25 25 23 23 23 26 26 27 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28													6	0	4	-	80.00	4
25 26 26 33 33 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 44 44 44 44	+	ı	ت ,	÷									6	0	7	ო	100.00	9
26 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43	+ +	+	1 ,	I	I	ı	ı	Ь					9	-	ω	7	53.33	7
30 33 33 33 41 43 43 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	' +	ı	ţ Ţ										9	-	ი	ო	100.00	7
32 41 43 43 43 44 43 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45		+	1		I			占					9	-	ω	7	53.33	ო
33 39 41 41 43 43 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	+	ı	'	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	Ъ				10	-	7	6	43.75	ო
30 E + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	, ,	ı	1	+	1	+	ı	占					9	-	13	2	87.70	4
41 E+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	' +	+	т ,	י י	ı	ı	+	പ്പ					9	.	4	~	93.33	7
43 E + + + - + 45 E + - + + + +	+	+	' +	1	ı	ı	₽						6	0	15	ъ С	100.00	10
45 E + - + + +	' +	+	+	+	1	ı	ı	+	ı	+	å		7	2	16	0	100.00	10
	+ +	å											9	2	∞	0	100.00	7
47 E + + + + +	• +	+	+	+	+	+	ı	ı	+	+	ı	4	₽	ო	16	2	88.90	13
49 E + + + +	+	+	1	I	I	ı	+	I	+	ı	占		10	7	15	2	88.90	ω
a-emerged adults were transferred individu	vidually to e	ach mi	nahee	aee Nu see	dling	Seris	1 . / E	Per	iod la	Desot	betw	Je u ac	ouisit	ion of virus	s and the	first tra	nsmissi	5
after becoming adult			D D		ס)		; ,	5)	
c- Pre-transmission interval time lapsed be	d between a	dult en	nergen	ice an	d first	tran	smissi	on; d	I- Per	iod la	psed	oetwe	en firs	t transmis	sion and	last tra	nsmissic	n e-
Post- transmission interval time elapsed be	ed between	he last	transi	missic	an and	dea.	th th		ergei		f adul		death;	+ / - indic	ate the t	ransmi	ssion & r	- 'uot

Table 5. Incubation and Retention periods as influenced by serial transmission of peanut bud necrosis virus by T. palmi after acquisition access for 2 days

while their larvae could acquire. Similar reports were made by Cho *et. al.* (1988); Palmer *et al.* (1990); Pappu *et al.* (1998) while working with thrip transmission of tomato spotted wilt virus and by Vijayalakshmi, (1994) on groundnut. In addition, Sakimura (1963) and Reddy *et al.* (1995a) reported that the ability to acquire tospovirus decreased with the age of the larva

Acquisition access period (AAP)

Minimum acquisition period for T. palmi larvae was 10 minutes (table.2). Virus was not transmitted at 5 minutes and thereon transmission gradually increased with increase in AAP from 10minutes (4.54% transmission), 15minutes (7.14%), 20minutes (5.55%), 25minutes (7.5%), 30 minutes (15.78%), 45 minutes (15.90%), 1hours (18.91%), 6hours (22.22%), 12hours (26.82 %),24hours(37.20%) up to 48hours (47.05%) and then decreased at 72 hours (28.2%) (Fig.2). Sreekanth et al. (2006) reported a minimum of 15 minutes acquisition access period (AAP) by larvae, Vijayalakshmi (1994) reported minimum acquisition access period of 5 minutes for T. palmi. The variation can be ascribed to the differential acquiring ability of vector or to the variability in virus.

Inoculation access period (IAP)

Minimum inoculation access period for T. palmi adult to transmit the virus was found to be 6 hours (12.5%) and the efficacy increased with increase in time at 12 hours (21.56%), 24 hours (27.2%) and 48 hours (27.08%). IAP for 72 hours showed reduced transmission (26.41%) than 48 hours (27.08%). IAP of 24 and 48 hours was the best treatment for effective transmission of GBNV by T. palmi (table.3). However, the inoculum concentration and number of punctures made as feeding attempts vary with feeding habit of individual insect as it is quite evident (table.4). Vijayalakshmi,(1994) reported 1 hr. IAP for T. palmi and the transmission of GBNV by T. palmi were found to increase with IAP up to 2 days (Vijayalakshmi et al., 1995), Sreekanth et al., (2006) reported 45 minutes inoculation access period (IAP) by adults was required for successful

transmission. Inoculation access period (IAP) was found to be less for the studied *T. palmi*

Influence of adult *T. Palmi* number on GBNV transmission

With increase in no. of T. palmi, PBNV transmission per cent increased from 1 (26.66-29.99), 2 (33.33-36.66), 3 (39.99-43.32), 4 (46.66-53.32), 5 (59.99-63.32),10 (100), 15 (100) (table.4). T. palmi abundance is positively correlated with GBNV transmission. These results are in corroboration with Vijayalakshmi (1994) report of 100 per cent transmission with 10 adults per groundnut seedlings. However, the results are differing with Somaraju and Subba rao (1993) report of minimum of three adult thrips required for successful transmission. It can be substantiated by the fact that transmission per cent depends on nature of vector probing to acquire or to inoculate virus. With increase in number of thrips, the number of punctures resulting from probing increases and thereby increasing transmission per cent which is in confirmity with Wijkamp and Peters (1993).

Determination of Incubation and Retention Period by serial transmission

Out of twenty *T. palmi* tested for their adult emergence only six thrips have emerged on 9th day from acquisition and the rest on 10th day after acquisition. None of the 9th day emerged *T. palmi* transmitted virus on the day. On the 10th day after acquisition eight thrips (no.5, 6, 8, 14, 18, 20, 23 and 41) transmitted GBNV. Insect No. 17,25,26,30,32,33,39,45 and 49 transmitted the GBNV on 11th day after acquisition. Insects no.3,43,and 47 transmitted GBNV on 12th day after acquisition. The emergence of adults varied from 9th -10th day after acquisition of virus.

Life span of the adult varied from 5 (No.20) - 21 days(No.47) (table.5). As per table-5 & fig.2, incubation period varied from 9-12 days after acquisition of GBNV by larvae, Vijayalakshmi (1994) reported incubation period of 7-16 days, Sreekant *et al.* (2006) reported incubation period of 10-12 days after acquisition of GBNV by larvae. The deviation between incubation periods may be

ascribed to acquisition of differential inoculum concentration by thrips. However, pre-transmission intervals of 0-3 days of present investigation is in conformity with Sreekanth *et al.* (2006).

Retention of GBNV by thrips in present study varied from 7-16 days, where as Vijavalakshmi (1994) reported minimum retention period as 2 days, which can be attributed to the differential probing for incoculum acquisition by thrips. The maximum retention period of present investigation was less (sixteen days) compared to twenty days of Vijayalakshmi (1994). Sreekant et al.(2006) reported that T. palmi remained infective for 2-18 days after insect becoming viruliferous and transmission number also varied from 3-13 compared to 2-15 of Sreekanth et al. (2006). Serial transmission studies showed that, of the 20 insects tested, 5 insects (23,26,41,43&45) transmitted the virus throughout their life period. Therefore, it is quite evident that the virus-vector relationship slightly differed for GBNV- T. palmi of South India and North India, However this differentiation can be attributed to the differential feeding behaviour of individual thrips species or virus variability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors express their sincere thanks to Dr. R.D.V.J. Prasada Rao, Principal Scientist(Retd) NBPGR, Regional Station, Hyderabad for technical guidance and to Dr. M. Sreekanth, Scientist, RARS, Chintapalli for his help in handling of thrips.

LITERATURE CITED

- Amin P W, Reddy D V R, Ghaekar A M and Reddy M S 1981 Transmission of tomato spotted wilt virus, the causal agent of bud necrosis of peanut, by *Scirtothrips dorsalis* and *Frankliniella schultzei* .*Plant Disease* 65:663-665.
- Cho J J, Mau R F L, Hamasaki R T and Gonsalves D 1988 Detection of tomato spotted wilt virus in individual thrips by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay. *Phytopathology* 78: 1348- 1352.
- Kumar M V 2007 Etiology, epidemiology and management of leaf curl disease of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L) Wilczek. Ph. D Thesis submitted to Sam Higginbottam Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Science (Formarly AAI-DU), Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

- Lewis T R 1973 Thrips: their biology, ecology and economic importance. Academic Press New York. Pp. 349.
- Nene Y L and Singh R N 1972 Diseases of mung and urd bean. Leaf curl in Nene Y L (ed.). A survey of viral diseases of pulse crops in Uttar Pradesh, University Press, Pantnagar, India 142-153.
- Palmer J M Mound L A and Heaume D J 1989 C. I. E. guides to insects of importance to man Thysanoptera. (Betts C R ed.). C. A.B. International Institute of Entomology. British Museum, Natural History, UK.
- Palmer J M, Reddy DVR, Wightman J A and Rao G V R 1990 New information on the thrips vectors of tomato spotted wilt virus in groundnut crops in India. *International Arachis Newsletter*. 7: 24-25.
- Pappu H R, Todd J W, Culbreath A K Bandla M D and Sherwood J J 1998 First report on the multiplication of tomato spotted wilt tospovirus in tobacco thrips, *Frankliniella fusca*. *Plant Disease* 82: 1282.
- Prasada Rao RDVJ, Sarath Babu B, Sreekanth M and Manoj Kumar V 2003 ELISA and Infectivity Assay Based Survey for the Detection of Peanut Bud Necrosis Virus in Mungbean and Urdbean in Andhra Pradesh. Indian Journal of Plant Protection 31(1):26-28
- Reddy D V R, Buiel A A M, Satyanarayana T, Dwivedi S L, Reddy A S, Ratna A S Vijayalakshmi K, Rao G V R, Naidu R A and Wightman J A 1995a Peanut bud necrosis disease: an overview. Pp.3-7, In recent studies on peanut bud necrosis disease: proceedings of a Meeting, 20 March 1995, ICRISAT Asia Center, India (Buiel AA M, Parlevliet J E and Lenne J M eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India.
- Reddy D V R, Wightman J A, Bashear R J,
 Highland B, Black M, Sreenivasulu P,
 Dwivedi S L, Demski J W, McDonald
 D, Smith J W and Smith D H 1991 Bud
 necrosis a disease of groundnut caused by
 tomato spotted wilt virus. International Crops
 Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.
 Information Bulletin NO. 31 Patancheru,
 Andhra Pradesh.

- Sakimura K 1963 *Frankliniella fusca*, an additional vector for the tomato spotted wilt virus, with notes on *Thrips tabaci*, another vector. Phytopathology 53: 412-415.
- Somaraju P and Subba Rao 1993 Insect transmission of blackgram leaf curl virus. Abstract presented in 45th Annual meeting of Indian Phytopathological Society held at Akola 19th -21st January 1993.
- Sreekanth M, Sreeramulu M, Rao R D V J P, Babu B S and Babu T R. 2006 Virusvector relationships of Peanut bud necrosis virus and *Thrips palmi* in greengram. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection.*. 34: (1) 66-71. 19 ref.
- Vijayalaksmi K 1994 Transmission and ecology of *Thrips plami* Karny, the vector of Peanut bud necrosis virus. Ph. D Thesis Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India.
- Vijayalakshmi K, Wightman J A, Reddy D V R, Rao G V R, Buiel A A M and Reddy D D R 1995 Transmission of peanut bud necrosis virus by *Thrips palmi* in India., In Thrips biology and management: proceedings of 1993 International Conference on Thysanoptera [Parker B L, Skinner M and Lewis T eds.]. pp. 179-184.
- Wijkamp I and Peters D 1999 Determination of median latent period of two tospoviruses in *Franklienella occidentalis*, using a novel leaf disc assay. *Phytopathology* 83: 986-991.

(Received on 24.10.2012 and revised on 16.01.2012)