



Multivariate Analysis in Pigeonpea {*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.} Advanced Lines

S Venkata Naresh, B Govinda Rao, M Lal Ahmad and K L Narasimha Rao

Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, Agricultural College, Bapatla- 522 101, A. P.

ABSTRACT

Forty nine genotypes of Pigeonpea were assessed for genetic divergence for 13 characters during Kharif 2010. The multivariate analysis revealed considerable genetic divergence and grouped into four clusters as per D^2 analysis and eight clusters in case of cluster analysis. Grouping of genotypes was at random suggesting no role of geographical isolation. Mahalanobis' D^2 statistic inferred that number of primary branches/plant contributed maximum towards divergence followed by days to 50% flowering. Based on the intra and inter-cluster distances among the clusters, crosses between the genotypes of cluster III and II followed by cluster II and IV will give new desirable recombinants. First five Principal Components (PCs) contributed 75.04 per cent of cumulative variance. The first principal component explained 31.71% of total variability and was characterized by plant height, number of primary branches/plant, number of secondary branches/plant and shelling percentage. Agglomerative cluster analysis showed wide genetic distance between clusters II and III followed by clusters I and II. Selection of parents from these clusters will produce superior segregants. Dendrogram obtained by cluster analysis sub-grouped the genotypes. The genotypes LRG-97, LRG-61, BRG-2 and BDN 2010 with maximum inter-cluster distance and wide genetic distance in all the three divergence methods, can be exploited in hybridization.

Key words : Cluster analysis, D^2 analysis, Pigeonpea, Principal component analysis.

Pigeonpea commonly known as redgram or arher or tur is a diploid ($2n=22$) and often cross pollinated (0-70%) crop (Sexena 2006). It is one of the major grain legume crops of the tropics and sub tropics, which belongs to family Papilionoideae and genus *Cajanus*. Its genetic improvement is essential to the food and nutritional security of many people, vegetarians in particular. The diversity of parents is of prime importance, since the crosses made between the genetically divergent parents are likely to throw desirable recombinants in the progenies. Traditionally, Mahalanobis' D^2 statistic to measure genetic divergence as suggested by Rao (1952) has been used by different workers in pigeonpea. The present study was carried out with different methods of clustering based on D^2 analysis, hierarchical cluster analysis and principal component analysis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Forty nine Pigeonpea {*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.} genotypes obtained from different Research Centres across the country were sown in a randomized block design with three replications at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur during Kharif 2010 – 11. The inter and intra- row

spacing adapted was 90cm x 20cm. Each genotype was sown in six rows of 4m length and observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants without border effect of each genotype in each replication for characters viz., days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches/plant, number of secondary branches/plant, number of pods/plant, pod length, number of seeds/pod, shelling percentage, 100 - seed weight, harvest index, seed yield/plant and grain protein content. The data were statistically analyzed to study the diversity by Mahalanobis' D^2 statistic as per Rao (1952), Principal Component Analysis (PCA) as described by Morrison (1976) and cluster analysis as described by Anderberg (1993).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance furnished in the Table 1 revealed significant differences for all the characters, indicating considerable variation among the genotypes.

The magnitude of D^2 values suggested that there was considerable variability in the material studied, which led to genetic diversity. The per cent contribution towards genetic divergence by all the

Table 1. Analysis of variance for yield and yield component characters in pigeonpea.

Source	d.f	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Primary branches/ plant	Secondary branches/ plant	Pods/ plant	Pod length (cm)	Seeds/ pod
Mean squares									
Replications	2	56.423	10.3673	996.426	13.367	35.7919	494.8903	0.0810	0.0734
Treatments	48	204.256**	68.8070**	4456.9951*	419.9658**	176.032**	15087.8564**	0.3352**	0.1547**
Error	96	14.2292	31.8257	218.580	4.9910	12.4907	1810.1323	0.0995	0.0612

Source	d.f	Shelling percentage	100 seed weight (g)	Harvest index	Grain protein content (%)	Seed yield/ plant (g)
Mean squares						
Replications	2	1.5147	4.4395	14.2443	2.5017	192.534
Treatments	48	16.6882**	3.1816**	15.2989**	7.5145**	675.2032**
Error	96	7.3402	1.3223	4.1950	0.8300	56.2126

** = significance at 1% level, d.f = Degrees of freedom

Table 2. Contribution of different characters towards genetic divergence in Pigeonpea.

S. No.	Character	Times ranked first	Contribution towards divergence (%)
1	Days to 50% flowering	107	9.10
2	Days of maturity	18	1.53
3	Plant height (cm)	63	5.36
4	Primary branches/ plant	639	54.34
5	Secondary branches/plant	48	4.08
6	Pods/ plant	50	4.25
7	Pod length (cm)	14	1.19
8	Seeds/pod	7	0.60
9	Shelling percentage	10	0.85
10	100 seed weight (g)	15	1.28
11	Harvest index	15	1.28
12	Grain protein content (%)	91	7.74
13	Seed yield per plant (g)	99	8.42

Table 3. Genotypes included in each cluster based on Mahalanobis 's D² analysis and Ward's minimum variance method.

Cluster No.	Based on D ² value (Mahalanobis' analysis)		Based on cluster analysis (Ward's minimum variance method)	
	No. of genotypes	Name of the genotypes	No. of genotypes	Name of the genotypes
I	22	LRG96, LRG41, RGT4, LRG106, LRG99, TRG38, LRG105, LRG90, LRG103, WRG179, LRG101, LRG93, LRG100, WRG180, LRG104, LRG92, LRG102, LRG91, LRG52, LRG95, LRG98, LRG94.	9	JKM 250, NTL 520, SKNP 0845, RVSA 07-24, GRG 2010, GJP 2010, GJP 0902, JKM 249, LRG89, RVSA 07 – 31
II	25	SKNP 0845, RVSA 07-24, GJP 0902, AKT 08-2, GAUT 2003 – 1, PT 00 – 012-1, JKM 249, WRG 173, CO-6, RVSA 07-31, LRG89, LRG88, GRG 2010, NTL 554, WRP -1, AKT – HR 2001 -18, PT04 – 149, ICP 8863, GAUT 93-17, JKM 250, NTL 520, GJP 0901, BDN 2010, BRG-2.RSVA 7031.	2	WRG 168, GJP 0901
III	1	LRG61	1	BDN 2
IV	1	LRG97	13	ICP 8863, WRP – 1, NTL 554, AKT –HR 2001-18, PT 00- 012-1, GAUT 2003-1, AK 8-2, CO-6, LRG88, WRG 173, GAUT 93-17, PT04-149, BDN 2010 WRG180, LRG104, WRG179, LRG 103, LRG41, LRG101, LRG 96, LRG105, RGT4, TRG38, LRG 93, LRG 92, LRG100, LRG99, LRG106, LRG90, LRG102
V	—————		18	LRG94, LRG95
VI	—————		2	LRG91, LRG98, LRG61
VII	—————		3	LRG 97
VIII	—————		1	

13 contributing characters is presented in Table 2. The maximum contribution towards genetic divergence is by number of primary branches /plant (54.34%).

The 49 genotypes were grouped into four clusters using the Tocher's method and eight clusters by Ward's method (Table 3). The distribution of genotypes indicated that the geographical diversity

based on agro climatic conditions and genetic diversity were not related and there are forces other than geographical separation which are responsible for diversity such as natural and artificial selection, exchange of breeding material, genetic drift and environmental variation. Similar results were reported by Hamid *et al.*, (2011).

Table 4. Average intra-and inter-cluster D² and Euclidian² values among four and eight clusters, respectively, based on cluster analysis.

Cluster No.	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
I	14.514 26.238	56.569 67.116	36.652 91.037	45.917 48.367	169.794	82.139	293.517	277.163
II		18.125 53.543	135.319 148.698	87.804 105.443	173.964	100.703	264.756	273.032
III			0.000 0.000	61.913 130.124	243.094	161.379	364.656	448.678
IV				0.000 37.145	164.212	85.018	304.984	238.163
V					35.454	62.109	72.168	137.433
VI						17.493	120.831	115.745
VII							59.054	170.330
VIII								0.000

Note: Bold values are of D² method

The average intra- and inter- cluster D² values estimated as per the procedure given by Singh and Chowdhary (1977) are presented in Table 4. The maximum inter cluster distance (135.319) was observed between cluster III and II followed by clusters II and IV (87.804). Cluster I comprised 22 genotypes and was nearest to cluster III (36.65). Cluster II, comprised 25 genotypes was nearest to cluster I (56.56). Cluster III was monogenotypic which was closest to cluster I (36.65) Cluster IV was monogenotypic and closest to the cluster I (45.91). The highest intra-cluster distance in cluster II indicates the presence of wide genetic diversity among the 25 genotypes within the cluster. The maximum inter cluster distance (135.319) was observed between cluster III (LRG 61) and II (SKNP 0845, RVSA 07-24, GRG 2010, GJP 0902, AKT 08-2, GAUT 2003- 1, PT 00-012-1, JKM 249, WRG 173, CO-6, RVSA07-31, LRG 89, LRG 88, NTL 554, WRP-1, AKT- HR 2001-18, PT04-149, ICP 8863, GAUT 93- 17, JKM 250, NTL 520, GJP 0901, BDN 2010 and BRG-2) indicates the presence of wide diversity between two clusters. For successful breeding program selection of genetically diverse parents is an important prerequisite so as to obtain better and desirable recombinants.

Similarly, the average intra- and inter- cluster Euclidean² distance were estimated based on Ward's minimum variance and are presented in the Table 4. Cluster I comprised nine genotypes and was closest to cluster IV (48.367). Cluster II consisted of two genotypes with proximity to cluster I (67.116). Cluster III was monogenic and was nearest to cluster I (91.037). Cluster IV was consisted of 13 genotypes with as the nearest. Cluster V comprised 18 genotypes and was proximal to cluster VI (62.109). Cluster VI comprised two genotypes with cluster V (62.109) as the nearest. Cluster VII comprised three genotypes and was closest to cluster V (72.168). Cluster VIII comprised one genotype (LRG 97) which was closest to cluster VI (115.745). The maximum inter cluster distance was observed between cluster II (WRG 168 and GJP 0901) and cluster III (BRG 2). This suggested that there is wide genetic diversity between these clusters. Based on these studies, crosses can be made between genotypes of these clusters to obtain better and desirable segregants. Utilization of principal component analysis combined with clustering by ward's method in genetic diversity studies was reported Brown (1991).

The clusters mean values for all the 13 characters by both the methods are presented in Table 5. Cluster I recorded high mean value for days

Table 5. Mean values of four and eight clusters estimated by Tocher's and Ward's methods, respectively, from 49 genotypes of Pigeonpea {*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millisp.}

Cluster No	Days flowering	Days of maturity	Plant height (cm)	Primary branches/plant	Secondary branches/plant	Pods/plant	Pod length (cm)	Seeds/pod	Shelling percentage	100 seed weight (g)	Harvest index	Protein content	Seed yield/plant(g)
I	140.667	193.227	262.024	27.456	29.702	267.360	4.537	4.032	65.343	11.384	25.977	24.035	76.636
	141.926	193.111	185.232	6.226	18.194	329.233	4.748	3.852	63.475	11.308	28.086	24.002	88.333
II	139.693	193.840	199.329	6.059	17.608	296.597	4.751	3.913	63.862	11.359	26.779	23.617	77.587
	139.833	195.500	244.148	5.500	18.345	456.267	4.697	3.900	66.250	11.497	29.088	23.605	118.333
III	136.667	185.667	263.430	45.933	36.680	314.267	4.200	3.867	71.170	9.507	25.840	26.633	77.667
	162.333	197.333	190.253	5.4	15.240	294.95	5.533	4.833	64.553	11.697	24.903	26.100	77.333
IV	104.000	198.333	245.817	28.060	27.467	249.967	5.367	4.533	61.663	10.807	23.400	24.450	67.667
	136.385	193.821	202.892	6.079	17.271	249.564	4.702	3.877	63.710	11.347	25.664	23.161	63.897
V	140.981	193.278	262.197	27.822	30.550	256.44	4.579	4.037	65.689	11.586	26.276	23.714	75.000
VI	133.500	192.167	231.542	19.632	19.383	248.867	4.423	4.033	64.697	9.368	25.410	25.525	81.000
VII	142.777	191.111	281.780	36.633	33.818	362.200	4.249	3.944	65.638	10.889	24.513	25.832	83.889
VIII	104.000	198.333	245.817	28.060	27.467	249.967	5.369	4.533	61.663	10.807	23.400	24.450	67.667

Note : Bold figures are estimated by Tocher's method

to 50% flowering (140.667) and 100 seed weight (11.384). In Cluster II, the genotypes with low mean values for plant height (199.329), number of primary branches/plant (6.059), number of secondary branches/plant (17.608) and grain protein content (23.617), where as remaining values were high. Cluster III exhibits high mean values for plant height (263.430), number of primary branches/plant (45.933), number of secondary branches/plant (36.680), number of pods/plant (314.267), shelling percentage (71.170), grain protein content (26.633) and seed yield/plant (77.667). The High Yielding genotypes with more number of branches were included in this cluster. Cluster IV Cluster IV exhibits high mean value for days to maturity (198.33), pod length (5.367 cm) and number of seeds/pod (4.533).

The cluster mean values for 13 characters from Ward's methods are also presented in Table 5. Days to 50% flowering had a range of 104.00 for cluster VIII to 162.333 for cluster III. Days to maturity had a range of 191.111 for cluster VII to 198.333 for cluster VIII. Plant height varied from 185.232 for cluster I to 281.780 for cluster VII. Number of primary branches/plant varied from 5.400 for cluster III to 36.638 for cluster VII. Number of secondary branches/plant varied from 15.240 for cluster III to 33.818 for cluster VII. Number of pods/plant varied from 248.867 for cluster VI to 456.267 for cluster II. Pod length varied from 4.249 for cluster VII to 5.533 for cluster III. Seeds/pod ranged from 3.852 for cluster I to 4.833 for cluster III. Shelling percentage ranged from 61.663 for cluster VIII to 66.250 for cluster II 100-seed weight varied from 9.368 for cluster VI to 11.697 for cluster III. Harvest index varied from 23.40 for cluster VIII to 29.088 for cluster II. Grain protein content varied from 23.161 for cluster IV to 26.100 for cluster III. Seed yield/plant varied from 63.897 for cluster IV to 118.333 for cluster II.

Table 6. Eigen values, proportion of the variance represented by first five principal components, cumulative per cent variance and component loading of different characters in Pigeonpea.

	1 Vector	2 Vector	3 Vector	4 Vector	5 Vector
Eigen Value (Root)	4.123	1.933	1.418	1.310	0.971
% Var. Exp.	31.714	14.867	10.909	10.079	7.473
Cum. Var. Exp.	31.714	46.581	57.489	67.568	75.041
Days to 50% flowering	0.002	0.496	0.452	0.187	0.048
Days of maturity	-0.038	-0.299	-0.389	-0.498	0.211
Plant height (cm)	0.450	-0.014	-0.064	-0.038	0.058
Primary branches/plant	0.445	-0.010	-0.041	0.064	-0.043
Secondary branches/plant	0.410	0.008	-0.109	0.103	0.014
Pods/ plant	0.007	0.429	-0.433	-0.110	-0.240
Pod length (cm))	-0.281	0.299	0.155	-0.141	0.547
Seeds/pod	0.307	0.145	0.198	-0.397	0.302
Shelling percentage	0.371	-0.013	0.051	0.146	-0.055
100 seed weight (g)	0.020	-0.063	-0.359	0.452	0.676
Harvest index	-0.290	0.229	-0.367	0.091	-0.197
Grain protein content (%)	0.090	0.282	-0.028	-0.513	0.025
Seed yield plant (g)	0.163	0.484	-0.330	0.121	0.031

As given in Table 6, the first four Principal Components with eigen values more than one contributed 67.568 per cent towards the total variability. It was therefore inferred that the essential features of data set had been represented in the first four Principal Components. The first Principal Component contributed maximum towards variability (31.714%). The characters viz. plant height (0.450), number of primary branches/plant (0.445), number of secondary branches/plant (0.410) and shelling percentage (0.371) explained the maximum variance in the first Principal Component (PC1). The PC2, PC3 and PC4 contributed 14.867, 10.909 and 10.079 per cent towards the total variability.

The genotypes LRG-97, LRG-61, BRG - 2 and BDN 2010 showed maximum inter-cluster distance and wide genetic distance in all the three divergence methods. So they can be exploited in hybridization programme for identification of desirable segregants.

LITERATURE CITED

Anderberg M R 1993 Cluster analysis for application. Academic press, New York.

Brown, S J 1991 Principal component and cluster analysis of cotton cultivar variability across the U.S. Cotton's belt. *Crop Science*, 31: 915 –922.

Hamid A, Husna A, Haque, M M and Islam, M R 2011 Genetic variability in pigeonpea {*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.}. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 2(1): 117 – 123

Morisson D F 1976 Statistical methods. Mac Graw Hill. Second edition, New York.

Rao C R 1952 Advanced Statistical Methods in Biometric Research. John Willey and Sons Inc., New York: 390

Saxena K B 2006 Hybrid Pigeonpea Seed Production Manual, Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, International crops Research Institute for the Semi- Arid Tropics. 32.

Singh, R K and Chaudhary, B D 1977 Biometrical Methods In Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi. 215-218.