

Effect of Dairy Factory Effluent on Available Macronutrients in Soils of Pearlmillet and Greengram Crops

G Sashi Kala, K Sreenivasulu Reddy and M V S Naidu

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, S V Agricultural College, Tirupati 517 502

ABSTRACT

A pot culture experiment was conducted during *rabi*, 2009 at S.V.Agricultural college, Tirupati (Andhra Pradesh) to characterize Dairy factory effluent (DFE) with respect to macronutrients and also to study the effect of Dairy factory effluent on available macronutrients in greengram and pearlmillet crops. The available N, P, K and S increased with increase in levels of Dairy factory effluent application from DFE_0 to $DFE_{3,0}$ irrespective of the crops studied. The soils of pearlmillet crop recorded higher available P and S whereas the soils of greengram crop registered higher available N and K. The interaction effect between crops and levels of Dairy factory effluent on available N and P was significant at 50 days and 25 days, respectively. Further, the interaction on available S was found to be significant at 50 days only while the interaction effect on available K was significant at all stages of crop growth.

Keywords : Available N,P,K and S of soil, Dairy factory effluent, Greengram, Pearlmillet.

Dairy plant units are increasing day by day due to more urbanization, industrialization and fascination of people for dairy products. In the preparation of various dairy products and cleaning of the equipment lot of waste water is generated which is known as Dairy factory effluent (DFE). The Dairy factory effluent contains organic matter, moderate levels of N, P, K, S, micronutrients, microbial load and enzymes activity. According to Bertsch (2000), the Dairy factory effluent contains low contaminant levels of heavy metals and organic residues. Dairy factory effluent application doesn't adversely effect the soil and water (Cameron et al., 2002) and long-term application of Dairy factory effluent to land enhances soil fertility, microbial load and biochemical attributes of soil (Sparling et al., 2001). Proper dilution and systematic application of Dairy factory effluent would not cause any harm to soil and in some cases nutrients which are exhausted by plants will be recycled back to soil. However, such information on Indian soils, in general, and on soils of Andhra Pradesh, in particular, is lacking. Hence, the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of different levels of Dairy factory effluent on availability of macronutrients in pearlmillet and greengram crops.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A pot culture experiment was carried out at S.V. Agricultural college, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh during *rabi*, 2009. The experiment was conducted in a complete randomized block design with factorial concept, comprising of five levels of Dairy factory effluent and two crops with three replications. The five levels of Dairy factory effluent were DFE_o (soil alone), DFE₁₀ (soil + 1,00,000 L ha⁻¹), DFE₁₅ (Šoil + 1,50,000 L ha⁻¹), DFE_{3.0} (soil + 3,00,000 L ha⁻¹) and DFE_{4.5} (soil + 4,50,000 L ha⁻¹). The two crops were greengram (LGG - 460) and pearlmillet (PBS -1). All the levels of Dairy factory effluent are envisaged in the study were applied to a pot containing 40 Kg soil, one month before sowing of the crop. FYM @ 5 t ha-1 was applied uniformly to all the pots to enhance mineralization of Dairy factory effluent. The Dairy factory effluent required for the experiment was collected from Dairy plant, College of Dairy Technology, S.V. Veterinary university, Tirupati, Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh. Soil Samples were collected initially (before application of Dairy factory effluent), 25 days, 50 days and at harvest.

The experimental soil was sandy clay loam (Typic Haplustalfs) in texture, neutral in reaction (7.40), non-saline (0.61 dSm⁻¹), low in available N (225 kg ha⁻¹) and P (8.64 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in available K (204 kg ha⁻¹) and S (10.62 kg ha⁻¹). All the soil samples were analyzed for available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur as per the standard procedures. Available N was determined by alkaline permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956). The available P was extracted with 0.5M NaHCO₃ extractant and was determined by

using ascorbic acid as reducing agent (Watanabe and Olsen, 1965) and the available K in the soils was extracted by employing neutral normal ammonium acetate and determined by aspirating the extract into the flame photometer (Jackson, 1973). The available S was determined turbidimetrically using 0.15% CaCl₂ extractant (Cottenie *et al.*, 1979).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Characterization of Dairy factory effluent

The pH of Dairy factory effluent was slightly alkaline (7.80) with soluble salt concentration (EC) of 9.28 dSm⁻¹. Further, the Dairy factory effluent contained appreciable amount of N (41.30 mg L⁻¹), P (15.17 mg L⁻¹), K (16.50 mg L⁻¹) and S (0.02 mg L⁻¹).

Available nitrogen

Available N increased with increase in Dairy factory effluent application from DFE₀ to DFE₃₀ (Table 1) at 25 days, 50 days and at harvest. The higher value was reported with the treatment DFE₃₀ at all stages of crop growth. This may be due to mineralization of organic materials as well as nutrients present in the effluent were responsible for increased availability of nutrients. These results were in accordance with the findings of Chidankumar and Chandraju (2008). The soils collected from greengram crop recorded higher available N than soils collected from pearlmillet at 25 days and at harvest. It may be due to fixation of more nitrogen by leguminous crops like greengram. The interaction effect between crops and levels of Dairy factory effluent on available N was significant at 25 days and 50 days of crop growth. The highest available N was recorded in greengram crop treated with DFE₃₀ at 25 days.

Available phosphorus

Available P in soil significantly increased with increase in the levels of Dairy factory effluent application from DFE₀ to DFE₃₀ (Table 2) at all stages of crop growth. The highest value was recorded with DFE₃₀ at all stages of crop growth. This may be due to the presence of organic matter in the effluent which reduced the phosphorus fixing capacity of soil and increased the availability of phosphorus resulting in phosphorus storage in soil. These results were in conformity with the findings of Degens *et al.*(2000). The soils of pearlmillet crop recorded higher available P than the soils of greengram crop. The interaction effect between crops and levels of Dairy factory effluent was significant at 25 days of crop growth. The highest available P was recorded in pearlmillet crop with $DFE_{3.0}$. Presence of higher amount of inorganic nutrients in Dairy factory effluent resulted in higher available P.

Available potassium

Available K in soil significantly increased with increase in the levels of Dairy factory effluent application (Table 3). The higher available K in soil was recorded with DFE_{3.0} irrespective of crops. Presence of high amount of potassium in waste water has increased K availability. Similar results were reported by Arienzo et al.(2009). The soils of greengram crop recorded higher available K than the soils of pearlmillet crop at all stages of crop growth. The interaction between crops and levels of Dairy factory effluent was significant at all stages of crop growth. Greengram crop treated with DFE₃₀ recorded the highest available K. The higher available K might be due to enhanced mineralization process as a result of increased microbial activity. These results were in accordance with the findings of Jadhav and Savant (1975).

Available sulphur

Available S in soil increased with increase in the levels of Dairy factory effluent application from DFE₀ to DFE_{3.0} (Table 4). The higher available S was noticed in the treatment DFE_{3.0} at all stages of crop growth. pearlmillet crop recorded significantly higher available S than greengram crop at all stages of crop growth. Mineralization of organic materials as well as nutrients present in effluent were responsible for increased availability of sulphur. The interaction effect between crops and levels of Dairy factory effluent was significant at 50 days. The highest available S in soil was recorded in pearlmillet crop treated with DFE₃₀.

LITERATURE CITED

- Arienzo M Christen E W Quayle W and Kumar A 2009 A review of the fate of potassium in the soil-plant system after land application of wastewaters. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 164(2-3):415-422.
- Bertsch R 2000 Sludge from dairy effluent treatment plants. International Dairy Federation (IDF) Bulletin, 356:1-7.
- Cameron K C Di H J Reijnen B P A and Li Z 2002 Fate of nitrogen in dairy factory effluent irrigated onto land. New Zealand Journal of Research, 45:207-216.

| <i></i> |
|--|
| ÷ |
| ⋝ |
| 2 |
| 2 |
| ົດ |
| ~ |
| 8 |
| 2 |
| 5 |
| Ľ. |
| ਨ |
| |
| <i>S</i> |
| Ψ |
| 2 |
| Ω |
| S. |
| ÷ |
| Ē |
| d) |
| Ę. |
| .e |
| Ŧ |
| ÷ |
| 0 |
| Ħ |
| 10 |
| |
| 0 |
| S |
| 4 |
| 0 |
| _ |
| \sim |
| |
| a ⁻¹ |
| ha ⁻¹) |
| ha ⁻¹) |
| (tha-1) |
| (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| t (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| nt (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| ent (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| itent (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| intent (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| n content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| en content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| gen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| ogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| rogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| itrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| e nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| le nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| ble nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| able nitrogen content (kg ha ^{_1}) |
| ilable nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| ailable nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| vailable nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| Available nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| . Available nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| 1. Available nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| 1. Available nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| e 1. Available nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| ole 1. Available nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| able 1. Available nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| Table 1. Available nitrogen content (kg ha ⁻¹) |

| Treatments | | | | Growth | Stages | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | 25 DAS | | | 50 DAS | | | At Harvest | |
| | Pearlmillet | Greengr | am Mean | Pearlmillet | Greengran | n Mean | Pearlmillet | Greengram | Mean |
| DFE DFE DFE DFE 1.5 DFE 1.5 DFE 1.5 DFE 1.5 DFE 1.5 DFE 1.5 DFE 1.5 DFE 0 1.5 DFE 0 1.5 DFE 0 DFE DFE 0 DFE DFE DFE DFE DFE DFE DFE DFE DFE DFE | 233 256 293 314 280 | 249 264 329 289 | 241 260 321 321 | 252 309 326 316 | 257 282 303 352 304 | 255 296 315 352 310 | 243 288 311 325 312 | 254 300 323 332 313 | 248 294 317 328 313 |
| Mean | 275 SEm | ± 286 | :D (P=0.05) | 311 SEm± | 300 CD (P= | :0.05) | 296 SEm± | 304 CD (P=0.0 | |
| Crops Treatments Interaction | 0.73 1.16 1.64 | 2 8 4 8 0 0 7 0 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 | .169 .430 .851 | 1.029 1.627 2.301 | 3.036 4.801 6.790 | | 0.939 1.484 2.099 | 2.770 4.379 NS | |
| Table 2. Availa | ble phosphoru | s content (kg | g ha⁻¹) of soil at (| different stage | ss of crop growth | <u> </u> | | | |
| Treatments | | | | Growth S | stages | | | | |
| | | 25 DAS | | | 50 DAS | | | At Harvest | |
| | Pearlmillet | Greengrar | n Mean | Pearlmillet | Greengram | Mean | Pearlmillet | Greengram | Mean |
| DFE DFE 1.0 | 9.37 10.10 | 9.27 9.84 | 9.32 9.97 | 10.18 11.10 | 10.16 11.04 | 10.17 11.07 | 11.37 12.00 | 10.83 11.76 | 11.10 11.88 |
| DFE ₁₅ DFE ₃₀ DFE ₄₅ Mean | 10.67 11.70 10.52 10.46 | 10.18 10.99 10.07 | 10.40 11.35 10.30 | 11.44 12.49 11.70 11.38 | 11.23 12.27 11.47 11.23 | 11.33 12.38 11.58 | 12.50 13.40 12.30 | 12.13 13.12 11.98 | 12.31 13.26 12.18 |
| | | SEm± | CD (P=0.05) | | SEm± | CD (P=0.05) | SEn | n± CD (| =0.05) |
| Crops Treatm Interact | ents tion | 0.0160 0.0253 0.0358 | 0.04 0.07 0.10 | | 0.0236 0.0372 0.0527 | 0.06 0.10 NS | 0.06 0.13 0.13 | 317 0.18 376 0.28 81 NS | |

| Table 3. Avail | able potassium | content (kg h | la⁻¹) of soil at di | fferent stages | of crop growth. | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Treatments | | | | Growth S | tages | | | | |
| | | 25 DAS | | | 50 DAS | | | At Harvest | |
| | Pearlmillet | Greengram | n Mean | Pearlmillet | Greengram | Mean | Pearlmillet | Greengra | m Mean |
| DEF | 202 | 200 | 201 | 208 | 206 | 202 | 204 | 203 | 203 |
| | | 0.40 | | 910 | | 104 | | 200 | 004 |
| | 012 | 0.17 | 717 | 210 | 212 | 1 0 7 1 7 1 | 7 17 | 4 - 4 200 | 012 |
| $DFE_{1.5}$ | 212 | 221 | 216 | 224 | 225 | 219 | 216 | 223 | 219 |
| DFE_{30} | 229 | 233 | 231 | 235 | 239 | 237 | 231 | 236 | 233 |
| DFE _{4.5} Mean | 211 212 | 219 217 | 215 | 217 218 | 223 221 | 220 | 210 214 | 216 218 | 213 |
| | | SEm± | CD (P=0.05) | | SEm± | CD (P=0.05) | SE | U ∓mi | CD (P=0.05) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Crops | | 0.5164 | 1.5234 | | 0.5963 0.428 | 1.7591 2.7642 | 0.0 | 5963 7470 | 1.7591 |
| Interac | ction | 0.0100 1.1547 | 2.400/ 3.4064 | | 1.333 1.333 | 2.7 0 1 3 3.9334 | ⊃ ⊂ | 333 | 2.7 0 1 3 3.9334 |
| Table 4. Avail | able sulphur co | ntent (kg ha₋ |) of soil at diffe | rent stages of | crop growth. | | | | |
| Treatments | | | | Growth S | stages | | | | |
| | | 25 DAS | | | 50 DAS | | | At Harves | t l |
| | Pearlmillet | Greengrar | n Mean | Pearlmillet | Greengram | Mean | Pearlmillet | Greengra | ım Mean |
| DFE | 9.57 | 8.91 | 9.24 | 10.16 | 9.16 | 9.66 | 11.15 | 10.25 | 10.70 |
| DFE | 11.52 | 10.75 | 11.13 | 13.75 | 12.38 | 13.06 | 14.55 | 13.56 | 14.06 |
| | 13.75 | 12.92 | 13.34 | 15.25 | 14.55 | 14.90 | 18.35 | 16.87 | 17.61 |
| | 16.31 | 15.69 10.77 | 16.00 | 18.27 | 17.30 | 17.78 | 20.07 | 19.21 | 19.64 |
| UFE _{4.5} Mean | 14.65 13.16 | 13.55 12.36 | 14.10 | 15.54 14.59 | 15.59 13.79 | 15.50 | 18.84 16.59 | 17.36 15.45 | 18.10 |
| | | SEm± | CD (P=0.05) | | SEm± | CD (P=0.05) | SE | Em± | CD (P=0.05) |
| Crops | | 0.0560 | 0.1652 | | 0.0355 | 0.1046 | 0.0 | 0923 1460 | 0.2724 |
| Intera | nerus ction | u.uøøo 0.1252 | USN | | 0.0793 | 0.2339 0.2339 | 50 | 14ou 2065 | 0.4307 NS |

62

AAJ 60

- **Chidankumar C S and Chandraju S 2008** Impact of irrigation of distillery spentwash on the nutrients of pulses in untreated and treated soil. *Sugar Technology*, 10(4):314-318.
- Cottenie A Virloo M Velghe G and Kiekins L 1979. Analytical method for plant and soils. State University, Ghent, Belgium.
- Degens B P Schipper L A Claydon J J Russel J M and Yeates G W 2000. Irrigation of an allophanic soil with dairy factory effluent for 22 years : responses of nutrient storage and soil biota. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 38:25-35.
- Jackson M L 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi.

- Jadhav H D and Savant N K 1975. Influence of added spentwash (distillery waste) on chemical and physical properties of soil. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Chemistry*, 8 (1&2):73-84.
- Sparling G P Schipper L A and Russell J M 2001. Changes in soil properties after application of dairy factory effluent to New Zealand Volcanic ash and pumice soils. *Austrian Journal of Soil Research*, 39:505-518.
- Subbiah B V and Asija G L 1956. A rapid method for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*, 25:258-260.
- Watanabe F S and Olsen S R 1965. Test of ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in water and sodium bicarbonate extracts of soil. *Proceedings* of Soil Science Society of America, 29:677-678.

(Received on 20.09.2011 and revised on 25.10.2011)