

Genetic Association of Characters and Their Effects in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek)

N Vinay Kumar, G Roopa Lavanya and Sanjeev Kumar Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Allahabad School of Agriculture Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Allahabad-211007, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Genetic variability among mungbean genotypes and character association between different quantitative characters was studied during kharif 2007. The highest GCV and PCV were recorded for harvest index and pods per plant, respectively. High estimates of genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for 100 seed weight and harvest index. High heritability with high genetic advance was observed for harvest index, 100 seed weight and pods per plant. High significant positive correlation was recorded for pods per plant and harvest index at both genotypic and phenotypic levels with seed yield per plant. Plant height, primary branches per plant, clusters per branch and days to maturity had positive direct effect on seed yield, suggesting their potential use in improvement of mungbean.

Key words : Correlation, Direct effect, Genetic advance, GCV, Heritability, Mungbean, PCV.

Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) syn. *Phaseolus aureus* (Roxb.) is one of the widely grown pulse crops in India. The crop is often preferred to other pulse crops because of its better digestibility and less flatulence problem owing to lower content of raffinose, stachyose and verbacose (Poehlman, 1991). Earlier hybridization did not raise attention in this crop, as native cultivars or selections from native cultivars were grown. Now practically all new varieties are originated by hybridization as a result of favourable characteristics of new lines being identified more fully so that breeder can choose desirable parental combination with greater precision and crossing procedure being improved and standardized.

Genetic improvement of a crop is largely dependent on the magnitude of genetic variability and the extent to which desirable traits are heritable. Genetic variability is of utmost importance as it provides the basis for effective selection. Therefore, an attempt was made in the present study to estimate the extent of variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation and path analysis in mungbean. Infact, this kind of study is the prerequisite for any crop improvement programme. The correlation and path coefficient analysis provide information about importance of various yield component characters which help in the formulation of appropriate selection strategies. Studies on the above aspects in available germplasm under the environment where it is to be explained are essential for successful utilization of germplasm resources for the development of superior mungbean varieties.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experimental material for the present study comprised 23 mungbean genotypes viz., KM7-173, KM7-174, KM7-178, KM7-179, KM7-180, KM7-181, KM7-182, KM7-184, KM7-187, KM7-189, KM7-190, KM7-191, KM7-192, KM7-193, KM7-194, KM7-198, KM7-200, KM7-202, KM7-203, KM7-207, KM7-211, KM7-212 and KM7-176 received from Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur. The experiment was carried out at Experimentation Centre, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, SHIATS, Allahabad during kharif, 2007 in randomized block design in three replications. Each genotype was grown in a plot size of 4 m² area with 30x10 cm spacing. The recommended cultural practices were adopted for the proper growth and stand of the crop. The observations were recorded on 10 randomly selected plants from each replication from each genotype for plant height (cm), number of pods per plant, number of clusters per plant, number of primary branches per plants, pod length (cm), number of seeds per pod, 100 seeds weight (g), seed yield per plant (g) and seed yield per plant (g). The mean values were used for statistical analysis. Phenotypic coefficient of variation and genotypic coefficient of variation were estimated by the formula given by Burton (1952), heritability in broad sense (h²) by Burton and Devane (1953), and genetic advance i.e. the expected genetic gain by using the procedure given by Johnson et al., (1955). Correlation coefficients and direct and indirect effects were worked out as per the method suggested by Al Jibouri et al., (1958) and Dewey and Lu (1959), respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The highest range of variation was recorded for number of pods per plant, plant height, harvest index and seed yield per plant, indicating presence of sufficient variability for the selection of the desirable genotypes. A perusal of GCV revealed that maximum values were recorded for 100 seed weight (40.67) and harvest index (32.95). The character possessing high genotypic coefficient of variation value has better scope of improvement through selection. Low GCV was observed for number of seeds per pod (5.26) and days to 50% flowering (5.99) (Table 1). Pods per plant (34.90) exhibited high PCV value followed by harvest index (34.79) while estimate of PCV was low for days to 50% flowering (6.10). Maximum difference between phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation was recorded for pods per plant, primary branches per plant, clusters per branch and clusters per plant, indicating the environmental influence on expression of these characters (Table 1).

On the basis of genotypic coefficient of variation alone, it is not possible to determine the amount of heritable variation. It can be estimated with greater degree of accuracy when heritability in conjunction with genetic advance is studied (Dudley and Moll, 1969). High heritability in broad sense was recorded for 100 seed weight (89.9%), harvest index (89.7%), pod length (88.2%), and days to maturity (83.1%). Moderate heritability was recorded for plant height (75.2%), days to 50% flowering (73.3%), and clusters per plant (61.9%). Low heritability was recorded for clusters per branch (26.4%) (Table 1). The characters which exhibited

high heritability, suggested that the selection will be more effective whereas the characters showing low heritability indicate that the selection may be influenced by environmental factors. Similar findings were reported by Choudhary *et al.*, (1988). The heritability estimates were of high magnitude for 100 seed weight, harvest index and days to maturity. The characters with high heritability governed predominantly by additive gene action and could be improved through individual plant selection (Panse, 1958). Anwari and Sochendi (1999) also reported high heritability for 100 seed weigh and harvest index

The estimates of genetic advance expressed as percent of mean showed a wide range for number of seeds per pods (7.37) and for harvest index (64.28). High genetic advance as per cent of mean (64.28) coupled with high heritability (89.7%) was recorded for the harvest index and low genetic advance as per cent of mean (7.75) coupled with low heritability (26.6%) was observed for the number of clusters per branch. Low genetic advance (28.64) coupled with high heritability (89.9%) was observed for 100 seed weight. The high heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance was observed for plant height, and days to maturity. Similar results were reported by Nazir *et al.* (2005).

Seed yield is the result of interaction of a number of interrelated characters with positive and negative effects on yield *per se*. Therefore, selection should be based on those component characters which have positive correlation with seed yield. Character association reveals the mutual relationship between two characters and it is very important parameter for deciding the nature of selection to be

S.No.	Characters	Genotypic Coefficient Variation	Phenotypic Coefficient Variation	Heritability (bs)(%)	Genetic Advance	GA as percent of mean
1.	Days to 50% flowering	5.99	06.10	73.3	03.84	10.57
2.	Plant height	10.12	11.67	75.2	11.68	18.07
3.	Primary branches per plant	12.75	20.82	37.5	00.46	16.09
4.	Clusters per branch	7.32	14.26	26.4	00.28	07.75
5.	Clusters per plant	14.99	19.16	61.2	02.91	24.16
6.	Pods per plant	13.90	34.90	78.4	15.02	56.35
7.	Pod length	13.32	14.19	88.2	02.08	25.76
8.	No. of seeds per pod	5.26	07.71	46.4	00.83	07.37
9.	Days to maturity	9.04	09.91	83.1	12.45	16.98
10.	100 seed weight	40.67	15.47	89.9	01.25	28.64
11.	Harvest index	32.95	34.79	89.7	24.07	64.28
12.	Seed yield per plant	12.73	17.41	53.5	02.06	09.18

Table 1. Estimates of genetic parameters for 12 quantitative characters in mungbean.

Š
Ð
U
ē
₹
õ
5
Ĕ
٩
p
a
C
ē
₹
p
ē
σ
at
c
ğ
е Ю
g
Ę
ĭ
2
Ч.
Ρ
<u>e</u>
\geq
ð
e
õ
E
0
5
ŧ
S
<u> </u>
Jar
char
nt chan
ent char
onent chan
ponent char
mponent char
component char
I component char
11 component char
of 11 component char
t of 11 component char
ent of 11 component char
sient of 11 component char
ficient of 11 component char
efficient of 11 component char
coefficient of 11 component char
i coefficient of 11 component char
on coefficient of 11 component char
tion coefficient of 11 component char.
lation coefficient of 11 component char
relation coefficient of 11 component char.
orrelation coefficient of 11 component char
correlation coefficient of 11 component char
of correlation coefficient of 11 component char
s of correlation coefficient of 11 component char
tes of correlation coefficient of 11 component char
ates of correlation coefficient of 11 component char
imates of correlation coefficient of 11 component char.
stimates of correlation coefficient of 11 component char
Estimates of correlation coefficient of 11 component char
2. Estimates of correlation coefficient of 11 component char.
3 2. Estimates of correlation coefficient of 11 component char.
ble 2. Estimates of correlation coefficient of 11 component char
able 2. Estimates of correlation coefficient of 11 component char

Characters	Plant height	Primary branches	Clusters	Clusters	Pods per plant	Pod length	No .of seeds per	Days to maturity	100 seed weight	Harvest index	Seeds yield per
	,	per plant	branch	plant			pod				plant
Days to 50% flowering g	-0.0778	-0.4833**	0.4504*	0.0665	-0.1998	-0.2608	0.5538**	0.1243	-0.1431	-0.1431	-0.5186**
, C	-0.2530	-0.1847	0.2310	0.0985	-0.0650	0.1554	-0.1413	0.4201**	0.0860	-0.0732	0.2827
Plant height rg		0.4441*	-0.2121	0.1899	-0.6907**	-0.1836	-0.1690	0.1085	-0.3019	-0.4408*	-0.3479*
đ		0.3569**	-0.0022	0.2718	-0.4694**	-0.1378	-0.1495	0.1352	0.2113	-0.3519*	-0.2725
Primary branches per rg			0.4192*	0.8184**	-0.1482	-0.4685**	-0.0432	-0.3542*	-0.0806	-0.0713	0.0256
plant np			0.1837	0.6446**	-0.0830	-0.2872	0.0405	-0.2291	0.0043	-0.0350	0.0399
Clusters per branch rg				0.7546**	0.4612*	0.3231	0.2999	0.2308	0.2790	0.2654	0.1611
đ				0.5712**	0.2568	0.1794	0.1951	0.1622	2.1793	0.0636	0.1568
Clusters per plant rg					0.0982	-0.1748	0.2119	0.0703	-0.1503	-0.0784	-0.0446
đ					0.1070	-0.1365	0.0973	0.0555	-0.0618	-0.0725	0.0096
Pods per plant rg						0.0646	0.2464	-0.1863	0.2025	0.4979**	0.8606**
đ						0.0902	0.1931	-0.1749	0.1815	0.4455*	0.5419**
Pod length rg							0.5303**	0.1994	0.6970**	0.1572	0.1459
e							0.4742**	0.1816	0.6247**	0.1343	0.1222
No. of seeds per pod rg								-0.3775	0.5351**	0.1076	0.3556
đ								-0.2404	0.3684*	0.0736	0.2855
Days to maturity rg									-0.1241	-0.1684	-0.3217
6									-0.1092	-0.1759	-0.2234
100 seed weight rg										0.1015	0.2161
đ										0.1948	0.1214
Harvest index rg											0.7635**
đ											0.5553**

 ** and * significant at 1% and 5% lavel, respectively

vel
ž
_
<i>a</i>
<u>–</u>
C
÷
Q
\sim
Ħ
2
<u> </u>
Ð
Q
-
2
5
σ
0
. <u> </u>
Q
\geq
Ħ
2
5
Φ
σ
<u>ц</u>
g
Ē
F
0
ð
Δ
σ
Ē
5
Ĕ
Ľ
2
.∟
-
<u> </u>
<u></u>
. <u> </u>
\sim
$\overline{\mathbf{n}}$
8
Ψ.
Ϋ́
0)
5
Ś
5
<u>۳</u>
Ö
ā
<u> </u>
g
Ē
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
Ξ
5
ž
F
ž
<u> </u>
F
×
×
ö
о С
ald co
ield co
yield co
f yield co
of yield co
of yield co
ts of yield co
cts of yield co
ects of yield co
fects of yield co
ffects of yield co
effects of yield co
t effects of yield co
ct effects of yield co
ect effects of yield co
irect effects of yield co
direct effects of yield co
ndirect effects of yield co
indirect effects of yield co
d indirect effects of yield co
nd indirect effects of yield co
and indirect effects of yield co
and indirect effects of yield co
t and indirect effects of yield co
ect and indirect effects of yield co
ect and indirect effects of yield co
irect and indirect effects of yield co
Direct and indirect effects of yield co
Direct and indirect effects of yield co
 Direct and indirect effects of yield co
3. Direct and indirect effects of yield co
e 3. Direct and indirect effects of yield co
vle 3. Direct and indirect effects of yield co
ble 3. Direct and indirect effects of yield co
able 3. Direct and indirect effects of yield co

Characters		Days to50% flowering	Plant height	Primary branchesper plant	Clusters r per branch	Clusters per plant	Pods perplant	Pod lengtl	h Seeds per pod	Days to maturity	100 seed weight	Harvest index	Correlation Coefficient with Seed yield per plant
Days to 50% flowering Plant height	თითი	-0.9734 -0.2133 -0.0071 -0.0008	0.0758 0.0054 0.0915 0.0335	0.4704 0.0400 0.0406 0.0120	-0.4384 -0.0493 -0.0194 -0.0001	-0.0647 -0.210 0.0174 0.0091	0.1303 0.0139 -0.0632 -0.0157	-0.1945 -0.0331 -0.0168 -0.0046	0.2538 0.0301 -0.0155 -0.0050	-0.5391 -0.0896 0.0099 0.0045	-0.1210 -0.183 -0.0276 -0.0071	0.1392 0.0156 -0.0403 -0.0118	-0.5186** -0.2827 -0.3479* -0.2725
Primary branches per plant Clusters per branch		-0.7483 -0.203 1.9052 0.0294	0.6877 0.0387 -0.8973 -0.0003	1.5483 0.1085 1.7734 0.0234	0.6491 0.0199 4.2303 0.1271	1.2671 1.2671 0.0699 3.1922 0.0726	-0.2295 -0.0090 1.9509 0.0326	-0.7255 -0.0312 1.3670 0.0228	0.0668 0.0044 1.2688 0.0248	-0.5484 -0.00249 0.9763 0.0206	-0.1248 0.0005 1.1805 0.0228	-0.1104 -0.0038 1.1229 0.0081	0.0256 0.0399 0.1611 0.1568
Clusters per plant	- ന വ	-0.3513 -0.0150	-1.0032 -0.0414	-4.3230 -0.0982	-3.9862 -0.0870	-5.2826 -0.1524	-0.5188 -0.0163	0.9233 0.0208	-1.1195 -0.0148	-0.3713 -0.0084	0.7942 0.0094	0.4140	-0.0446 0.0096
Pods per plant Pod length	. ത വ ത വ	0.0127 -0.0227 -0.2335 0.0010	0.0656 -0.1639 0.2146	0.0141 -0.0290 0.5475 -0.0018	-0.0438 0.0896 -0.3776 0.0011	-0.0093 0.0373 0.2042 -0.0008	-0.0950 0.3491 -0.0755 0.0006	-0.0061 0.0315 -1.1684 0.0062	-0.0234 0.0674 -0.6197 0.0029	0.0177 -0.0611 -0.2330 0.0011	-0.0192 0.0634 -0.8144 0.0039	-0.0473 0.1555 -0.1837 0.00008	0.8606** 0.5419** 0.1459 0.1222
No. of seeds per pod Days to maturity	- ෨ ෬ ෨ ෬	-0.4173 -0.0247 0.4017 0.0158	-0.2704 -0.0262 0.0787 0.0051	0.0691 0.0071 -0.2569 -0.0086	0.4800 0.0342 0.1674 0.0061	0.3391 0.0170 0.0510 0.0021	0.3943 0.0338 -0.1352 -0.0066	0.8487 0.0830 0.1447 0.0068	1.6003 0.1751 -0.2739 -0.0091	-0.6042- 0.0421 0.7254 0.03376	0.8562 0.0645 -0.0901	0.1723 0.0129 -0.1222	0.3556* 0.2855 -0.3217 -0.2234
100 seed weight Harvest index		-0.1706 0.0042 0.0632 0.0277	0.4145 0.0104 0.1947 -0.1331	0.1106 -0.0002 0.0315 -0.0132	-0.3831 -0.0088 -0.1172 0.0241	0.2064 0.0030 0.0346 -0.0274	-0.2780 -0.0089 -0.2199 0.1685	-0.9569 -0.0308 -0.0694 0.0508	-0.7346 -0.0182 -0.0475 0.0278	0.1704 0.0054 0.0744 -0.0665	-1.3729 -0.0493 -0.0448 0.0358	-0.1393 -0.0047 -0.4416 0.3781	0.2161 0.1214 0.7635** 0.5553**

followed in the crop under investigation. In the present study the seed yield per plant was significantly and positively associated with pods per plant (0.8606** and 0.5419**) and harvest index (0.7635** and 0.5553**) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels, respectively (Table 2). Thus selection for higher yield on the basis of above two characters would be reliable. The findings are in concordance with Zabet et al., (2004). But Mittal et al., (2007) reported that the seed yield per plant was positively correlated with pods per plant and 100 seed weight. Primary branches per plant was significantly and positively associated with plant height (0.4441** and 0.3569**) and clusters/ plant (0.8184** and 0.6446**) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels, respectively. Pod length was significantly and positively associated with number of seeds/ pod (0.5303** and 0.4742**) and 100 seed weight (0.6970** and 0.6247**) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels, respectively. Besides, 100 seed weight was significantly and positively associated with number of seeds/ pod (0.5351** and 0.3684**) (Table 2). These findings are in agreement with results of Sridevi and Sekhar (2004).

As the correlation coefficient is insufficient to explain true relationship for an effective manipulation of the character, path coefficient was worked out. Path coefficients at phenotypic level revealed that harvest index (0.3781) and pods per plant (0.3491) had high positive direct effect on seed yield per plant, indicating that they are main contributors to yield (Table 3). Number of clusters/ branch (4.2303), primary branches per plant (1.5483) and seeds per pod (1.6003) had direct positive effect on seed yield at genotypic level. The findings are in agreement with the reports of Kumar *et al.*, (2004).

Seed yield per plant registered positive and significant association with number of pods per plant, harvest index at phenotypic and genotypic levels, indicating that seed yield per plant can be improved by considering these characters as selection indices in mungbean.

LITERATURE CITED

- Al-Jibouri H A, Miller P A and Robinson H F 1958 Genotypic & environmental variance in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agronomy Journa*, 50: 633-637.
- Anwari M and Sochendi R 1999 Heritability and genotypic correlation of several quantitative characters in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). In: Improvement in technology to increase legume and tuber plants productivity. Indonasia. pp: 51-64

- Burton F W 1952 Quantitative inheritance in grasses proceeding: 6th International Grassland Congress 1: 222-283.
- Burton G W and De Vane 1953 Estimating heritability in tall fescue from replicated clonal material. Agronomy Journal, 45(3): 474-478.
- Choudhary M, Khaleque M M A and Rahman M M 1988 Variability and correlation among some yield contributing characters in mungbean. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics*, 21(1): 62-65.
- Dewey J R and Lu K H 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat seed production. *Agronomy Journal*, 51: 515-518.
- Dudley J W and Moll R H 1969 Interpretation and uses of estimates of heritability and genetic variance in plant breeding. *Crop Science*, 9: 257-262.
- Johnson H W, Robison H F and Comstock R E 1955 Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean and their implications in selection. Agronomy Journal, 47: 314-318.
- Kumar R, Ravikant and Ijha C B 2004 Character association analysis in mungbean. Legume Research 27(1): 32-36.
- Mittal V P, Parmjit Singh and Brar K S 2007 Component characters influencing seed yield in mungbean. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3(1): 80-81.
- Nazir A, Sadiq M S, Hanif M, Abbas G and Haider S 2005 Genetics parameters and path analysis in mungbean. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 43(4): 339-347.
- Panse V G 1958 Genetics of quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding, 17: 318-328.
- Poehlman J M 1991 The Mungbean. Oxford and IBH Publication and Company Private Limited, New Delhi, Bombay, Calcutta.
- Sridevi G and Sekhar M R 2004 Character association and path analysis in mungbean. Annals of Agricultural Research, 25(1):149-152.
- Zabet M, Hosseinzadch A H, Ahmadi A and Khial P F 2004 Correlation analysis in mungbean under two irrigation systems. *Iranian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, (4): 839-840.

(Received on 15.12.2011 and revised on 16.01.2012)