

Effect of Dairy Factory Effluent on Soil Enzymes in Greengram and Pearlmillet Crops

G Sashi Kala, M V S Naidu and K Sreenivasulu Reddy

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry S.V. Agricultural College (ANGRAU), Tirupati-517 502, Andhra Pradesh.

ABSTRACT

A pot culture experiment was conducted during *rabi*, 2009 at S.V.Agricultural college, Tirupati (Andhra Pradesh) to characterize Dairy factory effluent (DFE) with respect to soil enzymes and also to study the effect of Dairy factory effluent on soil enzymes activities in greengram and pearlmillet crops. The urease, dehydrogenase, acid and alkaline phosphatase and arylsulfatase activities were increased with increase in Dairy factory effluent application from DFE₀ to DFE_{3.0} irrespective of crops studied. Soil enzymes activities such as urease, dehydrogenase and arylsulfatase were higher in greengram crop while acid and alkaline phophatase activities were higher in pearlmillet crop. The interaction effect between crops and levels of Dairy factory effluent was significant on urease and dehydrogenase activities at all stages of crop growth.

Key words : Dairy factory effluent, Greengram, Pearlmillet, Soil enzymes.

Dairy production plants are one of the most important agro-based industries producing different dairy products like homogenized or pasteurized milk, ghee, butter milk, flavoured milk, kova, doodhpeda etc. The dairy industry is an important contributor to the economy of India. In the preparation of various dairy products and cleaning of the equipment, lot of waste water is generated which is known as Dairy factory effluent (DFE). Dairy factory effluent is either disposed on land or surface water bodies resulting in pollution of soil, water and atmosphere. The farmers in the vicinity of dairy production plants are using effluent as source of plant nutrients without knowing beneficial or adverse effects on crop as well as on soil. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of different levels of Dairy factory effluent on soil enzymes activities, which are the indicators of biological activity of soil in pearlmillet and greengram crops.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A pot culture experiment was carried out at S.V Agricultural college, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh during *rabi*, 2009. The experimental soil was sandy clay loam (Typic Haplustalfs) in texture, neutral in reaction (pH 7.4) and non-saline (0.61 dSm⁻¹), low in available N (225 kg ha⁻¹) and P (8.64 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in available K (204 kg ha⁻¹) and S (10.62 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment was conducted in a complete

randomized block design with factorial concept. comprising of five levels of Dairy factory effluent and two crops with three replications. The five levels of Dairy factory effluent were DFE_o (soil alone), DFE₁₀ (soil + 1,00,000 L ha⁻¹), DFE₁₅ (Soil + 1,50,000 L ha-1), DFE₃₀ (soil + 3,00,000 L ha-1) and DFE_{45} (soil + 4,50,000 L ha⁻¹). The crops grown were greengram (LGG - 460) and pearl millet (PBS -1). All the levels of Dairy factory effluent envisaged in the study were applied to a pot containing 40 kg soil one month before sowing of the crop. FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ was applied uniformly to all the pots to enhance mineralization of Dairy factory effluent. The Dairy factory effluent required for experiment was collected from Dairy plant, College of Dairy Technology, S.V. Veterinary University, Tirupati, Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh. Soil samples were collected at 25 days, 50 days and at harvest. All the soil samples were analysed for urease, dehydrogenase, acid and alkaline phosphatase and arylsulfatases.

Dehydrogenase activity of soil and Dairy factory effluent was determined by TTC reduction (Casida *et al.*, 1964). Urease (urea amido hydrolase EC 3.5.1.5) activity of soil and effluent was estimated by the method of Tabatabai (1982), acid phosphatase (ortho phosphoric monoester phosphohydrolase EC 3.1.3.2) and alkaline phosphatase (orthophosphoric monoester phosphohydrolase EC 3.1.3.1) of soil and effluent were determined by the method as described by Tabatabai and Bremner (1969) and arylsulfatase (arylsulfate sulfohydrate EC 3.1.6.1) activity of soil and effluent was determined by the procedure outlined by Tabatabai and Bremner (1970).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Characterisation of initial soil and Dairy factory effluent

Urease activity of soil and Dairy factory effluent was 356 (µg urea hydrolyzed g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) and 100 (µg of urea hydrolyzed ml⁻¹ effluent h⁻¹) whereas the dehydrogenase activity of soil and effluent was 125 (µg TPF g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) and 54 (µg of TPF ml⁻¹ effluent h⁻¹), respectively. Further, the acid phosphatase activity in soil and effluent was 61.20 (µg of *p*-nitrophenol g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) and 25.10 (µg of *p*nitrophenol ml⁻¹ effluent h⁻¹) and alkaline phosphatase activity of soil and effluent were 68.39 (µg of *p*-nitrophenol g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) and 30.10 (µg of *p*nitrophenol ml⁻¹ effluent h⁻¹), respectively. The arylsulfatase activity of soil and effluent was 58.39 (µg of *p*-nitrophenol g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) and 20.50, (µg of *p*nitrophenol ml⁻¹ effluent h⁻¹), respectively.

Urease activity

Urease activity significantly increased with increase in the levels of Dairy factory effluent application from DFE₀ to DFE₃₀ (Table 1) at 25 days, 50 days and at harvest. The higher value was reported with DFE₃₀ at all the stages of crop growth. Increase in microbial growth due to addition of nutrients through Dairy factory effluent might be the reason for increased urease activity. Similar findings were reported by Jezierska and Frac (2009). The soils collected from pearlmillet crop recorded higher urease activity than soils collected from greengram crop. The interaction effect between crops and levels of Dairy factory effluent on urease activity was found to be significant at all stages of crop growth. The highest enzyme activity was noticed in pearlmillet crop treated with DFE₃₀. This might be due to positive interaction between pearlmillet crop and Dairy factory effluent.

Dehydrogenase activity

Dehydrogenase activity in soil significantly increased with increased levels of Dairy factory effluent application from DFE_0 to DFE_{30} (Table 2) at all the stages of crop growth. The higher value was reported with DFE_{30} at all the stages of crop growth. The increase in dehydrogenase activity night be due to supply of organic matter through effluent which might be responsible for increased microbial population consequently more enzyme activity. These results were in accordance with the findings of Saliha *et al.*, (2005). The soils sown with pearlmillet crop showed significantly higher dehydrogenase activity than the soils sown with greengram crop at 25 days and 50 days of crop growth. The highest activity of dehydrogenase enzyme in pearlmillet crop may be due to positive influence of pearlmillet root on rhizosphere microbes.

Acid and alkaline phosphatase activity

The acid and alkaline phosphatase activities were significantly increased with increased levels of Dairy factory effluent application from DFE₀ to DFE₃₀ (Table 3 & 4). The higher activity of both the enzymes was recorded with DFE_{3.0} irrespective of the crops. The increase in enzyme activities may be ascribed to increased microbial population due to increased availability of organic carbon through effluent which inturn released the enzymes. The results were in agreement with the findings of Dinesh et al., (2000). The soils of greengram crop showed higher acid and alkaline phosphatase activities than soils of pearlmillet crop. Legumes require higher amount of phosphorus and the microbes in the rhizosphere of greengram crop might have secreted more phosphatase enzyme leading to greater solubilisation of phosphorus resulting in higher phosphatases activities. These results were in line with findings of Makoi et al., (2008).

Arylsulfatase activity

AryIsulfatase activity in soil increased significantly with increase in levels of Dairy factory effluent application from DFE₀ to DFE_{3.0} (Table 5). The higher aryIsulfatase activity was reported in DFE_{3.0} treatment at all the stages of crop growth. Pearlmillet crop showed higher aryIsulfatase activity than greengram crop at 25 days and 50 days. This might be due to favourable rhizosphere effect of pearlmillet crop on microbes which led to higher secretion of aryIsulfatase enzyme by microbes.

Soil enzymes activities were increased with increase in the levels of Dairy factory effluent application from DFE₀ to DFE_{3.0} and the treatment DFE_{3.0} recorded the higher enzymes activities. The organic matter present in Dairy factory effluent enhanced the microbial activity, there by favouring the synthesis of various enzymes in soils under greengram and pearlmillet crops.

	Growth Stages										
Treatments	25 Days			50 Days				Harvest			
	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean	Pearlmil	let G	reengran	n Mean	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean	
DFE ₀	155	151	153	148		146	147	135	138	136	
DFE _{1.0}	187	175	181	174		168	171	162	154	158	
DFE ^{1.5}	202	200	201	195		184	189	183	180	181	
DFE	214	211	212	209		205	207	207	201	204	
DFE _{4.5}	200	198	199	190		186	188	185	178	181	
Mean	191	187		183		177		174	170		
	:	SEm±	CD (P=0.08	5)	SEm±		CD (P=0.	05) SEr	m± CD (F	P=0.05)	
Crops Treatments Interaction		0.5164 0.8165 1.1547	1.5234 2.4087 3.4064		0.5164 0.8165 1.1547		1.5234 2.4087 3.4064	0.51 0.81 1.15	165	1.5234 2.4087 3.4064	

Table 1. Urease activity (µg urea hydrolyzed g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) of soil at different stages of crop growth in pearmillet and greengram

Table 2. Dehydrogenase activity (μ g TPF g⁻¹soil h⁻¹) of soil at different stages of crop growth in pearmillet and greengram

		Growth Stages										
Treatments	nts 25 Days			50 Days				Harvest				
	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean	Pearlm	illet	Greengran	n Mean	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean		
DFE	5.88	5.70	5.79	5.22	2	5.18	5.20	4.15	4.30	4.22		
DFE _{1.0}	6.71	6.48	6.59	6.03	3	5.93	5.98	5.50	5.33	5.41		
DFE ^{1.0}	8.07	7.39	7.73	7.44	4	7.23	7.33	5.81	5.53	5.61		
DFE _{3.0}	11.24	10.58	10.91	10.2	1	9.83	10.02	8.76	8.56	8.66		
DFE _{4.5}	6.37	6.13	6.25	5.88	3	5.67	5.77	4.99	5.07	5.03		
Mean	7.65	7.26		6.96		6.77		5.84	5.76			
	S	Em±	CD (P	=0.05)	SEm	±	CD (P=0.	05) SEr	n± CD (P=	0.05)		
Crops Treatments Interaction		0.0516 0.0817 0.1155	0.1524 0.2409 NS	-	0.052 0.082 0.117	9	0.1547 0.2447 NS	0.05 0.07 0.11	'99	NS 0.2358 NS		

				Grov	vth Stages					
Treatments	25 Days				50 Days		Harvest			
	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean	Pearlmillet	Greengrar	m Mean	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean	
DFE	43.10	45.26	44.18	41.16	43.21	42.19	40.60	41.64	41.12	
	48.42	50.30	49.36	43.67	46.70	45.18	41.20	42.34	41.17	
DFE ^{1.0}	50.21	54.41	52.31	47.78	55.67	51.72	45.34	46.27	45.80	
DFE _{3.0}	54.95	56.40	55.68	53.24	56.34	54.79	51.64	52.17	51.91	
DFE _{4.5}	49.34	53.61	51.48	46.27	54.29	50.38	43.22	44.62	43.92	
Mean	49.20	52.00		46.46	51.24		44.40	45.41		
		SEm±	CD (P=0.08	5) SE	m±	CD (P=0.	05) SEr	m± CD (P=0.05)	
Crops Treatments Interaction		0.5663 0.8954 1.2663	1.6706 2.6415 NS	0.8	617 881 560	1.6570 2.6200 NS	0.56 0.89 1.26	955	NS 2.6418 NS	

Table 3. Acid phosphatase activity (µg of <i>p</i> -nitrophenol g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹) of soil at different stages of crop	growth
in pearmillet and greengram	

Table 4. Alkaline phosphatase activity (µg *p*-nitrophenol g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) of soil at different stages of crop growth in pearmillet and greengram

	Growth Stages										
Treatments	ents 25 Days			50 Days				Harvest			
	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean	Pearlm	nillet	Greengran	n Mean	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean	
DFE	47.30	48.30	47.80	43.4		44.20	43.82	37.50	38.23	37.83	
DFE _{1.0} DFE _{1.5}	53.27 58.26	52.28 59.19	52.77 58.78	49.2 54.2		48.38 55.19	48.83 54.73	40.61 42.29	42.63 48.30	41.62 45.30	
DFE ₃₀	63.41 54.28	64.71 55.71	64.06 54.99	59.4 50.2		60.71 51.74	60.07 51.02	58.00 39.61	59.72 41.61	58.86 40.61	
DFE _{4.5} Mean	55.32	56.04	54.55	51.3		52.04	51.02	43.60	46.10	40.01	
	S	Em±	CD (P	=0.05)	SEm:	±	CD (P=0.	05) SEr	n± CD (P=0.05)	
Crops Treatments Interaction		0.5636 0.8911 1.2602	NS 2.6288 NS	3	0.555 0.878 1.242	6	NS 2.5920 NS	0.55 0.87 1.23	'3	1.6281 2.5743 NS	

Treatments	Growth Stages											
	25 Days				50 Days				Harvest			
	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean	Pearlm	illet	Greengram	n Mean	Pearlmillet	Greengram	Mean		
DFE	46.70	45.00	45.85	43.1	0	41.08	42.09	36.21	32.00	34.10		
DFE _{1.0}	56.60	53.00	54.80	50.6	1	48.36	49.49	41.26	43.26	42.26		
DFE ^{1.0}	60.00	61.27	60.63	57.0	0	55.28	56.14	50.33	52.61	51.47		
DFE _{3.0}	65.71	63.28	64.50	62.8	0	60.37	61.58	57.80	57.34	57.57		
DFE _{4.5}	52.80	50.68	51.74	48.6	7	45.89	47.28	39.88	39.16	39.52		
Mean	56.36	54.65		52.4	4	50.20		45.10	44.87			
	S	Em±	CD (P	=0.05)	SEm	£	CD (P=0.	05) SEr	n± CD (P=0.05)		
Crops Treatments Interaction		0.5194 0.8212 1.1614	1.5322 2.4220 NS		0.516 0.816 1.154	5	0.15235 2.4089 NS	0.51 0.81 1.15	175	NS 2.4117 NS		

Table 5. Arylsulfatase activity (µg of *p*-nitrophenol g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) of soil at different stages of crop growth in pearmillet and greengram

LITERATURE CITED

- Casida L E Klein D A and Santoro T 1964 Soil Dehydrogenase activity. *Soil Science*, 98:371-376.
- Dinesh R Dubey R P Ganeshmurthy A N and Shyam Prasad G 2000 Organic manuring in rice based cropping system : Effects on soil microbial biomass and selected enzyme activities. *Current Science*, 79:1716-1720.
- Jezierska Tys S and Frac M 2009 Impact of dairy sewage sludge on enzymatic activity and inorganic nitrogen concentration is the soils. International Agrophysics, 23:31-37.
- Makoi Joachim H J R and Ndakidemi A P 2008 Selected soil enzymes : Examples of their potential roles in the ecosystem. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(3):181-191.

- Saliha B B Krishnakumar S Saravanan A and Natarajan S K 2005 Microbial and enzyme dynamics in distillery spentwash treated soil. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 1(2):166-169.
- **Tabatabai M A 1982** Soil enzymes. Methods of soil analysis Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties, 2nd Edn. (Page A L ed.), pp.903-947.
- Tabatabai M A and Bremner J M 1969 Use of *p*nitrophenyl Phosphate for Assay of Soil Phosphatase activity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 1:301-307.
- TabatabaiMA andBremnerJM1970ArylsulfataseActivity of soils.SoilScienceSociety ofAmericanProceedings,34:225-229.

(Received on 20.09.2011 and revised on 25.10.2011)