

# Soil-site Suitability Evaluation for Commonly Growing Crops in Vadamalapeta Mandal of Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh

# Y S Satish Kumar and M V S Naidu

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati 517 502, Andhra Pradesh

#### ABSTRACT

The soils of Vadamalapeta Mandal of Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh were evaluated for their suitability to groundnut, rice and sugarcane crops. The major limitations in Typic Haplustalfs are pH, organic carbon, texture, soil depth and alkalinity. In Typic Ustifluvents pH, organic carbon, texture and alkalinity are the factors causing limitation for crop growth. Organic carbon, pH and texture are the limitations for the crop growth in Vertic Haplustepts and Typic Haplustepts. However, wetness, texture, pH, organic carbon and alkalinity are the major limitations for all the three crops in Typic Ustortents.

Key words : Land evaluation, Limitations, Potentials, Soil taxonomy.

The information on soil-site suitability to crops is believed to improve the usefulness of soil survey data. The land suitability evaluation for field crops forms a pre-requisite for land use planning (Sys *et al.*, 1991). However, each plant species requires specific soil and climatic conditions for its optimum growth. Information on soil constraints for crop growth and soil suitability for major crops in Vadamalapeta Mandal, in particular and Chittoor district, in general, is very much lacking. Keeping these facts in view, the soil survey data collected in Vadamalapeta Mandal was evaluated for suitability to commonly cultivated crops (groundnut, rice and sugarcane).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Study Area

The study area lies in between 13°34' and 13°56' N latitude and 79° 31' & 79° 52' E longitude. The climate is semi-arid, monsoonic type with distinct summer, winter and rainy seasons. The mean annual rainfall is 1257 mm of which 90 per cent was received during June to December. The mean annual temperature is 29°C with a mean summer and winter soil temperatures of 34°C and 29°C, respectively. The mean maximum temperature is in May that raises to 39°C and the mean minimum temperature is 24°C in the month of December. The soil moisture regime is ustic and soil temperature is iso-hyperthermic. The natural vegetation of the study area is Parthenium hysterophorus, Lantana camera, Cyprus rotundus, Cynodon dactylon, Eucalyptus robusta and Lucas aspera.

## Methodology

After traversing through foot the Vadamalapeta Mandal, seven typical pedons were selected, dug and studied on two landforms (plains and uplands) for their morphological characteristics following the procedure outlined in Soil Survey Staff (1951). Horizon-wise soil samples collected from the typifying pedons were analyzed for their physical, physico-chemical and chemical properties following the standard procedures and classified according to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010). These areas are evaluated for their suitability using limitation method regarding number and intensity of limitations (Sys *et al.*, 1991) based on the field and laboratory data..

The landscape and soil requirements for these crops were matched with generated data at different limitation levels: no (0), slight (1), moderate (2), severe (3), very severe (4). The number and degrees of limitations suggested the suitability class of pedon for a particular crop (Sys *et al.*, 1991). The potential land suitability (Table 3) sub-classes were determined after considering the improvement measures to correct these limitations (Sys *et al.*, 1991).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The relevant soil characteristics are presented in table 1 while the site and weighted means of soil characteristics are indicated in Table 2. These soils are developed from granite-gneiss and alluvial materials. The kind and degree of limitations of the soils for the three crops are presented in table 3. The soils with no or only four slight limitations are grouped under class (S1); the soils with more than four slight limitations, and/or with more than three moderate limitations under moderately suitability class (S2); the soil with more than three moderate limitations, and/or one or more severe limitation(s) under marginally suitable class (S3); the soils with very severe limitations which can be corrected under N1 (currently not suitable); the soils with very severe limitations which can not be corrected are grouped under unsuitable class N2 according to Sys et al. (1991). This method also identifies the dominant limitation that restrict the crop growth in the subclass symbol such as climate (c), topography (t), wetness (w), physical soil characteristics (s), soil fertility (f) and soil salinity/alkalinity (n). The suitability classes and sub-classes are derived by the most limiting soil characteristics (Table 3).

Pedon 1, which is grouped under Typic Haplustalfs is marginally suitable (S3) for rice and moderately suitable (S2) for groundnut and sugarcane. Soil fertility characteristics viz., pH, alkalinity and organic carbon, physical soil characteristics like texture and soil depth are the limitations. For all three crops organic carbon is a major limiting factor. Texture (sandy clay loam) is not a limitation for groundnut while alkalinity is not a limiting factor for rice. So the organic carbon status in these soils can be improved by the application of farm yard manure, green manure and inclusion of legumes in crop rotation. Satyavathi and Suryanarayan Reddy (2004) reported that Typic Haplustalfs of Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh were moderately suitable for growing groundnut crop as they exhibited similar limitations of soil fertility and physical soil characteristics.

Pedons 2 and 3 which are grouped under Typic Ustifluvents are marginally suitable (S3) for rice and moderately suitable (S2) for groundnut and sugarcane. These soils showed limitations *viz.*, physical soil characteristics (texture), soil fertility characteristics (pH and organic carbon) and alkalinity. The texture can be improved by mixing the soil with tank silt year after year. Alkalinity can be reclaimed by applying gypsum to replace sodium on the exchange complex with calcium ions and the replaced sodium can be leached out of the root zone.

Pedon 4 which is grouped under Vertic Haplustepts is marginally suitable (S3) for the crops studied due to very severe limitation of wetness (drainage) and physical soil characteristics (texture, pH and organic carbon). The soil characteristics such as depth and fertility status are in favourable range to suit crop requirements. However, heavy texture and improper drainage are found to be important soil related constraints in growing these crops. These results were in accordance with the findings of Satyavathi and Suryanarayan Reddy (2004) who reported that Vertic Haplustepts in Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh were marginally suitable (S3) for growing groundnut.

Pedon 5, which is grouped under Typic Ustorthents is marginally suitable (S3) for crops rice and groundnut . But the crops suffer from the constraints like wetness, texture, soil depth, pH and organic carbon. However, this soil is moderately suitable (S2) for sugarcane. The soil characteristics viz., texture and neutral reaction are found to be congenial for sugarcane crop. Further, the pedon 6 also grouped under Typic Ustorthents, is marginally suitable (S3) for the crops studied due to very severe limitations of wetness (drainage), physical soil characteristics (texture, pH and organic carbon) and alkalinity. Previous studies of Kadu et al., (2003) indicated that alkalinity in the soils of central India, limits the growth of crops (rice, sugarcane and groundnut) causing low availability of soil water as affected by poor hydraulic conductivity. Alkalinity can be minimised by applying gypsum to replace sodium on the exchange complex with calcium.

Though the pedons 5 and 6 were classified under Typic Ustorthents, they differ in their suitability to sugarcane i.e. pedon 5 is moderately suitable (S2) while pedon 6 is marginally suitable (S3) for growing sugarcane crop. This difference in suitability is mainly due to alkalinity in pedon 6. The alkalinity can be managed by addition of gypsum so as to achieve sustainable yields.

Pedon 7, which is classified under Typic Haplustalfs is moderately suitable for groundnut (S2) whereas marginally suitable (S3) for rice and sugarcane. The major limiting factors for the growth of rice and sugarcane in this soil are pH (8.42) and organic carbon. They can be improved by reducing soil pH through application of organic manures and amendments like sulphur. The other limiting factors are the texture and alkalinity.

Though the pedons 1 and 7 are classified under Typic Haplustalfs, they differ in their suitability to sugarcane crop. Pedon 1 is moderately suitable (S2) whereas pedon 7 is marginally suitable (S3) for growing sugarcane crop. The difference in suitability for growing sugarcane crop between these two pedons is higher pH (8.40) in pedon 7. Hence, the pH can be controlled by application of organic manures and sulphur. These findings were in agreement with those of Leelavathi *et al.*,(2010), who reported that Ultic Haplustalfs, Typic Haplustepts,

Depth	Physic	al charac	teristics	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	F	ertility	character	istics		Salin	ity and
(cm) -	Mecha	nical com	position	(%)	CEC	BS	Sum of	nLl		alka	alinity
	Sand (2-0.05)	Silt (0.05 - 0.002)	Clay (<0.002)	_	[cmol (p+) kg⁻¹ soi	(%) I	basic cations [cmol (p+) kg <sup>-1</sup> soil]	p⊢ (1:2.5 ) H₂O)	OC (%)	ECe (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	ESP
P1 Kayam	peta : Fine	loamy, ł	Kaolinitic, i	so-hypert	hermic, <sup>-</sup>	Typic H	laplustalfs				
0-10	56.01	20.86	23.13	1.04	20.18	90.93	17.24	7.65	0.54	0.01	0.50
10-40	58.94	20.45	20.61	1.05	18.80	77.03	13.45	7.65	0.50	0.02	5.48
40-75	54.03	20.32	25.65	1.01	19.50	86.92	15.64	7.68	0.41	0.02	6.72
75-95	47.98	25.22	26.80	1.06	19.33	88.20	15.89	7.53	0.36	0.03	6.00
95-110	49.34	22.17	28.49	1.08	18.47	93.18	15.82	7.88	0.34	0.04	7.53
P2 Kadirin	nangalam:	Fine-loa	my, siliceou	us, iso-hy	pertherm	іс, Тур	ic Ustifluv	ents			
0-12	60.19	19.73	20.08	1.40	25.47	88.34	21.09	7.83	0.41	0.02	5.54
12-46	48.31	22.60	29.09	1.21	24.38	85.85	19.30	7.94	0.33	0.04	6.69
46-84	46.63	22.15	31.22	1.06	23.86	89.95	19.53	7.50	0.38	0.04	8.09
84-101	67.51	17.30	15.19	0.64	17.81	84.28	13.92	7.62	0.32	0.05	6.12
101-140	79.16	14.59	6.25	0.48	15.78	79.97	11.61	7.80	0.28	0.06	6.40
140-180+	90.04	5.76	4.20	0.41	10.81	74.75	7.02	7.51	0.13	0.04	9.80
P3 Vadam	alapeta: Fi	ne-loamy	, siliceous	, iso-hype	rthermic	, Typic	Ustifluven	lts			
0-14	66.77	21.42	11.81	1.38	15.98	75.41	11.12	6.23	0.28	0.04	5.82
14-53	75.44	16.26	8.30	0.86	14.24	73.81	9.76	6.71	0.21	0.05	5.27
53-70	88.22	6.22	5.56	0.42	6.62	84.74	4.80	7.52	0.14	0.02	12.24
70-104	49.62	43.90	6.48	0.74	15.55	87.85	12.95	7.54	0.26	0.04	4.56
104-131	24.98	50.56	24.46	0.88	18.76	76.01	13.24	7.33	0.24	0.06	5.44
131-170+	51.80	38.45	9.75	1.01	19.65	87.48	16.33	7.45	0.23	0.06	4.38
P4 Vadam	ala: Fine,	Smectiti	c, iso-hype	rthermic,	Vertic H	apluste	epts				
0-15	50.05	23.39	26.56	0.85	24.98	79.58	18.74	8.08	0.60	0.10	4.56
15-70	46.12	14.61	39.27	1.36	37.90	77.23	27.48	8.58	0.56	0.12	4.72
71-100	47.25	12.41	40.34	1.61	50.71	87.14	42.42	8.87	0.45	0.15	3.49
100-123	43.52	12.62	43.86	1.84	49.68	83.41	39.83	8.84	0.38	0.17	3.24
123-158	43.47	13.14	43.39	1.42	53.32	89.37	45.64	8.75	0.33	0.18	3.77
P5 S.B.R.	Puram: Fi	ne-loamy	, Smectitic	, iso-hype	erthermi	с, Туріс	c Hapluste	pts			
0-15	69.19	11.57	19.24	1.26	17.40	85.23	14.11	7.33	0.51	0.04	4.71
15-35	48.36	17.46	34.18	1.18	18.02	90.57	14.95	7.08	0.43	0.06	7.60
35-55	27.79	43.99	28.22	1.34	28.76	76.29	20.96	7.01	0.36	0.09	3.41
P6 Seetha	ramapura	m: Sandy	, siliceous,	iso-hype	rthermic	, Typic	Ustorthent	S			
0-18	63.16	16.94	19.90	1.36	17.71	87.01	14.44	6.85	0.54	0.04	5.48
18-30	62.62	17.26	20.12	1.28	14.33	86.88	11.05	7.41	0.48	0.05	9.77
30-47	81.03	11.94	7.03	1.31	12.30	87.80	9.56	7.33	0.46	0.05	10.08
47-65	74.45	22.35	3.20	1.24	12.18	78.57	8.34	7.44	0.38	0.06	10.10
65-86	69.84	27.42	2.74	1.18	14.65	61.02	7.47	7.42	0.34	0.10	10.03
P7 Pattipu	ttur: Fine-	oamy, Ka	alonitic, iso	-hyperthe	ermic, Ty	pic Ha	plustalfs				
0-23	66.06	19.20	14.74	1.41	19.33	86.91	15.44	8.44	0.36	0.03	7.03
23-59	57.46	22.64	19.20	1.37	20.41	88.14	16.45	8.19	0.35	0.04	7.54
59-72	48.98	21.85	29.18	1.28	24.81	91.78	21.09	8.22	0.34	0.04	6.77
72-85	41.53	21.53	36.94	1.30	29.25	91.01	23.80	8.18	0.31	0.08	9.64
85-113	41.09	16.19	42.72	1.26	33.18	91.95	27.98	8.28	0.40	0.12	7.62

# Table 1. Relevant soil characteristics of the pedons

			Phy: charac	sical so teristic	oil s (s)	Soil fertility	charact	eristics	s (f)	Salinity and alkalinity (n)	
Pedon No.	Land form	Wetness (w) drainage	Texture	Soil depth (cm)	CaCO (%)	Apparent CEC [c mol , (p+) kg <sup>-1</sup> soil]	BS(%)	рН 1:2.5	OC	ECe (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	ESP
1	Plain	Well	scl	110	1.04	19.22	84.92	7.65	0.52	0.02	6.72
2	Plain	drained Well drained	scl	180+	1.08	24.60	79.03	7.89	0.37	0.03	8.09
3	Plain	Well	scl	170+	0.82	14.73	80.10	6.44	0.25	0.04	12.24
4	Plain	Imperfectly	SC	158	1.36	34.02	80.56	8.28	0.58	0.13	4.72
5	Gently sloping	Moderately well drained	cl	055	1.26	21.06	83.92	7.23	0.48	0.07	7.60
6	Gently sloping	Moderately well	sl	086	1.27	14.73	79.04	7.01	0.52	0.06	10.10
7	Plain	drained Well drained	scl	113	1.34	19.91	89.27	8.42	0.36	0.05	9.64

#### Table 2. Site and soil characteristics of pedons (weighted mean)

Topography (Slope) : 0-1%, 3-8% Flooding : Fo

Ultic Haplustalfs, Typic Haplustepts and Typic Ustifluvents were moderately suitable (S2) for groundnut and sugarcane while Ultic Haplustalfs and Typic Haplustepts were marginally suitable (S3) for growing paddy crop in Yerpedu mandal of Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh. Ashok Kumar and Jagdish Prasad (2010) also reported that sodicity and hydraulic conductivity of soils limit the productivity and suitability of sugarcane in central India.

In conclusion, the interpretation of soil survey data revealed that pedons 1, 2 and 3 are moderately suitable for growing sugarcane and groundnut and marginally suitable for growing rice. Pedons 4 and 6 are marginally suitable for growing groundnut, rice and sugarcane crops. Pedon 5 is moderately suitable for growing sugarcane and marginally suitable for growing ground nut and rice crops, whereas pedon 7 is moderately suitable for growing groundnut and marginally suitable for growing rice and sugarcane. The soil-site suitability for different crops (rice, sugarcane and groundnut) revealed that shallow depth in pedons 5 and 6 and low organic carbon in all the pedons (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7) are the major limitations for growing these crops on these soils. By correcting the above limitations sustainable yields can be achieved in rice, sugarcane and groundnut crops.

#### LITERATURE CITED

Ashok Kumar H P and Jagdish Prasad 2010. Some typical sugarcane growing soils of Ahmadnagar district of Maharashtra: their characterization and classification and nutritional status of soils and plants. *Journal* of the Indian Society of Soil Science.58: 257-

266.

Kadu P R, Vaidya P H, Balpande S S, Satyavathi P L A and Pal D K 2003. Use of hydraulic conductivity to evaluate the suitability of vertisols for deep rooted crops in semiarid parts of central India. Soils Use and Management 19: 208-216. Table 3. Limitation levels of the land characteristics and land suitability classes

			Physic	al soil char	acterist	ics (s)	Soil fertility	charac	teristic	(f)	Salinity	/ /	Actual	Potential	
Pedon	Crop	Wetness (w) drainage	Texture	Coarse fragments (Vol. %)	Soil depth (cm)	caco₃ (%)	Apparent CEC [cmol (p+) kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	BS (%)	pH 1:2.5	OC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Alkalinit) ECe (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	ESPs	land uitability ub-class	land suitability sub-class	
5	Rice Groundnu Sugarcane	000	N 0 <del>-</del>	000	00-	000	000	000	~ ~ ~	мии	000	00-	S3fs S2f S2fn	S2s S1 S1	
P2	Rice Groundnu Sugarcane	000	N 0 <del>-</del>	000	000	000	000	000	~ N N	мии	000	0	S3fs S2fn S2fn	S2s S1 S1	
P3	Rice Groundnu Sugarcane	000	N 0 <del>-</del>	000	000	000	000	000	0-0	мии	000	~ N N	S3fn S2fsn S2fns	S2 S2s S1s	
P4	Rice Groundnu Sugarcane	0 0 0	0 <del>-</del> -	000	000	000	000	000	0 0 M	мии	000	000	S3fsn S3fsw S3ffw	S1sw S1sw S1sw	
P5	Rice Groundnu Sugarcane	- 0 -	0	000	000	000	000	000		мии	000	00-	S3fsw S3fsw S2fswn	S1sw S2sw S1sw	
P6	Rice Groundnu Sugarcane	- 0 -	м 0 N	000		000	000	000		мии	000	~ N N	S3fswn S3fswn S3fswn	S2sw S2sw S2sw	
P7	Rice Groundnu Sugarcane	000	N 0 <del>-</del>	000	00-	000	000	000	0 0 M	м N N	000	0	S3fs S2sn S3fsn	S2s S1s S2s	
Limita Suitat	tions: 0- N ility class	o; 1-Sligh ss: f-Soil 1	t; 2-Mode ertility li	erate; 3-Se mitations;	evere, 4- s-Physi	-Very se ical soil	vere limitations; v	v-Wetr	less lir	nitations	s; n-Salir	nity (a	nd / or alk	alinity) limita	ations.

Satish Kumar and M V S Naidu

- Leelavathi G P, Naidu M V S, Ramavatharam N and Karuna Sagar G 2010. Soil-site suitability evaluation for commonly growing crops in Yerpedu mandal of chittoor district, Andhrapradesh. *Agropedology* 20(2):133-138.
- Satyavathi P L A and Suryanarayan Reddy M 2004. Soil-site suitability for six major crops in Telangana Region of Andhra Pradesh. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 25: 220-225.
- Soil Survey Staff 1951. Soil Survey Manual. US Department of Agricultural Hand Book No.18, USDA, Washington D.C
- Soil Survey Staff 2010. Soil Taxonomy, Second Edition, Agricultural Hand Book no.436, USDA, Natural Resources Consecrations Services, Washington DC, 1-782.
- Sys C, Van Ranst E and Debaveye J 1991. Land Evaluation, Part 2 Methods in Land Evaluation. Agricultural Publications No.7, Belgium.

(Received on 25.08.2011 and revised on 06.09.2011)