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#### Abstract

The study revealed that majority of the input dealers possessed medium communication behaviour ( $68.33 \%$ ) followed by high ( $16.67 \%$ ) and low ( $15.00 \%$ ). The relationship between profile characteristics and communication behaviour of input dealers observed that computed ' $r$ ' value of education, business experience, occupation, farming experience, annual income, social participation, training received, economic orientation and innovativeness were positively significant at 0.01 level of probability, while age and land holding were non-significant with the communication behaviour of input dealers. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis gave the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value of 0.9038 , thus inferred that selected independent variables put together contributed 90.38 per cent of the total variation in the communication behaviour of the input dealers. The independent variables like farming experience, economic orientation and innovativeness of the respondents had contributed significantly at 0.01 level of probability towards the variation in the communication behaviour of the input dealers.
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India is an agricultural based country. Agricultural input dealers are playing a major role in transfer of technology and there by farm productivity. Among all the agencies of communication input dealers are larger in number and spread even in interior areas of the country. For the past several decades, farmers have relied upon farm input dealers for quality inputs and agricultural information. Singh (1989) reported that farm input dealers take keen interest and participate in technology transfer being the direct beneficiaries.

Hence, the present study was undertaken with an objective to find out the relationship of profile characteristics of input dealers with their communication behaviour in Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh.

## MATERIALAND METHODS

The study was conducted in Guntur district purposively because it occupies the first position in the total number of input dealers among all the districts in Andhra Pradesh. Out of 57 mandals in Guntur district, two mandals namely Guntur (urban) and Macherla were selected purposively for the study, where the input dealer's number is high. A total number of 60 input dealers were selected proportionately from the two mandals by applying
proportionate random sampling method. An Ex-post facto research was followed and data was collected from the respondents through a well structured and pre-tested interview schedule. To convert the results into meaningful interpretation, the following statistical tools were used; Mean, Standard Deviation, Correlation and Multiple linear regression.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the Table 2, it could be evident that majority of the respondents ( $68.33 \%$ ) belonged to medium category of communication behaviour followed by high (16.67\%) and low (15.00\%) categories of communication behaviour. From the results it could be concluded that almost 85.00 per cent of the total sample had medium and high communication behaviour. The reasons for higher communication behaviour might be higher education, superior economic orientation and greater innovativeness.

From the Table 3, it was observed that all computed correlation coefficient ('r' values) of education, business experience, occupation, farming experience, annual income, social participation, training received, economic orientation and innovativeness with the communication behaviour was found to be positively significant at 0.01 level of

Table 1. Number of respondents selected from two mandals

| Name of the mandal | Total number of input dealers | Number of respondents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Guntur (urban) | 233 | 31 |
| Macherla | 215 | 29 |

Table 2. Distribution of respondents according to their communication behaviour


Table 3. Relationship between independent variables of respondents and their communication behaviour

|  |  | $\mathrm{n}=60$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S.No. | Independent variables | 'r' values |
| 1 | Age | 0.0179 NS |
| 2 | Education | $0.8137^{* *}$ |
| 3 | Business experience | $0.771^{* *}$ |
| 4 | Occupation | $0.5790^{* *}$ |
| 5 | Land holding | 0.0849 NS |
| 6 | Farming experience | $0.7584^{* *}$ |
| 7 | Annual income | $0.6079^{* *}$ |
| 8 | Social participation | $0.4918^{* *}$ |
| 9 | Training received | $0.7320^{* *}$ |
| 10 | Economic orientation | $0.7972^{* *}$ |
| 11 | Innovativeness | $0.7939^{* *}$ |

[^0]NS $=$ Non- significant

Table 4. Multiple Linear Regression of selected independent variables and communication behaviour of the input dealers

|  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=60$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S.No. | Independent <br> variables | Regression <br> co-efficient | Standard <br> error | t -value |
| 1 | Age | 0.0910 | 0.8564 | 0.1063 NS |
| 2 | Education | 1.7792 | 1.1538 | 1.5421 NS |
| 3 | Business experience | 1.2932 | 0.9786 | 1.3216 NS |
| 4 | Occupation | -2.0789 | 1.5217 | -1.3661 NS |
| 5 | Land holding | 0.0917 | 0.3626 | 0.2528 NS |
| 6 | Farming experience | 2.0139 | 0.6219 | $3.2383^{* *}$ |
| 7 | Annual income | 1.5161 | 1.2300 | 1.2326 NS |
| 8 | Social participation | 2.0579 | 0.8483 | 1.6599 NS |
| 9 | Training received | 2.5007 | 1.4623 | 1.4073 NS |
| 10 | Economic orientation | 1.9641 | 0.6721 | $3.7205^{* *}$ |
| 11 | Innovativeness | 0.4797 | $4.0943^{* *}$ |  |

```
A= -13.79
**= \(5 \%\) level of significance
```

$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9038$
NS=Non-significant
probability. Whereas age and land holding were found to be non-significant.

A perusal of Table 3, revealed that there was no significant correlation between age and the communication behaviour of the respondents. It might be due to the fact that input dealers irrespective of their age had the same information sources and communication channels used for dissemination of agricultural information to the farmers. This result was in line with the findings of Somasundaram and Arunachalam (1996).

It was evident from the Table 3, that there was a positive and significant relationship between education and communication behaviour of the respondents. It could be concluded that the comprehensive ability of an individual enhance with increased educational qualification, which facilitates them to take advantages of various communication channels. This result was in line with the findings of Somasundaram and Arunachalam (1996).

Table 3, evidently shows that there was a positive and significant relationship between business experience and communication behaviour of the respondents. It could be inferred that input dealers with more business experience had most awareness and understand the efficient utilization of various information sources, processing methods and dissemination channels to the farmers.

A perusal of the Table 3, shows that there
was a positive and significant relationship between occupation and communication behaviour of the respondents. Thus, it could be concluded that input dealers having both business and farming as their occupation had communicated efficiently than others with business alone as their main occupation.

A perusal of Table 3, revealed that there was no significant correlation between land holding and the communication behaviour of the respondents. It might be due to the fact that input dealers irrespective of their land holding had used same methods for communication of agricultural information.

A bird's eye view of Table 3, revealed that there was a positive and significant relationship between farming experience and communication behaviour of the respondents. The actual observation of various facts, events and agricultural practices facilitates an input dealer develop more faith on the information communication channels. This result was in line with the findings of Reddy (1997).

It was noticed from the Table 3, that there was a positive and significant relationship between annual income and communication behaviour of the respondents. Input dealers having more annual income will have high information dissemination ability. It might be due to their high financial position of the respondents which help in more accessibility
of various methods of communication. This result was in line with the findings of Brar et al. (2004).

It was evident from the Table 3, that there was a positive and significant relationship between social participation and communication behaviour of the respondents. It could be inferred that the input dealers with high social participation can naturally be aware of various communication channels and their effective utilization. This result was in line with the findings of Somasundaram and Arunachalam (1996) and Reddy (1997).

A perusal of the Table 3, clearly exhibits that there was a positive and significant relationship between training received and communication behaviour of the respondents. It could be inferred that trainings impart the knowledge, skills about utilization of all the available channels to the effective communication of agricultural information to the farmers. This result was in line with the findings of Reddy (1997).

A close observation of the Table 3, denoted that there was a positive and significant relationship between economic orientation and communication behaviour of the respondents. It could be inferred that the input dealers with more economic orientation oriented towards effective communication behaviour of agricultural information.

It was noticed from the Table 3, that there was a positive and significant relationship between innovativeness and communication behaviour of the respondents. It might be due to the fact that input dealers who are relatively earlier in adopting agricultural innovations were more efficient in their communication behaviour.

It was observed from the Table 4, that the eleven independent variables with the communication behaviour by the input dealers taken on Multiple

Linear Regression Analysis gave the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (Co-efficient of multiple determination) value of 0.9038 . Hence, it could be inferred that independent variables put together contributed for 90.38 per cent of the total variation in the communication behaviour of the input dealers, leaving the rest to extraneous effects. The independent variables like farming experience, economic orientation and innovativeness of the respondents had contributed significantly at 0.01 level of probability towards the variation in the communication behaviour of the input dealers.

It could be concluded from the findings that majority of the input dealers possessed medium communication behaviour followed by high and low levels. Among the selected independent variables farming experience, economic orientation and innovativeness of the respondents had contributed significantly to the communication behaviour of the input dealers.
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