



## Effect of Phosphate Rich Organic Manure on Growth, Nutrient Uptake, Quality and Economics in Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.)Merrill]

P V Ramesh Babu, K Chandrasekhar and R Veeraghavaiah

Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla 522101, Andhra Pradesh

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on clay loam soils of the Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla, to study the effect of phosphate rich organic manure (PROM) on growth, nutrient uptake, quality and economics of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.)Merrill] during *rabi* 2005-06. Phosphate rich organic manure (PROM) made of double the recommended dose (DRD) of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio recorded the maximum drymatter production at maturity and found significantly superior to all other treatments of PROM made of recommended dose (RD) of  $P_2O_5$ . The highest P uptake by both grain and stover was observed by PROM with DRD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio. However, it was comparable to PROM of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:2 and 1:3 ratios, RD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio and 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  through SSP. The highest protein content was recorded with the application of PROM made of double the recommended dose of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio. The increase in available phosphorus status in soil was recorded with the treatment PROM made of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  over the treatments of PROM with RD of phosphorus. The highest benefit cost ratio was obtained with the application of PROM made of RD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio followed by PROM made of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio.

**Key words :** Economics, Growth, Nutrient Uptake, PROM, PR, FYM, Quality, Soybean

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.)Merrill] is a highly nutrient exhaustive legume and requires higher amount of nutrients, particularly phosphorus for its optimum production. Unlike other crops, soybean takes up phosphorus throughout the growing period. However, phosphorus is very critical at flowering and pod formation stages of soybean.

PROM comprises composting of high grade phosphate rock with organic manures like FYM, pressmud, karanj cake, wheat or rice straw and other agricultural wastes. Composting of high grade phosphate rock with natural organic manures like FYM and other sources is found effective in releasing phosphorus from phosphate rock in neutral and slightly calcareous soils.

Keeping this in view, for increasing the efficacy of rock phosphate by composting with organic wastes like FYM, the present investigation was carried out.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2005-06 at the Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla. The soil of experimental field was clay loam with  $P^H$  7.8, low in available nitrogen, medium in phosphorus and high in available potassium. The mean maximum and minimum temperatures during crop growth period ranged from 21.5 °C to 33.0 °C and 15.4 °C to 19.9 °C,

respectively with a total rainfall of 7.4 mm. The experiment comprised eight treatments including six PROM treatments, a normal practice of phosphorus application through SSP and a control wherein, no phosphorus application was taken up. The PROM treatments consisted of incubation of rock phosphate of recommended dose (RD) and double the recommended dose (DRD) of  $P_2O_5$  with FYM in three different ratios (1:2, 1:3 and 1:4). The treatments were arranged in randomized block design and replicated thrice. The variety JS 335 of soybean was sown with inter-and intra-row spacing of 30 X 10 cm. The crop was sown on 26<sup>th</sup> November 2005 and harvested in February 2006.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Drymatter production

In general, with increase in proportion of FYM in PROM made either with RD or DRD of 1:2 to 1:4 ratio, there was an increase in drymatter during all growth stages of crop. Increased availability of phosphorus due to higher quantity of FYM in PROM might have contributed for higher growth parameters irrespective of the level of  $P_2O_5$ . The maximum increase in growth parameters with combined application of FYM or vermicompost in 1:2 ratio over 1:1 ratio was also observed by Manjunath *et al.* (2006).

Application of PROM with DRD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio ( $T_8$ ) differed significantly with PROM made of RD of  $P_2O_5$  ( $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_5$ ) in increasing drymatter. The increase in drymatter by application of PROM with DRD of  $P_2O_5$  over PROM made of RD of  $P_2O_5$  may be attributed to increased availability and uptake of phosphorus due to solubility of the phosphate rock which might have produced luxuriant vegetative growth, is in conformity with Manna *et al.* (2001).

### Nutrient uptake

The differences in phosphorus uptake noticed with increase in FYM proportion in PR (Phosphate Rock) and FYM ratio in phosphate rich organic manure made of RD of  $P_2O_5$  were not significant at 30 DAS. However, the maximum phosphorus uptake was recorded with PROM made of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio ( $T_8$ ) followed by PROM of PR and FYM in 1:3 ratio ( $T_7$ ) and 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  through SSP ( $T_2$ ). Similar trend in nutrient uptake as that of 30 DAS was observed at 60 DAS also. The phosphorus uptake with PROM of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  at 1:4 ratio was found significantly superior to the treatments of PROM of RD of  $P_2O_5$  except with 1:4 ratio ( $T_5$ ) of PR and FYM.

The maximum phosphorus uptake recorded in grain and stover at maturity with application of PROM of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio ( $T_8$ ) was significantly superior to that of application of PROM of RD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:2 and 1:3 ( $T_3$  and  $T_4$ ) and no phosphorus ( $T_1$ ).

The positive influence of FYM in bringing about dissolution of rock phosphate by producing organic acids during the decomposition process and consequently enhancing the P availability to plants was reported by several workers in various crops. Increasing proportion of FYM in PROM to bring about dissolution effect on rock phosphate and increase in root proliferation might have resulted in high phosphorus uptake. Biju Joseph (1994) opined that the increase in uptake of phosphorus by combined application of organics and P solubilizer could be due to release of energy substrates from organic manure, which might stimulate the P- solubilizing organisms and in turn increase the phosphorus availability by solubilization of added rock phosphate as also reported by Mohan Singh (2004).

### Oil content and protein content

Application of PROM made of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio ( $T_8$ ) registered the highest protein content, but it was on par with all other PROM treatments except PROM made of RD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:2 ratio ( $T_3$ ) and no phosphorus application. The increase in protein content due to higher availability of phosphorus in these treatments might have facilitated higher uptake of nitrogen and had positive influence on amino acid metabolism. Oil content was not significantly affected due to treatments of PROM.

### Economics

Application of PROM made of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  resulted in lower net returns compared to PROM made of RD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio. This was due to double the dose of rock phosphate that was applied in these treatments that did not increase the yield proportionately with the increase in phosphorus application in comparison with that of PROM of RD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio ( $T_5$ ). The higher BCR obtained with PROM of RD of  $P_2O_5$  in 1:4 ratio ( $T_5$ ) compared to RD of  $P_2O_5$  through SSP ( $T_2$ ), clearly indicates that the high cost phosphatic fertilizers like SSP can be replaced with the application of recommended dose of incubated or composted rock phosphate with FYM in 1:4 ratio. The higher additional returns and more benefit-cost ratio with application of phosphate rock along with FYM were also reported by Aery *et al.* (2004).

### Available phosphorus in soil at harvest

Phosphorous content in soil was improved after harvest with the treatments of PROM made of DRD of  $P_2O_5$  when compared to that of the treatments of PROM with RD of phosphorus. The maximum amount of available phosphorus recorded in  $T_6$  was 61 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which was significantly superior to PROM made of RD of  $P_2O_5$  and RD of  $P_2O_5$  through SSP. However, the treatments receiving 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> through SSP was noticed with low phosphorus status in soil after harvest over other treatments. This could be due to synergistic and complementary effect of FYM when applied along with rock phosphate in arresting fixation into insoluble form and keeping in available form for extended time as also reported by Subbarao *et al.* (1995).

Table Effect of phosphate rich organic manure on growth, nutrient uptake, quality and economics in soybean

Treatments	Drymatter production at maturity (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Phosphorus uptake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Quality Parameters		Available P in soil (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	BCR	
			30 DAS	60 DAS	Grain Stover	Oil content (%)	Protein content (%)			
T <sub>1</sub> - Control (No phosphorus)	312.7	1188	1.0	7.5	7.4	3.2	19.0	36.5	23.9	0.62
T <sub>2</sub> - RD of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> through SSP	408.5	1529	1.9	10.8	10.7	5.7	20.8	40.7	45.8	0.81
T <sub>3</sub> - PROM made of RD of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (PR and FYM - 1:2)	368.7	1278	1.1	8.8	8.6	3.7	21.3	36.8	49.6	0.67
T <sub>4</sub> - PROM made of RD of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (PR and FYM - 1:3)	370.2	1410	1.4	9.2	9.6	4.2	21.1	40.1	48.1	0.83
T <sub>5</sub> - PROM made of RD of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (PR and FYM - 1:4)	377.4	1511	1.4	9.6	10.5	5.2	21.4	40.2	47.3	0.96
T <sub>6</sub> - PROM made of DRD of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (PR and FYM - 1:2)	398.7	1521	1.5	10.0	10.2	5.9	21.5	40.4	61.8	0.89
T <sub>7</sub> - PROM made of DRD of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (PR and FYM - 1:3)	411.6	1526	1.8	10.6	11.0	6.2	21.2	41.4	58.0	0.88
T <sub>8</sub> - PROM made of DRD of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (PR and FYM - 1:4)	433.8	1531	2.0	11.2	11.5	7.2	21.7	42.3	58.0	0.87
SEM ±	14.95	38.70	0.13	0.58	0.32	0.37	0.69	0.90	1.43	-
CD (p=0.05)	45.4	11.7	0.4	1.8	1.0	1.1	NS	2.7	4.3	-
CV (%)	6.7	4.7	14.3	10.3	5.6	12.4	5.7	3.9	5.1	-

PROM - Phosphate rich organic manure  
 FYM - Farmyard manure  
 DRD - Double the recommended dose  
 PR - Phosphate rock  
 RD - Recommended dose  
 SSP - Single super phosphate  
 BCR - Benefit cost ratio  
 DAS - Days after sowing

**LITERATURE CITED**

**Aery N C, Sekhar D M R, Rana D K and Sangeet K A 2004.** Studies on the use of rock phosphate as a direct P fertilizer in neutral and weakly alkaline soils. In Phosphate Rich Organic Manure (PROM) (Eds.,) Shaktawat M S Swami B N Aery N C Mohan Singh and Katewa M K. Himanshu Publications, Udaipur-2 pp: 11-22.

**Biju Joseph 1994.** Studies on phosphorus in soybean and wheat crop sequence in vertisols. M.Sc (Ag) thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.

**Manjunath M N Patil P L and Gali S K 2006.** Effect of organics amended rock phosphate and P solubilizer on P use efficiency of Frenchbean in a vertisol of Malaprabha right bank command of Karnataka. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 19(1): 36-39.

**Manna M C, Ghosh P K, Ghosh B N and Singh K N 2001.** Comparative effectiveness of phosphate enriched compost and single super phosphate on yield, uptake of nutrients and soil quality under soybean-wheat rotation. Journal of Agricultural Sciences 137 (1): 45-54.

**Mohan Singh 2004.** Phosphocompost use for increasing crop yields under different Agro – ecological zones. In Phosphate Rich Organic Manure (PROM), Eds., Shaktawat M S Swami B N Aery N C Mohan Singh and Katewa M K. Himanshu Publications, Udaipur-2 pp: 1-10.

**Subbarao A, Samireddy K and Takkar P N 1995.** Phosphorus management- A key to boost productivity of soybean- wheat cropping system on swell- shrink soils. Fertilizer News 40 (12): 87-95.

(Received on 28.06.2009 and revised on 02.9.2009)