

Effect of Foliar Application of Growth Regulators and Nutrients on Growth and Yield in Soybean (*Glycine max* L)

T Venkata Reddy, G L N Reddy, N R Swamy, P Ratana Prasad and P Jayarami Reddy
Department of Plant Physiology, Agricultural College, Bapatla- 522101, Andhra Pradesh

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted in FRBD (Factorial Randomised Block DE sign) to know the effect of growth regulators and nutrients on growth and yield in soybean at Agricultural College farm, Bapatla during rabi 2005-06. The result revealed that maximum plant height (46.74 cm), no. of leaves per plant (14.6), no. of nodes per plant (9.01), no. of branches per plant (9.41), stem dry weight (6.63 g), leaf dry weight (5.37), pod dry weight (19.37g) total dry weight (25.65 g), leaf area per plant (783.73 cm²), net assimilation rate, relative growth rate (90.00 mg g⁻¹ d⁻¹) and seed yield (24.72 q ha⁻¹) has resulted with Triacontanol @ 1mL L⁻¹ followed by SA @ 50 ppm and NAA @ 10 ppm.

Key words : Growth regulators and nutrients, Growth, yield, Soybean.

In India, soybean is an important grain legume and importance is increasing day by day due to higher nutritive value. It has high protein content among all legume crops. Unfortunately there has been no significant increase in the productivity of soybean in India (1.1 t ha⁻¹) as compared to the world (2.2 t ha⁻¹) and Asia (1.5 t ha⁻¹). The identified large gap between average yield of world in India can be decreased by using plant growth regulators. The present investigation was due to assess the effect of foliar spray of growth regulators and nutrients on growth and yield in soybean.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at college farm, Agricultural college, Bapatla, (AP) in black soil during rabi 2005-06. Factorial randomized block design was adopted with 3 replications, two main factors (2 varieties JS-335 and Nirmal -111) and 8 sub factors with growth promoting chemicals consisting of T1- control, T2-water, T3 - GA₃ @ 10 ppm, T4-NAA @ 10 ppm, T5-SA @ 50 ppm, T6-CCC @ 50 ppm, Urea @ 1%, T8- Triacontanol @ 1 mL L⁻¹. These treatments were imposed by foliar spray at 35 DAS and 45 DAS.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on morphological parameters like plant height, no. of leaves per plant, no. of nodes per plant and days to flowering are presented in Table 1, which increased with age of crop. Among the genotypes JS-335 recorded significantly more plant height (45.40cm), no. of leaves per plant (14.47) no. of nodes per plant (8.85) and no. of branches per

plant (9.10). Of all treatments Triacontanol @ 1mL L⁻¹ recorded significantly highest plant height (46.74) no. of leaves per plant (9.41) followed by SA @ 50 ppm, NAA @ 10 ppm over control, but CCC @ 50 ppm recorded lowest plant height (40.88 cm). Paleg (1965) and Mohammad (1975) reported increase in plant height might be due to stimulation of cell division and cell elongation due to plasticity of internodes and cell wall. Maximum shoot extension obtained with the application of 0.06 mL L⁻¹ Triacontanol in guava (Mandal and Ravi Kumar, (1989), 7.5 ppm Triacontanol in santorosa plum (Brar 2004) CCC@ 50 ppm a growth retardant reduced the plant height compared to control. The mechanism of reduction in plant height appear to be due to slow down of cell division and reduction in cell expansion Govindan et. al (2000) observed that the foliar spray of CCC reduced the plant height and dry matter production.

Significant differences observed with respect to no. of days to flowering genotypes but not with foliar sprays. Among two genotypes Nirmal-111 took more no. of days to flowering (32.37) as compared to JS-335 (30.72).

Significant differences were observed to stem dry weight, leaf dry weight, dry weight of reproductive parts and total dry weight (Table 2). Stem dry weight, pod dry weight were increased with age of crop where as leaf dry weight increased upto 70 DAS. After it was decreased due to senescence of leaves due to foliar sprays and genotypes. Among two genotypes JS-335 recorded higher stem dry weight (6.75 g), leaf dry weight (5.47 g) pod dry weight (19.11 g) and total dry weight (25.89 g). Of all treatments

Table 1. Effect of Foliar Sprays on Morphological Paramters

S.No.	Treatments	Palnt Height (cm) 90 DAS			No. of Leaves/Plant 70 DAS		
		JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean	JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean
T1	Control	44.04	42.90	43.47	12.66	10.93	11.80
T2	Water spray	44.32	43.34	43.83	13.76	11.00	12.38
T3	G.A ₃ @10ppm	45.55	44.36	44.96	14.20	11.51	12.86
T4	NAA@10ppm	46.40	45.13	45.76	14.90	13.23	14.07
T5	SA @ 50ppm	47.40	45.34	46.37	15.46	13.40	14.43
T6	CCC @ 50ppm	41.60	40.16	40.88	14.53	12.56	13.55
T7	Urea @ 1%	46.04	44.68	45.36	14.63	13.00	13.82
T8	Triacontanol @ 1ml ⁻¹	47.85	45.62	46.74	15.60	13.60	14.60
	Mean	45.40	43.94	-	14.47	12.49	-
		SEm+/-	CD (0.05)		SEm+/-	CD (0.05)	
	Growth regulators	0.934	2.70		0.366	1.056	
	Genotypes	0.462	1.35		0.183	0.528	
	Interaction	NS	NS		NS	NS	
	CV%	5.12			6.63		

No. of Nodes/Plant 90 DAS			No. of Branches/Plant			Days to Flowering		
JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean	JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean	JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean
8.13	6.81	7.74	8.20	7.50	7.85	32.00	33.33	32.67
8.46	7.16	7.81	8.66	8.00	8.33	31.46	33.00	32.23
8.46	7.60	8.03	8.93	8.26	8.60	31.33	32.66	32.00
9.20	8.20	8.70	9.33	8.50	8.92	30.33	32.00	31.17
9.26	8.33	8.80	9.66	8.53	9.10	30.00	32.00	31.00
8.86	8.06	8.46	8.93	8.26	8.60	30.66	32.33	31.50
8.86	8.06	8.46	9.20	8.46	8.83	30.66	32.33	31.50
9.33	8.69	9.01	9.86	8.96	9.41	29.33	31.33	30.33
8.82	7.86	-	9.10	8.31	-	30.72	32.37	-
SEm+/-	CD (0.05)		SEm+/-	CD (0.05)		SEm+/-	CD (0.05)	
0.189	0.544		0.220	0.634		NS	NS	
0.094	0.272		0.110	0.317		0.367	1.060	
NS	NS		NS	NS		NS	NS	
5.54			6.86			5.70		

Table 2. Effect of Foliar Sprays on Dry Morphological Parameters

S.No.	Treatments	Stem Dry Weight (g) 90 DAS			Leaf Dry Weight (g) 70 DAS		
		JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean	JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean
T1	Control	6.34	5.10	5.72	4.95	3.18	4.07
T2	Water spray	6.43	5.30	5.87	5.11	3.38	4.25
T3	G.A ₃ @10ppm	6.45	5.40	5.93	5.21	3.55	4.38
T4	NAA@10ppm	6.99	5.81	6.40	5.68	3.98	4.83
T5	SA @ 50ppm	7.05	6.05	6.55	5.78	4.15	4.97
T6	CCC @ 50ppm	6.75	5.45	6.10	5.45	3.65	4.55
T7	Urea @ 1%	6.81	5.65	6.23	5.56	3.95	4.76
T8	Triacntanol @ 1ml ⁻¹	7.15	6.10	6.63	6.05	4.69	5.37
	Mean	6.75	5.61	6.18	5.47	3.82	-
		SEm+/-	CD (0.05)		SEm+/-	CD (0.05)	
	Growth regulators	0.135	0.361		0.104	0.301	
	Genotypes	0.067	0.181		0.052	0.150	
	Interaction	NS	NS		NS	NS	
	CV%	5.33			5.49		

Dry Weight of Reproductive parts (g) 90 DAS			Total Dry Weight (g) 90DAS		
JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean	JS - 335	Nirmal-111	Mean
18.16	16.44	17.30	24.50	20.54	22.52
18.20	16.95	17.58	24.82	21.09	22.96
19.01	17.07	18.04	25.55	21.47	23.51
19.45	17.46	18.46	26.44	22.27	24.36
19.53	18.00	18.77	26.58	23.43	25.01
19.14	17.12	18.13	25.89	21.57	23.73
19.17	17.13	18.15	25.98	21.78	23.88
20.23	18.50	19.37	27.38	23.91	25.65
19.11	17.33	-	25.89	22.01	-
SEm+/-	CD (0.05)		SEm+/-	CD (0.05)	
0.408	1.179		0.510	1.474	
0.204	0.590		0.255	0.737	
NS	NS		NS	NS	
5.49			5.22		

Triaccontanol @ 1mL L⁻¹ attained highest stem dry weight (6.63 g), leaf dry weight (5.37 g), pod dry weight (19.37 g), total dry weight (25.65 g). Mehetre and Jamadagni (1996) studied to the biomass partitioning and plant architecture in 41 soybean cultivars and reported that the soybean plant has tendency to accumulate 19.77, 8.05, 29.42, 30.85, and 11.41 percent assimilates into seed, pod wall, stem, roots, and leaves respectively.

Mayers et al., (1991) observed positive relationship between seed yield dry matter production in soybean. SA @ 200 ppm was most effective in increasing no. of branches, total dry matter in soybean (Kothule et al., 2005). Board and Madali (2005) observed that total dry matter accumulation at first flowering i.e. (200 g m⁻²) and total dry matter accumulation at start of seed filling (600 g m⁻²) were valid predictors for optimal yield in soybean. Castro and Moreas (1980) observed decreased plant height and increased total dry matter accumulation in soybean with spraying of CCC @ 200 ppm concentration. Similarly foliar application of NAA @ 25 ppm hastened the dry matter accumulation cowpea (Shah and Patel 2004).

The data on leaf area duration (LAD), relative growth rate (RGR) and net assimilation rate were presented in table-3. Significant differences were observed with respect to these parameters due to genotypes and foliar sprays. Among two genotypes JS-335 recorded higher leaf area (855.21 cm²) leaf area duration (63.18 days), RGR (91.46 mg g⁻¹d⁻¹), NAR (23.18 mg m⁻² d⁻¹). Triaccontanol @ 1m L L⁻¹ recorded highest leaf area (787.73 cm²), LAI (1.76), RGR (90.00 mg g⁻¹ d⁻¹), NAR (22.00 mg dm⁻² d⁻¹) in all treatments.

In soybean canopy photosynthesis decreases during early seed filling period and reaching zero near physiological maturity (Hayati et al 1995) and leaf dry weight had strong positive correlation with leaf area (Dopte et al., 1995). Due to positive effect on cell division and cell elongation leading to enhanced leaf growth and leaf area the LAI increased in all treatments compared to control by foliar application of growth regulators and nutrients. Highest leaf number per plant and leaf area were recorded with foliar application of 50 ppm Triaccontanol in straw berry (Thakur et al., 1991). Application of SA on soybean seedlings at 7 leaf stage increased growth rates (Zhao et al 1995), Kelaiya et al., (1991) reported that foliar spray of growth regulators like CCC (500 ppm), GA₃ (200 ppm), NAA (40 ppm) and Triaccontanol (200 mL

ha⁻¹) improved dry weight per plant and leaf area index when sprayed at 25 and 50 DAS in ground nut.

LAD (Table - 2) significantly increased in all treatments with the application of growth regulators compared to control. Morandi et al., (1984) and Kulakarni (1993) also reported the increased LAD due to application of CCC in soybean.

RGR and NAR (Table - 3) were higher at 30-50 DAS and declined after because of their dependence upon the leaf dry weight. Leaf area and LAI also declined after 50 DAS. GA₃ application at 10 ppm concentration was found to be more effective in promoting leaf number; leaf area and plant dry weight at 60 and 80 DAS interval.

It is evident from table - 3; genotypes and foliar sprays significantly affected the seed yield (q ha⁻¹). Among two genotypes higher seed yield was found in JS-335 (24.20 q ha⁻¹). That is 16 % more than other genotype Nirmal -111 (20.85 q ha⁻¹). Of all treatments Triaccontanol recorded highest seed yield (24.72 q ha⁻¹) i.e. 20 % more than control (20.61 q ha⁻¹). Narasimha Rao et al., (2005) also reported that the increased seed yield may be due to the application of Triaccontanol together with boron which might have increased pod set in chickpea.

LITERATURE CITED

- Brar J S 2004.** Effect of nitrogen, Potassium, and Triaccontanol on growth, yield and fruit quality of lum cv. Santa Rosa. M.Sc thesis, Dr. Y.S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan (hp).
- Board J S and Madali H 2005.** Drymatter accumulation predictors for optimal yield in soybean. Crop Science 45: 1790-1799.
- Castro P R C and Moresa R S 1980.** Effect of growth regulators on development of soybean Luiz de aueiroz 38 (1): 99-111.
- Dhopte A M, Thorat A and Rahangelale S L 1995.** Leaf weight based linear model for prediction of leaf area in soybean (Glycine max L) Annals of plant Physiology 19(1): 73-74.
- Govindan K, Thirumurugan V and Arulchelvan S 2000.** Response of soybean to growth regulators. Research on Crops 3: 323-325.
- Hayati R, Egli D B and Brander S J C 1995.** Carbon and Nitrogen supply during seed filling and leaf senescence. Crop science 62: 64-65.

- Kelaiya V V, Jethwa M G, Patel J C and Sadaria S G 1991.** Effect of growth regulators and their spraying schedules on groundnut . Indian Journal of Agronomy 36 (1) : 113-116.
- Kothule V G, Bhale Rao R K, and Chetti M B 2005.** Effect of exogenous application of growth regulators and on growth biomass partitioning and yield in soybean . Annals of Plant Physiology 2(1) : 55-57.
- Kulakarni S S 1993.** Influence of growth regulators on growth and development of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) genotypes. MSc (Ag) thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- Mandal B K and Ravi Kumar 1989.** Effect of photosynthesis improving chemicals on vegetative growth, flowering, fruiting and yield of guava . Indian Journal of Horticulture 46 : 449-451 .
- Mayers J D and Lawn R J and Byth D E 1991.** Adoption of soybean (*Glycine max*(L) Merrill) to the dry season of the tropics . II Effect of genotypes and environment on biomass and seed yield . Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 42(3) : 517-530.
- Mehetre S S and Jamadagni A 1996.** Biomass partitioning and growth characters in relation to plant architecture in soybean. Soybean Genetic News Letter 23: 92-97.
- Mohammad H M 1975.** Effect of gibberlic acid, calcium, kinetin and ethylene on growth and cell wall composition of pea epicotyls . Plant Physiology 56(5) : 622-625 .
- Morandi E N, Casano L M and Nakayama F 1984.** Effect of N, N - dimethyl piperdium chloride (DPC) and 2-chloro ethyl trimethyl ammonium chloride (CCC) on growth, yield and drymatter partitioning of soybean plants grown under two environmental conditions. Phytan Argentina 44 (2): 133-144.
- Narasimha Rao K L, Jayarami Reddy P, Mahalakshmi B K and Narasimha Rao C L 2005.** Effect of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on flower abortion, pod setting and yield of chickpea, Annals of Plant Physiology 19 (1): 14-16.
- Paleg I G 1965.** physiological effects of gibberellins . Annual Review of Plant physiology 18 : 291-322.
- Shah R R and Patel G I 2004.** Effect of growth regulators on yield and various physiological attributes in cowpea . Abstract on National Seminar on Plant Physiology December 27-29 . Indian Society of Plant physiology pp.21.
- Thakur K S and Jindal K K and Sud A 1991.** Effect of growth substances on growth, yield and quality parameters in strawberry . Indian Journal of Horticulture 46 : 449-451 .
- Zhao H J, Lin X W, Shi H J and Chang S M 1995.** The regulating effect of phenolic compounds on the physiological characters and yield of soybeans . Acta Agronomica Sinica 21: 335.

(Received on 22.08.2008 and revised on 30.3.2009)