

Assesment of Chlorophyll Content using Chlorophyll meter in Chickpea

Key Words: Chickpea, Chlorophyll content, Chlorophyll meter

Chickpea is an important grain legume cultivated on 10.38 million ha in 45 countries across the world producing 8.57 million tons with a productivity of 830 Kg ha⁻¹ (FAO 2004). Since the crop is mostly grown in arid regions on receding soil moisture, drought is the major constraint limiting the productivity. Breeding for drought tolerance or higher water use efficiency is difficult due to lack of simple screening methods. The utility of alternate traits such as carbon isotope discrimination (Farquhar *et al.*, 1984). Specific leaf area, specific leaf nitrogen and SPAD chlorophyll meter readings (SCMR) (Nageswarao *et al.*, 2001) have been suggested by several researchers. SCMR has a direct linear relationship with extracted chlorophyll (Yadava, 1986) and leaf nitrogen concentration (Bullock and Anderson, 1998). Chlorophyll meter is light in weight and can be used for faster and non-destructive measurements of leaf chlorophyll content. Therefore in the present investigation an attempt was made to study the utility of chlorophyll meter in chickpea to estimate genetic parameters for SCMR and also to study the relationship of SCMR with extracted chlorophyll content.

The experiment was taken up with ten genotypes of chickpea viz., ICCV37, JG11 Annigeri JAKI 9218, ICCV-10, ICCV-2, Vihar, L BeG7 and Dollar in a three replicate randomized block design during Rabi, 2005-06. Each entry was grown in four rows of 4m length by adopting a spacing of 30X10 cm. The crop was grown as rainfed under receding soil moisture in deep vertisols. All the management practices were followed to raise a good crop. A portable chlorophyll meter (SPAD502). The same leaves were collected in polythene bags and chlorophyll content (mg/g of leaf materials) in the leaves was determined as for McKinney (1941). The data were subjected to analysis of variance and correlation between SCMR and total chlorophyll content of leaves was estimated as for Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), heritability in broad sense and genetic advance as percent mean (GAM), were estimated as per Singh and Chaudery (1985).

Analysis of variance indicated highly significant differences among ten genotypes for SCMR and total chlorophyll content. Among the genotypes studied, SCMR values ranged from 18.71 (ICCV10) to 29.27 (Vihar). In general higher SPAD values were recorded in Kabuli genotypes than desi types (table 1). Correlation coefficient between SCMR values and chlorophyll content was found to be highly significant (0.678**). Kashiwagi *et al.*, (2006) also utilized SPAD chlorophyll meter in chickpea and reported relatively high SCMR values in ICCV2, a Kabuli type than Annigeri and ICC4958. A positive relationship between SCMR and leaf chlorophyll content was reported in groundnut (Bindu Madhava *et al.*, 2003), corn (Dwyer *et al.* 1995) and wheat (Reeves *et al.*, 1993). Accordingly in the present set of chickpea genotypes also, a positive and significant correlation between SCMR and leaf chlorophyll content was observed.

Genetic parameters were estimated for SCMR and chlorophyll content for both the traits. PCV values were higher than GCV values. This indicates that the expression of character was lessened under the influence of environment. Heritability in broad sense was also higher for SCMR (75%) and total chlorophyll content (50%). The genetic advance expressed as per cent mean was moderate for SCMR (25.66%) and chlorophyll content (28.39%). The effectiveness with which selection can be based on phenotypic performance to exploit genetic variability can be known from heritability estimates. However, the utility of heritability is increased when used in conjunction with genetic advance under selection. High heritability and moderate genetic advance recorded in the present study for SCMR also indicates that SCMR could be used as a selection criterion in a chickpea crop improvement programme.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bindu Madhava H, Shashyee M S, Shankar A G, Prasad T G and UdayKumar M 2003.** Use of SPAD chlorophyll meter to assess transcription efficiency of peanut. Pages 3-9. in Breeding drought resistant peanuts: Proceeding of a collaborative Review Meeting, 25-27 Feb 2002, Hyderabad, India. ACIAR Proceedings No. 112, Canberra, Australia.

Table 1. SPAD chlorophyll meter readings and leaf chlorophyll content in promising Chickpea varieties.

Variety	SCMR	Total Chlorophyll Content (mg g l-1)
ICCV - 37	21.16	0.80
JG-11	21.70	0.92
Annigeri	19.65	0.80
JAKI-9218	20.02	0.89
ICCV-10	18.71	0.71
ICCV-2	24.39	1.07
KAK-2	27.88	1.13
VIHAR	29.27	1.64
LBeG-7	28.47	0.79
Dollar	24.87	0.99
Mean	23.61	0.97
C.D. (5%)	5.81	0.54
PCV	16.59	27.48
GCV	14.37	19.46
Heritability (bs)	0.75	0.50
GAM (5%)	25.66	28.39
GAM (5%)	32.86	36.38
Correlation	0.678**	

Bullok D G and Anderson D S 1998 . Evolution of the Minolta SPAD 502 chlorophyll meter for nitrogen management corn . Journal of Plant Nutrition 21:741-755.

Singh R K and Chaudery B D 1985. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis . Kalyani Publishers ,New Delhi.

Dwyer L M, Anderson A M, MaBL, StewartDW, Tollenaar M and Gregorich E 1995. Qualifying the non linearity in chlorophyll meter response to corn leaf nitrogen concentration Canadian Journal of Plant Science 75:179-182.

FAO 2004. FAO STAT Data 2004.<http://apps.fao.org/faostat>.

Farquhar G D and Richerds R A 1984. Isotopic composition of plant carbon correlates with water-use efficiency of wheat genotypes . Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 11;539-552.

Mckinney G 1941. Absorption of light by chlorophyll solution Journal of Biological Chemistry 140: 315-322.

Nageswara Rao R C, Talwar H S and wright G C 2001. Rapid assessment of specific leaf area and leaf N in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) using chlorophyll meter . Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 189:175-182.

Reves D W, Mask P L, Wood C W and Delany D P 1993. Determination of wheat nitrogen status with a hand held chlorophyll meter :Influence of management practices. Journal of plant Nutrition 16 :781-796.

Pense V G and Sukhatme P V 1985. Statistical methods for Agricultural Workers.Indian Council of Agricultural Research ,New Delhi.

Yadava U L 1986. A rapid and non-destructive method to determine chlorophyll in intact leaves.Horticulture Science 21 : 1449-1450.

Regional Agricultural Research Station,
Nandyal,
ANGRAU - 518 502, A P.

**V Jayalakshmi,
T Yellamanda Reddy
G Appa Rao
T Giridhar Krishna**