



## Genetic Variability in $F_2$ and $F_3$ of Bitter Gourd

**Key words :** BDMV, Bitter Gourd, Genetic Advance, Heritability.

Bitter Gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) is one of the important vegetable crops of Kerala. The fruits are rich in Vitamin C (88mg/kg) and Iron (1.8mg/kg). The fruits have a prominent role in the diet of diabetic patients as it has low sugar content. Incidence of pests and diseases is the most important production constraint of bittergourd cultivation (Jayapalan and Sushama, 2001). Among the diseases, bittergourd distortion mosaic caused by Bittergourd Distortion Mosaic Virus is a serious problem affecting cultivation during summer. Conventional plant protection measures for vector control are inefficient and have undesirable effects. Genetic improvement in bitter gourd, especially with focus on mosaic resistance has been conducted only to a limited extent in India. Therefore the present study was carried out to understand the genetics of yield and resistance to mosaic virus.

Seeds from  $F_1$  plants of three crosses IC 68335 X Preethi, IC 68263 B X Preethi and IC68250 X IC 68342 B (Table 1) from a previous screening experiment formed the materials for the study. Selfed seeds of the selected crosses and their parents were raised in randomized block design with three replications as per the package of recommendations of Kerala Agricultural University. In each replication seventy five plants were raised in both  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  generations and observations were recorded on all the plants. No plant protection measure was adopted to ensure adequate vector population. Selfing in  $F_2$  plants was observed by bagging the individual flowers and collecting the pollen from male flower and dusting on the stigma of the female flower of the same plant. The selfed seeds were used to raise the  $F_3$  generation and observations were recorded on days to anthesis of male and female flowers per plant, sex ratio, fruit weight, fruit girth, fruit length, fruit yield per plant, BDMV incidence and severity and fruit colour and analysed. The PCV and GCV values were classified as low (0-10%), medium (10-20%) and high (>20%) as suggested by Sivasubramanian and Menon (1973). Heritability estimates were categorized as low (0-30%), medium (30-60%) and high (>60%) and the range of genetic advance was classified as low (00-10%), medium (10-20%) and high (>20%) (Johnson *et al.*, 1955).

A wide range of variation was noticed for all the characters studied among the parents and segregants in  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  generation (Table 2). Maximum variation was noticed for fruit yield in  $F_2$  (565.5 to 1886) and  $F_3$  (598.8 to 2836.1) followed by number of male flowers ( $F_2$  41 to 169 and  $F_3$  61.2 to 131.09) while minimum variation was noted fruit colour and sex ratio. On comparing the range of variation between  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ , it was noticed that the range narrowed down for anthesis of male and female flowers, number of male and female flowers, sex ratio and number of fruits per plant. There was no change in variation for fruit colour.

High PCV and GCV was observed for male flowers, female flowers, sex ratio, number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, coefficient of infection and fruit colour in both the generations. In conformity with Katiyar *et al* (1996), Prasad (2000) and Arunachalam (2002) in bittergourd.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was noticed for fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, coefficient of infection which indicated that the heritability is due to additive gene effects and selection hence may be effective as reported by Mangal *et al.* (1981), Vahab (1989) and Arunachalam (2002).

### LITERATURE CITED

- Arunachalam P 2002.** Breeding for resistance to distortion mosaic virus in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). Ph.D. Thesis. Kerala Agricultural University. Trichur. 98p.
- Jaypalan M and Sushama N P K 2001.** Constraints in the cultivation of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) Journals Tropical Agriculture 39:91
- Johnson H W, Robinson H F and Comstock R E 1955.** Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in soyabean and their implication in selection. Agronomy Journal. 47:477-483
- Katiyar R S, Mishra A and Prasad A 1996.** Genetics of bitter gourd. (*Momordica charantia* L.) Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 66: 551-552
- Mangal J L, Dixit J, Pandita M L and Sindhu A S 1981.** Genetic variability and correlation studies in bitter gourd. Indian Journal of Horticulture 38:94-99.

Table 1. Parents and crosses of bitter gourd genotypes selected for F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub>.

Resistant Genotypes	Susceptible Genotypes	Crosses.
IC 68335	Preethi	IC 68335 X Preethi
IC 68263 B	IC 68342 B	IC 68263 B X Preethi
IC 68250		IC68250 X IC 68342 B

Table 2. Variability in F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> generations of bittergourd (*monordica cherantia* L.).

Characters		Range	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Heritability (Broad Sense)	Genetic Advance
Anthesis of male flower	F <sub>2</sub>	34 to 52	8.94	9.00	98.7	7.88
	F <sub>3</sub>	34.6 to 43	5.63	7.66	54.0	3.41
Anthesis of female flowers	F <sub>2</sub>	40 to 60	9.11	9.27	96.6	8.71
	F <sub>3</sub>	40.4 to 49.6	3.76	5.64	44.5	2.33
Number of male flowers	F <sub>2</sub>	41 to 169	40.89	40.90	99.0	68.00
	F <sub>3</sub>	61.2 to 131.09	23.81	24.33	95.8	42.10
Number of female flowers	F <sub>2</sub>	12 to 35	26.04	26.23	98.6	10.25
	F <sub>3</sub>	8.75 to 26.08	27.03	27.22	98.6	9.70
Sex Ratio	F <sub>2</sub>	0.116 to 0.479	42.00	42.14	99.3	0.23
	F <sub>3</sub>	0.089 to 0.345	34.19	34.59	97.7	0.15
Number of fruits per plant	F <sub>2</sub>	9 to 31	28.07	28.23	98.9	9.57
	F <sub>3</sub>	7.48 to 23.17	29.21	24.49	98.1	8.89
Fruit length	F <sub>2</sub>	4.5 to 10.55	36.85	36.96	99.4	7.30
	F <sub>3</sub>	8.31 to 21.8	25.74	26.21	96.4	8.23
Fruit girth	F <sub>2</sub>	3.95 to 10.2	25.50	25.64	98.9	3.64
	F <sub>3</sub>	10.435 to 13.66	6.29	8.31	57.3	1.17
Fruit weight	F <sub>2</sub>	30.5 to 144.6	45.69	45.71	99.9	73.49
	F <sub>3</sub>	55.78 to 179.25	38.02	38.24	98.3	78.83
Fruit yield per plant	F <sub>2</sub>	565.78 to 1886	47.40	47.50	99.6	1056.89
	F <sub>3</sub>	598.8 to 2836.1	54.53	54.72	99.3	1694.24
Coefficient of infection	F <sub>2</sub>	4.8 to 77.9	109.71	109.87	99.7	48.85
	F <sub>3</sub>	6.4 to 88.0	107.70	109.40	97.0	58.44
Fruit colour	F <sub>2</sub>	1.5 to 3.8	25.52	26.05	96.0	1.34
	F <sub>3</sub>	1.5 to 3.8	35.36	36.49	93.9	1.74

**Prasad L M I 2000.** Combining ability and heterosis in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). M.Sc (Ag) Thesis. Kerala Agricultural University. Trichur. 108p.

**Sivasubramanian S and Menon M 1973.** Heterosis and inbreeding depression in rice. Madras Agricultural Journal 60:1139-1144.

**Vahab A M 1989.** Homostatic analysis of components of genetic variance and inheritance of fruit colours, fruit shape and bitterness of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) Ph.D. (Hort) Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Trichur. 206p.

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics  
College of Horticulture  
Vellanikkara  
Thrissur  
Kerala 680 656

**P Sumarani**  
**K Arya**  
**Dijee Bastian**  
**G Gayathri**  
**Vidhu Francis Palathingal**