

## Effect of Plastic Films on Shelf-life of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.)

S Ruth Assumi, Ngangbam Piloo and Jahangir Kabir

Department of Post Harvest Technology of Hort. Crops, Faculty of Horticulture,  
Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia-741252, West Bengal

### ABSTRACT

Okra fruits were prepacked in polyethylene 200 gauge ( $P_1$ ), polyethylene 150 gauge ( $P_2$ ) and polypropylene 100 gauge (PP) with 0% ( $V_0$ ), 1% ( $V_1$ ) and 2% ( $V_2$ ) perforation or ventilation and then shelf-life was studied in ambient condition (25.4°C to 33.3°C temperature and relative humidity of 78 to 80%). It was found that  $P_1$  (polyethylene, 200 gauge) was superior over  $P_2$  (polyethylene, 150 gauge) and PP (Polypropylene, 100 gauge) for the different post harvest characters i.e. physiological loss in weight (PLW), shrinkage, blackening and sensory quality during storage at ambient condition. Non-perforated packages ( $V_0$ ) in general maintained the quality for longer period and increased shelf-life compared to perforated packages ( $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ). The effect of  $P_1V_0$  treatment (Polyethylene 200 gauge  $\times$  0% perforation) was best because of low PLW, shrinkage, blackening and maintained better sensory score upto 12 days of storage. This was followed by  $P_2V_0$  (Polyethylene 150 gauge  $\times$  0% perforation) and  $PPV_0$  (Polypropylene 100 gauge  $\times$  0% perforation) treatment.

**Key words :** Okra, Perforation, Polyethylene, Polypropylene, Shelf-life.

India is the highest producer of okra in the world with an annual production of 3.3 million tonnes (Anon, 2004). In spite of high production, in a tropical country like India, it is difficult to maintain the quality and storability of vegetables. Poor pre-packaging and poor handling methods and marketing system causes a high post harvest loss of commodity. Although okra crop is very much popular in India, the shelf life of this vegetable is limited to only few days due to high moisture loss, shrinkage, toughening, blackening and spoilage. Packaging increases the shelf life by creating a modified atmosphere with an increase in the concentration of  $CO_2$  in the package. So, the present investigation was undertaken with the objective to extend the post harvest shelf-life of okra and reduce post harvest losses by using convenient and affordable consumer size packages and also to find out the physiological changes of okra under different prepackage condition.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Freshly harvested, tender green okra pods, free from blemishes, adhering sand or soil or foreign matters were used for the experiment. The pods were washed, dried and subjected to packaging in different polythene bags/packages i.e. Polyethylene 200 gauge ( $P_1$ ) (20.6 X 24.9 cm<sup>2</sup>), polyethylene 150 gauge ( $P_2$ ) (25 X 17 cm<sup>2</sup>) and polypropylene 100

gauge (PP) (22.5 X 20.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) with different percentage of perforation (vent) i.e. 0% perforations or without perforation ( $V_0$ ), 1% perforation ( $V_1$ ) and 2% perforation ( $V_2$ ). Area of one perforation was 0.125 cm<sup>2</sup> and number of fruits per packet was 8. The different treatment combinations are 0% perforation and polyethylene 200 gauge ( $P_1V_0$ ), 1% perforation and polyethylene 200 gauge ( $P_1V_1$ ), 2% perforation and polyethylene 200 gauge ( $P_1V_2$ ), 0% perforation and polyethylene 150 gauge ( $P_2V_0$ ), 1% perforation and polyethylene 150 gauge ( $P_2V_1$ ), 2% perforation and polyethylene 150 gauge ( $P_2V_2$ ), 0% perforation and polypropylene 100 gauge ( $PPV_0$ ), 1% perforation and polypropylene 100 gauge ( $PPV_1$ ) and 2% perforation and polypropylene 100 gauge ( $PPV_2$ ). The fruits in different polythene packages were stored in cool, dry place on racks at room temperature in the laboratory of the department of Post Harvest Technology of Horticultural crops during April-June 2006. The maximum and minimum temperature during storage period varied from 30.6°C to 33.3°C and 22°C to 25.4°C respectively and relative humidity from 78% to 80%. Observations were recorded on physiological loss in weight (PLW), blackening and sensory quality. For determining the PLW, fruits were numbered and packed in different polyethylene packages. The packed fruits were weighed on the day of observation and PLW was expressed as

percentage of the original weight of fruits. Blackening was estimated visually and sensory quality was evaluated on the basis of general appearance and acceptability depending upon the condition of the pods in 1-5 scale (Kalra *et al.*, 1988). The experiment was laid out in 2 factor factorial completely randomized design.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Physiological loss in weight (PLW%)

Table 1 showed that PLW of polyethylene packages and perforation treatment were significant (5%) at different days interval during storage. On the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of storage PLW was least 2.13% in P<sub>1</sub> (Polyethylene 200 gauge) followed by 3.20% in P<sub>2</sub> (polyethylene 150 gauge) and highest in 4.58% PP (polypropylene) 100 gauge). PLW of P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub> and PP increases gradually throughout the storage period upto 12<sup>th</sup> day. However, PLW of P<sub>1</sub> remained significantly lower than P<sub>2</sub> and PP.

On the 2<sup>nd</sup> day PLW was least 0.52% with V<sub>0</sub> (0% perforation) and it was followed by 3.18% in V<sub>1</sub> (1% perforation) and 6.21% in V<sub>2</sub> (2% perforation). On the 12<sup>th</sup> day PLW of V<sub>0</sub> was low (3.02%) compared to V<sub>1</sub> (24.38%) and V<sub>2</sub> (41.84%). In general, PLW increased with increase in perforation percentage.

The interaction effect between polyethylene packages and perforation percentage on PLW was significant (5%) at different days of storage (Table 2). On the 2<sup>nd</sup> day, PLW of P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub> (polyethylene 200 gauge ´ 0% perforation) was the lowest 0.47% followed by 0.56% P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>0</sub> (polyethylene 150 gauge ´ 0% perforation) and 0.59% PPV<sub>0</sub> (polypropylene 100 gauge ´ 0% perforation). Although PLW of P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub> was lower than P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>0</sub> and PPV<sub>0</sub> but there is no significant difference between P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>0</sub> and PPV<sub>0</sub>. The PLW of P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub> remained very low throughout the storage period upto 12<sup>th</sup> day. The PLW of P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub> increased from 0.47% to 2.13% on the 12<sup>th</sup> day. Similarly, PLW of P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>0</sub> increased from 0.56% to 3.09% from 2<sup>nd</sup> day upto 12<sup>th</sup> day and PLW of PPV<sub>0</sub> from 0.59% to 3.85%. It was observed that irrespective of the different polyethylene packages the PLW increased with increase in the perforation percentage (0% to 2%).

### Blackening

The blackening percentage of okra pods as influenced by different polyethylene packages and perforation percentage were significant (5%) at different days interval during storage (Table 3). The blackening (%) of okra pods stored in different polyethylene packages increases gradually during

storage. On the 12<sup>th</sup> day, blackening (%) was least 2.51% in P<sub>1</sub> and highest in PP 3.36%.

The effect of perforation on blackening was significantly low in V<sub>0</sub> (0% perforation) as compared to V<sub>1</sub> (1% perforation) and V<sub>2</sub> (2% perforation) on different days of storage (Table 3). On the 12<sup>th</sup> day blackening (%) was significantly lower in V<sub>0</sub> (2.01%) than in V<sub>1</sub> (3.14%) and V<sub>2</sub> (3.56%) respectively. In general blackening (%) gradually increased with an increase in storage period and perforation percentage.

Table 4 represents the interaction effect between polythene packages and perforation on blackening (%) of okra. Blackening (%) was least 0.11% in P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub> (polyethylene 200 gauge ´ 0% perforation) and 0.32% in P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>0</sub> (Polyethylene 150 gauge ´ 0% perforation) and 0.50% in PPV<sub>0</sub> (polypropylene 100 gauge ´ 0% perforation). On the 12<sup>th</sup> day, blackening was minimum 1.54% in P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub> and maximum in PPV<sub>2</sub> (4.00%). Throughout the storage period, It has been observed that blackening (%) increased with the increase in perforation percentage irrespective of the different polyethylene packages used.

### Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation was evaluated on the basis of general appearance and acceptability on the condition of okra pods (Table 5). On the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of storage, sensory score was high (1) in P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>0</sub> and PPV<sub>0</sub> whereas it was (2) in other treatments i.e. P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>2</sub>, PPV<sub>1</sub> and PPV<sub>2</sub>. It was observed that the sensory score of P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub> even in the 4<sup>th</sup> day was (1) whereas the other treatments was (2). The score went down as the storage days increased. On the 12<sup>th</sup> day, the treatments P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>0</sub> and PPV<sub>0</sub> still recorded fairly good sensory score (3).

The results indicated that P<sub>1</sub> (polyethylene, 200 gauge) was superior over P<sub>2</sub> (polyethylene, 150 gauge) and PP (polypropylene, 100 gauge) for the different post harvest characters i.e., PLW, shrinkage, blackening and sensory quality during storage at ambient condition. Non-perforated packages (V<sub>0</sub>) in general maintained the quality for longer period compared to perforated packages (V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>). The effect of P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub> treatment (polyethylene 200 gauge ´ 0% perforation) was best because of lowest PLW, shrinkage, blackening and good sensory score up to 12 days of storage followed by P<sub>2</sub>V<sub>0</sub> and PPV<sub>0</sub> treatment. The non-perforated polyethylene packages has also been reported to be effective in reducing PLW and blackening and

Table 1. Effect of polythene packages and perforation on PLW (%) of okra fruits during storage under ambient condition

Treatment	Storage period (days)					
	2	4	6	8	10	12
P <sub>1</sub>	2.13	4.81	7.52	10.58	13.02	15.59
P <sub>2</sub>	3.20	6.83	10.77	15.08	19.18	22.88
PP	4.58	10.18	15.47	20.97	22.91	30.76
V <sub>0</sub>	0.52	1.05	1.62	2.13	2.57	3.02
V <sub>1</sub>	3.18	7.31	11.38	16.16	20.44	24.38
V <sub>2</sub>	6.21	13.46	20.77	28.34	32.11	41.84
SEm (±)	0.225	0.526	0.845	0.847	1.995	1.163
CD (5%)	0.669	1.564	2.513	2.517	5.929	3.456

P<sub>1</sub> (polyethylene 200 gauge), P<sub>2</sub> (polyethylene 150 gauge), pp (polypropylene 100 gauge), V<sub>0</sub> (0% perforation), V<sub>1</sub> (1% perforation), V<sub>2</sub> (2% perforation).

Table 2. Interaction effect between polythene packages and perforation on PLW (%) of okra fruits during storage under ambient condition

Treatment	Storage period (days)					
	2	4	6	8	10	12
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>0</sub>	0.47	0.79	1.01	1.36	1.63	2.13
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	2.04	5.32	8.16	12.27	14.68	17.81
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	3.87	8.32	13.39	18.09	22.76	26.83
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>0</sub>	0.56	1.03	1.70	2.22	2.69	3.09
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	2.85	6.50	10.48	14.66	19.40	22.50
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	6.21	12.96	20.14	28.36	35.09	43.06
PPV <sub>0</sub>	0.59	1.34	2.14	2.80	3.40	3.85
PPV <sub>1</sub>	4.64	10.10	15.50	21.55	27.24	32.83
PPV <sub>2</sub>	8.57	19.09	28.77	38.57	48.09	55.62
SEm (±)	0.390	0.911	1.465	1.467	2.450	2.014
CD (5%)	1.160	2.270	4.353	4.360	4.502	5.986

P<sub>1</sub> (polyethylene 200 gauge), P<sub>2</sub> (polyethylene 150 gauge), pp (polypropylene 100 gauge), V<sub>0</sub> (0% perforation), V<sub>1</sub> (1% perforation), V<sub>2</sub> (2% perforation).

Table 3. Effect of polythene packages and perforation on blackening (%) of okra fruits during storage under ambient condition

Treatment	Storage period (days)					
	2	4	6	8	10	12
P <sub>1</sub>	0.61	0.99	1.31	1.57	2.01	2.51
P <sub>2</sub>	0.72	1.25	1.45	2.01	2.55	2.84
PP	1.16	1.77	2.0	2.29	2.87	3.36
V <sub>0</sub>	0.31	0.72	0.91	1.35	1.82	2.01
V <sub>1</sub>	0.73	1.24	1.63	1.92	2.58	3.14
V <sub>2</sub>	1.46	2.06	2.26	2.60	3.03	3.56
SEm (±)	0.007	0.009	0.009	0.016	0.016	0.007
CD (5%)	0.014	0.019	0.020	0.034	0.033	0.014

P<sub>1</sub> (polyethylene 200 gauge), P<sub>2</sub> (polyethylene 150 gauge), pp (polypropylene 100 gauge), V<sub>0</sub> (0% perforation), V<sub>1</sub> (1% perforation), V<sub>2</sub> (2% perforation).

Table 4. Interaction effect between polythene packages and perforation on blackening (%) of okra fruits during storage under ambient condition

Treatment	Storage period (days)					
	2	4	6	8	10	12
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>0</sub>	0.11	0.40	0.70	1.00	1.36	1.54
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	0.50	0.90	1.26	1.62	2.10	2.82
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	1.24	1.69	1.98	2.10	2.58	3.18
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>0</sub>	0.32	0.78	0.82	1.42	1.99	2.00
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	0.70	1.00	1.53	1.99	2.69	3.02
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	1.15	1.99	2.00	2.62	2.99	3.51
PPV <sub>0</sub>	0.50	1.00	1.21	1.64	2.11	2.51
PPV <sub>1</sub>	1.00	1.83	2.11	2.15	2.97	3.58
PPV <sub>2</sub>	2.00	2.50	2.82	3.10	3.53	4.00
SEm (±)	0.012	0.016	0.016	0.028	0.028	0.012
CD (5%)	0.025	0.033	0.035	0.058	0.058	0.25

P<sub>1</sub> (polyethylene 200 gauge), P<sub>2</sub> (polyethylene 150 gauge), pp (polypropylene 100 gauge), V<sub>0</sub> (0% perforation), V<sub>1</sub> (1% perforation), V<sub>2</sub> (2% perforation).

Table 5. Effect of polythene packages and perforation on sensory evaluation of okra fruits during storage under ambient condition

Treatment	Storage period (days)					
	2	4	6	8	10	12
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>0</sub>	1	1	2	2	3	3
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	2	2	2	3	3	4
P <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	2	2	2	3	3	4
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>0</sub>	1	2	2	3	3	3
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	2	2	2	3	3	4
P <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	2	2	2	3	3	4
PPV <sub>0</sub>	1	2	2	3	3	3
PPV <sub>1</sub>	2	2	2	3	3	4
PPV <sub>2</sub>	2	2	3	3	4	5

1 = Excellent, 2 = Good, 3 = Fairly good, 4 = Acceptable, 5 = Unacceptable.

P<sub>1</sub> (polyethylene 200 gauge), P<sub>2</sub> (polyethylene 150 gauge), pp (polypropylene 100 gauge), V<sub>0</sub> (0% perforation), V<sub>1</sub> (1% perforation), V<sub>2</sub> (2% perforation).

increase acceptability for longer period by Siambhi and Randhawa (1983) and Kalra *et al.* (1988) which is also in conformity with the present findings. The okra fruits maintained an acceptable appearance at ambient condition in 400 gauge polyethylene bags for 8-10 days either with or without perforation (Anandaswamy *et al.*, 1963; Singh *et al.*, 1980; Ghai, 2002). Further polyethylene package of okra created a modified atmosphere and reduced the decay, softening and loss of solids and increase shelf-life (Sandha, 2002).

Thus it can be concluded that prepackaging of okra in 200 gauge polyethylene without perforation (P<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0</sub>) was most effective in retaining the market quality and increasing shelf-life up to 12 days in ambient condition.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Anandaswamy B, Viraktamath C S, Subbarao K R, Suryanarayana B N, Iyengar N V R and Srivastava H C 1963.** Prepackaging of fresh produce III okra (*Hibiscus esculentus*). Food Science, 12 : 332-335.
- Anonymous 2004.** Indian Horticultural Database, National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India.
- Ghai T R 2002.** Post harvest physiology of okra. In : Post harvest Handling of fruits and vegetables. (eds., Sandhu, A.S. and Bal, J.S.). Super Natural Design, 1808, Maharaj Nagar, Ludhiana – 141 004, pp. 100-104.
- Kalra C L, Kulkarni S G, Kour S, Sehgal R C and Berry S K 1988.** Studies on the post-harvest storage of fresh okra cultivars as influenced by pre-packaging. Indian Food Packer, 42 : 14-22.
- Sandha M S 2002.** Pre and post harvest treatment for prolonged post harvest life of vegetables. In : Post harvest Handling of fruits and vegetables. (eds., Sandhu, A.S. and Bal, J.S.) Super Natural Design, 1808, Maharaj Nagar, Ludhiana – 141004, pp. 81-84.
- Siambhi M S and Randhawa 1983.** Shelf life of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) as influenced by prepackaging in polyethylene bags. Indian Food Packer, 37 : 63-66.
- Singh B P, Dhankar B S and Pandita M L 1980.** Effect of prepackaging materials on storage life of fresh okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) fruits. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences, 9: 175-179.