

## Influence of Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on Growth and Development of Beet Armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hub. (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) on Chickpea

T Divya Bharathi, P V Krishnayya, M Srinivasa Rao, V Prasanna Kumari and Sk Nafeez Umar

Department of Entomology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, A.P.

### ABSTRACT

Changes in quality of host plants under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions likely in future can affect the survival, growth and its development and population dynamics of insect herbivores. The present study is aimed to examine the growth and development of *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner) (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) chickpea grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition in open top chambers at CRIDA, Hyderabad. Significantly lower leaf nitrogen, higher carbon, higher relative proportion of carbon to nitrogen and higher tannins, lower proteins and amino acids and higher carbohydrates were observed in the chickpea foliage grown under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. This alteration in food quality significantly affected the growth parameters of *S. exigua* in the form of increased food consumption, more fed leaf area, gain in larval weight and more faecal matter production due to extended larval duration and pupal duration. This resulted in reduced fecundity, particularly in *S. exigua* raised under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions compared to ambient conditions. Further feeding indices were recorded and revealed increased approximate digestibility and relative consumption rate of *S. exigua* under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition coupled with reduced efficiency of conversion of ingested food and digested food. As a result, the relative growth rate was decreased under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. In nutshell, it can be concluded that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations altered the quality of chickpea foliage and has the negative effect on the growth and development of *S. exigua*.

**Key words:** Chickpea, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, Climate change, Feeding indices, *Spodoptera exigua*.

According to Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Climate Change is defined as “change in climate over time, either due to natural variability (or) as a result of human activity” of late Climate change has become the most important, complex and global environmental phenomenon to date. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> had reached to a concentration of 380 ppm during 2005 and has been predicted to reach up to 550 ppm by 2050 and to be doubled by the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century due to continuous high levels of fossil fuel consumption. The increase in the amount of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> would be about 40 per cent compared with pre-industrial levels of 280 ppm (IPCC, 2007 and 2013).

Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> can directly stimulate plant growth, affect plant resource allocation and change plant tissue quality and it is consequently predicted to indirectly affect insect-herbivory. Increased CO<sub>2</sub> lead to decline in nutritional quality of host plant and affect the performance of herbivore insects (Whittaker, 1999). Leaf nitrogen is considered to be imperative factor for growth and reproduction of insects, reduction in leaf nitrogen content in the plants grown under enriched CO<sub>2</sub> condition result in a nutritionally depleted food source for leaf eating insects (Lindroth *et al.*, 1993). As a result of which insect herbivores which feed on those plants will have increased consumption rate,

developmental times, decreased fitness and fecundity (Feng *et al.*, 2010).

Among the biotic stresses that influence chickpea production, the beet armyworm (*Spodoptera exigua* Hubner.) (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) was reported as an important folivorous insect pest in India (Singh and Bichoo, 1976).

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Growth and development of *S. exigua* for four generations were conducted under both ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (*a*CO<sub>2</sub>; 380 ± 25 ppm) and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (*e*CO<sub>2</sub>; 550 ± 25 ppm) conditions. The egg masses collected were incubated at controlled conditions. Upon hatching, neonate larvae were allowed to feed on tender leaves of chickpea grown at different levels of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in OTCs. From third day onwards, the larvae were reared individually for feeding trial in petridishes (75 x 10 mm). Twenty replications were maintained under each set CO<sub>2</sub> conditions and in total, 40 larvae were observed for *e*CO<sub>2</sub> and *a*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. Moist filter paper was kept at the bottom of the petriplate to maintain leaf turgidity and humidity. Fresh chickpea leaves obtained from the corresponding ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> chambers were collected and measured for the leaf area using leaf area meter (LI-3000, LICOR Biosciences) and were also weighed. Enough caution was taken to give leaf material of uniform area

and weight to the larvae on alternate day. The left over leaves were measured for their weight and area to calculate the fed leaf weight and fed leaf area. The weight of the faecal matter was also recorded regularly. The mean larval weight and pupal weight was recorded on alternate days by weighing twenty larvae. Durations of different growth stages of *S. exigua* viz., larval, pre-pupal, pupal and adult periods were recorded. The adults emerged from the sexed pupae were paired in separate plastic containers (15 x 15 x 15 cm) and were fed with 10 % honey solution through cotton swabs. The egg masses laid on the filter paper and inner wall of plastic containers were daily collected and counted.

Various insect feeding indices were determined by using the data relating to amount of food ingested, faecal matter excreted, larval weight and larval duration (Waldbauer, 1968). Approximate digestibility (AD%), Efficiency of conversion of ingested food (ECI%), Efficiency of conversion of digested food (ECD%), Relative consumption rate (RCR, mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) and Relative growth rate (mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) were computed.

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The effects of CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on larval parameters were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. Treatment means were compared and separated using the least significant difference (LSD) at p<0.01. The data on weight of food ingested, larval weight, faecal matter weight, larval duration, pupal weight, fecundity and feeding indices were analyzed using ANOVA with the help of STAR (Statistical tool for agriculture research), version 1.00.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth parameters of *S. exigua*

The results on variation of primary parameters of *S. exigua* on chickpea due to effect of *eCO*<sub>2</sub> were furnished in Table 1. The results pertaining to growth parameters of *S. exigua* on chickpea was differed significantly under *aCO*<sub>2</sub> and *eCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions were presented in Table 1. The total consumption of chickpea foliage was significantly ( $F_{1,19} = 3669.94$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) higher under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (908.85 mg) compared to *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (688.10 mg). Similar trend was reflected in the total leaf area consumed was significantly ( $F_{1,19} = 14554.24$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) more under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (23.24 sq.cm) than *aCO*<sub>2</sub> (17.67 sq.cm). The faecal matter released by larvae was significantly ( $F_{1,19} = 285.58$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) varied and was more in *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (215.30 mg) than *aCO*<sub>2</sub> (189.25 mg). The average weight gain by the larva ( $F_{1,19} = 120.66$ ,  $P < 0.0$ ) was more under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (183.25 mg) as compared to *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (169.10 mg). The effect of *eCO*<sub>2</sub> on pre-pupal weight of *S. exigua* on chickpea foliage showed a non-significant difference across two CO<sub>2</sub>

conditions, despite it recording lower weight (70.05 mg) at *eCO*<sub>2</sub> than in *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (70.50 mg). Whereas the pupal weights of *S. exigua* were significantly ( $F_{1,19} = 12.04$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) lower under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (61.70 mg) than *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (63.30 mg).

The present results are in conformity with the findings of Srinivasa Rao *et al.*, (2012) who reported that the total consumption of *S. litura* on peanut foliage was more under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (2.15 - 2.50 g) than *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (1.04 g) and also reported that faecal matter weight was more under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (0.85 - 0.91 g) than *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (0.68 g); with higher pupal weights at *aCO*<sub>2</sub> (0.30 g). Studies on the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on the performance of *Spodoptera litura* on mungbean indicated that the feeding, growth rate of larvae and faecal matter release were highest at *eCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions as compared to *aCO*<sub>2</sub> (Srivastava *et al.*, 2002). Goverde and Erhardt (2003) found that the pupal weight of *Coenonympha pamphilus* differed slightly between *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (64.1mg) and *aCO*<sub>2</sub> (71.5 mg) conditions.

Significantly ( $F_{1,19} = 133.83$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) extended larval duration was noticed under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (14.60 days) conditions than *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (12.80 days). Similarly, the pupal duration was significantly ( $F_{1,19} = 1.90$ ;  $P > 0.01$ ) longer under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> (8.10 days) conditions than *eCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (7.85 days). Significant differences were observed in fecundity of *S. exigua* ( $F_{1,19} = 797.25$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) was more (433.60 eggs/female) under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> compared to *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions (459.70 eggs) (Table 1).

The present results are in conformity with Coviella *et al.* (2000) observed longer developmental time of *S. exigua* under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> as compared with *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions. Similar results observed by Akey and Kimball (1989) and Mevischutz *et al.* (2003). The mean pupal duration among the treatments ranged from 8.4 days in 550 ppm, 8.8 days in 700 ppm and 8 days in ambient condition. Under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> condition pupal duration were more as compare to *aCO*<sub>2</sub> (Tejusing *et al.*, 2014). Srinivasa Rao *et al.* (2012) reported that the less fecund adults were noticed under *eCO*<sub>2</sub>, and resulted in a total of 424- 427 eggs per female per day, as compared with 467 eggs per female per day under *aCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions. The fecundity of *S. litura* was found reduced under *eCO*<sub>2</sub> conditions, and might be due to lower pupal weights. Similar observations reported by Wu *et al.*, (2006) and Abdul Khadar *et al.*, (2014). Karowe (2007) observed that pupal weight in insects has a significant and positive correlation with fecundity in lepidoptera.

### Feeding indices of *S. exigua*

The data on growth parameters of *S. exigua* were used for estimating the insect feeding indices and data were presented in Table 2. A perusal of data

Table 1: Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on growth parameters of *S. exigua* larvae on chickpea

CO <sub>2</sub> concentration (ppm)	Fed leaf weight (mg)	Fed leaf area (sq.cm)	Faecal matter weight (mg)	Larval weight (mg)	Pre-pupal weight (mg)	Pupal weight (mg)	Larval duration (days)	Pupal duration (days)	Fecundity
Ambient CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	688.10±3.19	17.67±0.18	189.25±6.53	159.15±3.57	70.50±3.49	63.30±1.59	12.80±0.41	7.85±0.88	459.70±1.89
Elevated CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	908.85±15.95	23.24±0.25	215.30±3.29	167.80±1.36	70.05±2.28	61.70±1.63	14.60±0.50	8.10±0.81	433.60±1.71
F test	3669.94**	4554.24**	285.58**	94.60**	0.22 <sup>NS</sup>	12.04**	133.83**	6.33*	797.25**
S.Em ±	3.64	0.05	1.54	0.889	0.95	0.46	0.16	0.1	0.92
CD (p = 0.05)	7.63	0.10	3.23	1.861	NS	0.97	0.33	0.21	2.09
CD (p = 0.01)	10.42	0.13	4.41	2.544	NS	1.32	0.45	NS	3.00
CV (%)	1.44	0.71	2.41	1.72	4.29	2.33	3.59	3.94	0.46

All values are mean ± standard deviation

\*\* Significant @ 1% level of significance

\*Significant @ 5% level of significance;

NS = Non-significant

Table 2: Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on feeding indices of *S. exigua* larvae on chickpea in first generation

CO <sub>2</sub> concentration (ppm)	AD (%)	ECI (%)	ECD (%)	RCR (mg mg <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )
Ambient CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	72.50 ± 0.98	22.99 ± 0.56	31.71 ± 0.93	672.32 ± 26.85
Elevated CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	76.31 ± 0.63	18.36 ± 0.35	24.06 ± 0.61	738.14 ± 16.08
F test	218.62**	1001.19**	913.29**	80.47**
S.Em±	0.26	0.15	0.26	7.39
CD (p= 0.05)	0.54	0.31	0.53	15.47
CD (p= 0.01)	0.74	0.42	0.72	21.15
CV (%)	1.1	2.24	2.87	3.31

AD = Approximate Digestibility;

ECI = Efficiency of Conversion of Ingested food;

ECD = Efficiency of Conversion of Digested food;

RGR = Relative Growth Rate

RCR = Efficiency of Conversion of Digested food;

\*\* Significant @ 1% level of significance

All values are mean ± standard deviation

Table 3: Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on biochemical constituents of chickpea foliage at 27 °C

CO <sub>2</sub> Concentration (ppm)	Biochemical constituents of Chickpea									
	Carbon (%)	Nitrogen (%)	C : N	Proteins (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Amino acids (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Tannins (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	TSS (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Starch (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbohydrates (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	
Ambient CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	32.17±1.19	3.49 ±0.07	9.22±0.20	72.38±0.83	3.48±0.04	2.23±0.03	20.91±0.23	4.92±0.07	25.83±0.18	
Elevated CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	36.10±1.02	2.71±0.07	13.33±0.21	62.81±0.65	2.99±0.02	2.76±0.05	27.13±0.28	6.49±0.04	33.62±0.30	
F. test	44.43**	840.67**	732.77**	484.56**	600.25 **	731.17**	792.82**	1381.25**	8424.02**	
S.Em±	0.59	0.03	0.15	0.43	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.04	0.09	
CD(p = 0.05)	1.64	0.08	0.42	1.21	0.06	0.06	0.18	0.12	0.24	
CD (p = 0.01)	2.72	0.12	0.7	2.00	0.09	0.09	0.31	0.19	0.39	
CV (%)	2.74	1.37	2.13	1.02	0.98	1.25	0.44	1.17	0.45	

TSS- Total soluble sugars

All values are mean ± standard deviation

\*\* Significant @ 1% level of significance

showed that indices were differed significantly across two CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. The proportion of consumed food that was digested is denoted by approximate digestibility (AD) and a significant variation ( $F_{1,19}=218.62$ ;  $P<0.01$ ) in the AD of chickpea foliage was noticed between CO<sub>2</sub> conditions and the higher AD of 76.31 per cent was recorded in *e*CO<sub>2</sub> than the *a*CO<sub>2</sub> (72.50 %). The effect of *e*CO<sub>2</sub> on both efficiency of conversion of ingested food (ECI- the larval weight gain per unit weight of leaf consumed) ( $F_{1,19}=1001.19$ ;  $P<0.01$ ) and efficiency of conversion of digested food (ECD - the larval weight gain per unit weight of leaf digested) ( $F_{1,19}=913.29$ ;  $P<0.01$ ) of *S. exigua* were significantly differed. The lower percent of ECI and ECD by larvae were noticed under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> (18.36 and 21.10 %) than ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (22.99 and 30.22 %), respectively. Relative consumption rate (RCR) indicates the per capita consumption of food by larva per day. Significantly ( $F_{1,19}=80.47$ ;  $P<0.01$ ) *S. exigua* consumed more foliage under *e*CO<sub>2</sub>- (738.14 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) as compared with *a*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (692.32 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>). The relative growth rate (RGR) of insect indicates that the growth gained by larva per day. RGR of *S. exigua* varied significantly ( $F_{1,19}=569.16$ ;  $P<0.01$ ) and was lower under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> (135.52 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) than *a*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (153.82 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>)

The present results are in conformity with the findings of Lindroth *et al.*, (1993), who reported that RCR of gypsy moth was increased by 75% under high CO<sub>2</sub> level and RGR of was decreased by 35 per cent whereas, efficiency parameters *viz.*, ECI and ECD for the larvae fed on high CO<sub>2</sub> foliage decreased significantly. Similar trend of results were reported by Srinivasa Rao *et al.*, (2012) on the growth and development of *S. litura* on peanut under two CO<sub>2</sub> conditions indicating that the increased AD and RCR of peanut foliage under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> (59.95 % in 550 ppm and 61.78 % in 700 ppm) compared with *a*CO<sub>2</sub> (51.69 %). RCR was significantly higher under 550 (333.50 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) and 700 ppm (348.86 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>) than *a*CO<sub>2</sub> (281.42 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>). Decreased ECI (30.39 % in 550 ppm and 30.27 % in 700 ppm), ECD (51.43% in 550 ppm and 53.20 % in 700 ppm) and RGR (99.55 in 550 ppm and 98.38 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> in 700 ppm) of larvae were observed under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions than ambient CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (109.12 mg mg<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>).

### Biochemical constituents of chickpea foliage

The results on variation of biochemical constituents of *S. exigua* on chickpea due to effect of *e*CO<sub>2</sub> were furnished in Table 3. Leaf nitrogen content was distinctly lower (2.71 %) under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions compared to *a*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (3.49%) ( $F_{1,9} = 840.67$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ). However, the carbon content of leaf tissue increased significantly ( $F_{1,9} = 44.43$ ;  $P = < 0.01$ ) under

*e*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (36.10 %) over *a*CO<sub>2</sub> (32.17 %) and resulted in a significant increase of C: N ratio under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> (13.33) compared to *a*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (9.22). In contrast, the tannin content was varied significantly across CO<sub>2</sub> conditions ( $F_{1,9} = 731.17$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ). The amino acid ( $F_{1,9} = 600.25$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) and protein content ( $F_{1,9} = 484.56$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) were significantly lower under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (2.99 and 62.81 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) compared to *a*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (3.48 and 72.38 mg g<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. Significantly higher TSS ( $F_{1,9} = 792.82$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ), starch ( $F_{1,9} = 1381.25$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) and carbohydrates ( $F_{1,14} = 8424.02$ ;  $P < 0.01$ ) were recorded under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (27.13, 6.49 and 33.62 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) compared to *a*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions (20.91, 4.92 and 41.45 mg g<sup>-1</sup>), respectively.

The present results are in conformity with the findings shown in sugar beet, *Beta Vulgaris* (Caulfield and Bunce, 1994); mungbean, *Vigna radiata* (Srivastava *et al.*, 2002); maize, *Zea mays* (Yin *et al.*, 2010) and chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* (Abdul khadar *et al.*, 2014) where in plants grown under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> had significantly lower nitrogen content with increase in carbon and C: N ratio of plants and confirm the result of the present investigations. Under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, chickpea plants exhibited lower nitrogen levels due to increased photosynthesis resulting in poorer food quality for *S. exigua* larvae leading to extended larval duration, lower pupal weight and fecundity, increasing food consumption (higher RCR) and assimilation (higher AD) reducing food conversion efficiency by larvae (lower ECI and ECD) and resulted in slow growth (lower RGR).

### CONCLUSION

It is understood that the dilution of biochemical constituents of chickpea foliage caused the poor growth, development and fecundity of *S. exigua* under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. Based on the present study, it can be speculated that, the growth performance of beet armyworm under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, affects badly resulting in poor perpetuation of the population which might reduce its fitness in subsequent generations.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Abdul Khadar B, Prabhuraj A, Srinivasa Rao M, Sreenivas A G and Naganagoud A 2014** Influence of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> associated with chickpea on growth performance of gram caterpillar, *Helicoverpa armigera* (HUB.). *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*. 12(2): 345-353.
- Akey D H and Kimball B A 1989** Growth and development of the beet armyworm on cotton grown in an enriched carbon dioxide atmosphere. *Southwestern Entomologist*. 14(3): 255-260.

- Caulfield F and Bunce JA 1994** Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations affects interactions between *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae and two host plants species outdoors. *Physiological and Chemical Ecology*. 23(4): 999-1005.
- Feng, G, Gang W and Chen F 2010** Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> lessens predation of *Chrysopa sinica* on *Aphis gossypii*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. 135: 140.
- Goverde M and Erhardt A 2003** Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on development and larval food plant preference in the butterfly *Coenonympha pamphilus* (Lepidoptera: Satyridae). *Global Change Biology*. 9: 74-83.
- IPCC 2007 Climate change 2007** Synthesis Approach. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- IPCC 2013** Climate Change: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Karowe D N 2007** Are legume-feeding herbivores suffered against direct effects of elevated carbon dioxide on host plants? A test with the sulfur butterfly, *Colias philodice*. *Global Change Biology*. 13: 2045-2051.
- Lindroth R L, Kiney K K and Platz C L 1993** Responses of deciduous trees to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, productivity, phytochemistry and insect performance. *Ecology*. 74: 763-777.
- Mevischutz J, Goverde M and Erhardt A 2003** Effect of fertilization and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on larval food and butterfly nectar amino acid preference in *Coenonympha pamphilus* L. *Behavioural Ecology Sociobiology*. 54: 36-43
- Singh Y and Bichio S L 1976** Bionomics of *Spodoptera exigua* (Hubner) on gram. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 38 (2): 138 - 141.
- Srinivasa Rao M, Manimanjari D, Vanaja M, Ramarao C A, Srinivas K, Rao V U M and Venkateswarlu B 2012** Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* on peanut, *Arachis hypogea*. *Journal of Insect Science*. 12(103): 1-10.
- Srivastava A C, Tiwari L D, Pal M and Sengupta U K 2002** CO<sub>2</sub>-mediated changes in mungbean chemistry: Impact on plant-herbivore interactions. *Current Science*. 82(9): 1148-1151.
- Tejusing, Shrikant and Bandeppa 2014** Influence of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on biology of Bihar hairy caterpillar, *Spilosoma obliqua* (Wlk.) on castor. *Journal of Entomological Research*. 38(3): 169-172.
- Waldbauer G P 1968** The consumption and utilization of food by insects. *Advance in Insect Physiology*. 5: 229-288.
- Whittaker J B 1999** Impacts and responses at population level of herbivorous insects to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Environmental Entomology*. 96: 149:156.
- Wu G, Chen F J and Ge F 2006** Response of multiple generations of cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner, feeding on spring wheat, to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Journal of Applied Entomology*. 130(1): 2-9.
- Yin J, Sun Y C and Wu G 2010** Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> associated with maize on multiple generations of cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. 136: 12-20.

Received on 29.12.2017 and revised on 22.11.2018