

Integrated Nutrient Management in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)- Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Cropping System

M M V Srinivasa Rao, P V N Prasad, K V Ramana Murthy, T Sreelatha and Ch Mukunda Rao

Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla, A.P.

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on integrated Nutrient Management in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)-Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Cropping System during two consecutive years (2015-2016 and 2016-2017) at the Agricultural Research Station, Vizianagaram of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), in the North - Coastal Agro-Climatic Zone of Andhra Pradesh, to study the effect of integrated nutrient management practices on growth and yield of *kharif* groundnut and succeeding *rabi* maize. Among all, the RDF along with bio-fertilizers application, maximum values for vegetative parameters were recorded with the application of $\text{RDF}_{150} + \text{FYM } 5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ and the higher pod yield and yield attributes were recorded with $\text{RDF}_{125} + \text{FYM } 5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ which was, however, comparable with $\text{RDF}_{100} + \text{FYM } 5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$. The research results of succeeding maize revealed that, growth parameters, yield attributes, yield and economic returns were significantly influenced by the treatments given to preceding groundnut crop in the sequence. Among all the treatments, the plant height, drymatter production, yield attributes and the yield maximum recorded with the treatment combination of $\text{RDF}_{100} + \text{Azospirillum} + \text{PSB} + \text{VAM} +$ with groundnut crop residue incorporation which was, however, comparable to combinations $\text{RDF}_{125} + \text{FYM}_{5\text{t}} + \text{Rhizobium}$ inoculation +PSB+VAM and $\text{RDF}_{100} + \text{FYM}_{5\text{t}} + \text{Rhizobium}$ inoculation +PSB+VAM.

Key words: Biofertilizers, Growth, Groundnut-Maize cropping system, Integrated Nutrient Management, Yield.

Generally, fertilizer dose is recommended on the basis of individual crop response. As the determination of the fertilizer dose for cropping system is complex due to factors like soil, nutrient fixation and residual effects. To encourage rational use of fertilizer, it is essential that the cultivators are made aware of profitability of fertilizer application under sequence cropping. The importance of growing legumes for sustaining and improving soil fertility has been known since long. The maize productivity increased due to preceding legume crop. Groundnut-maize is one of the cropping systems that is gaining productivity under intensive cultivation on *Alfiisols*. Information on nutrient requirement for this intensive cropping system is limited. Particularly when nutrients are supplied through integrated nutrient management practices. Sustainability of higher yield could be achieved through integrated nutrient management. Therefore, the present experiment on integrated nutrient management in groundnut-maize crop sequence was conducted.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Station, Vizianagaram of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), in the North - Coastal Agro-Climatic Zone of Andhra Pradesh. The results of the soil analysis indicated that the experimental site was sandy loam in texture, neutral in reaction, low in organic carbon, medium in available

nitrogen, high in available phosphorus and medium in available potassium. Soil samples were drawn plot wise, immediately after harvest of each of the crop to assess soil fertility dynamics. The weather conditions prevailed during crop growth period of groundnut and maize were quite normal and congenial for the better growth and performance of the crops, during both the years of experimentation.

The field experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with groundnut as *kharif* season crop with six treatments and replicated four times with gross plot size 24m x 4m and with net plot size 22.8m x 3.6m. The treatments consisted of T_1 - $\text{RDF}_{100} + \text{FYM}_{5\text{t}}$ (Control); T_2 - $\text{RDF}_{125} + \text{FYM}_{5\text{t}} + \text{Rhizobium}$ inoculation +PSB+VAM; T_3 - $\text{RDF}_{150} + \text{FYM}_{5\text{t}} + \text{Rhizobium}$ inoculation + PSB + VAM; T_4 - $\text{RDF}_{100} + \text{FYM}_{5\text{t}} + \text{Rhizobium}$ inoculation +PSB+VAM; T_5 - $\text{RDF}_{75} + \text{FYM}_{5\text{t}} + \text{Rhizobium}$ inoculation +PSB+VAM and T_6 - $\text{RDF}_{50} + \text{FYM}_{5\text{t}} + \text{Rhizobium}$ inoculation +PSB+VAM. The entire $\text{FYM}@5\text{t ha}^{-1}$ was applied before last ploughing. The FYM contains 0.5%N, 0.25%P and 0.40%K. The entire recommended dose fertilizers were applied before sowing of groundnut seed. During the succeeding *Rabi*, the experiment was laid out in a split-plot design on maize with six treatments given to *kharif* groundnut as main plot treatments and each of these divided into four sub-plots with gross plot size 6m x 4m and with net plot size 3.6m x 3.2m to receive four rates of RDF

application viz., S₁-RDF₁₀₀+*Azospirillum*+PSB+VAM (Control); S₂-RDF₁₀₀+*Azospirillum*+ PSB+ VAM + with groundnut residue incorporation; S₃-RDF₇₅+ *Azospirillum*+ PSB+ VAM+ with groundnut residue incorporation and S₄-RDF₅₀+AS+PSB+VAM+ with groundnut residue incorporation. The recommended fertilizers for Maize were applied in four splits, entire phosphorous, ¼ nitrogen and ½ potassium applied before dibbling of seed, ¼ nitrogen was applied 20, 40 and 60DAS. The remaining ½ potassium was applied along with nitrogen at 60DAS.

The test variety groundnut cultivar, K-9 with spacing of 30x10 cm and maize cultivar DHM-117 with spacing 60x20cm was adopted. Different growth parameters at various stages and yield were recorded and statistically analysed following the analysis of variance for randomized block design and split plot design as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1978).

Performance of the cropping system as a whole as influenced by different integrated nutrient management practices was assessed in terms of system productivity by making use of the following formula as stated by Munda *et al.*, (2008).

$$\text{System productivity} = \frac{\text{Yield of maize} \times \text{Price of maize}}{\text{Price of groundnut}}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth parameters of *kharif* groundnut

Growth parameters like plant height (cm), drymatter production and number of nodules plant⁻¹ were significantly influenced by integrated nutrient management practices (Table 1).

During both the years of investigation, plant height of *kharif* groundnut recorded at different growth stages exhibited significant increase with the advancement in the age of the crop. Plant height at harvest was significantly affected due to integrated nutrient management practices. The maximum plant height of groundnut at harvest was recorded with RDF₁₅₀+ FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB + VAM (T₃). Increased plant height may be due to the application of recommended dose of NPK, *Rhizobium* inoculation, phosphate solubilizing bacteria and VAM fungi along with FYM. This increase in growth of groundnut could be attributed to the enhanced nutrient use efficiency in the presence of organic manure. Further, the organic manure release nutrients slowly and may reduce the leaching losses, particularly N and simultaneously the ability of biofertilizers to transport major nutrients like N and P besides secreting plant growth promoting substances such as IAA and gibberellins might have helped in increasing the plant

height. The superior performance of groundnut plant height under the influence of INM practices as projected in the present findings are in agreement with those of Abou-el-seoud and Abdel-megeed (2012) and Dhadge and Satpute (2014).

Drymatter accumulation also followed the similar trend, as that of plant height. The highest drymatter accumulation was recorded with RDF₁₅₀+ FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB + VAM (T₃) applied to groundnut (Table 1). It was significantly superior to the rest of the treatments. Each successive increment of fertilizers significantly increased the drymatter accumulation of groundnut upto the highest level *i.e.*, RDF₁₅₀+ FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB + VAM (T₃). Adequate fertilization to crops is known to improve the physiological and metabolic processes in the plant system creating a favourable environment for higher availability of nutrients. Thus could have helped the groundnut crop growth and development and hence the higher drymatter at higher level of nutrient application. Enhanced drymatter accumulation under INM practices, as recorded in this investigation corroborates the findings of Chavan *et al.*, (2014) and Patil *et al.*, (2014).

Yield attributes and yield of *kharif* groundnut

Number of gynophores plant⁻¹ of groundnut were significantly influenced by the different INM treatments (Table 1). The maximum number of gynophores plant⁻¹ was recorded in the treatment RDF₁₅₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₃), which was however comparable with RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₂) and RDF₁₀₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+ VAM(T₄). The increased number of gynophores plant⁻¹ under the treatments RDF₁₅₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₃) could be attributed to balanced application of nutrition comprising both organic manure and inorganic fertilizers along with biofertilizers. The performance of groundnut above soil surface exhibited a significant increase in the formation of higher number of gynophores which might be due to increased plant height and corresponding increase in number of branches and profuse flowering. This finding is in the accordance with the results reported by Singh *et al.*, (2011).

Various INM practices in different combinations have exerted significant influence on number of pods plant⁻¹ (Table 1). The highest number of pods plant⁻¹ was recorded with combination of RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₂), which was however comparable with other combination receiving RDF₁₀₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₄). Increased number of pods plant⁻¹ under RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation

+PSB+ VAM (T_2) might be attributed to integrated application of fertilizers, manure along with biofertilizers that produced adequate and balanced nutrition in readily available forms throughout the growth period. The uptake lead to greater photosynthetic activity, production of metabolites and the enzymatic activity might have increased the proliferation of the root system in increasing pods plant⁻¹. However, the applied nutrition in the combination of RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB+VAM (T_2) with other integrated treatments did not exhibit extensive and lanky vegetative growth thus preventing the formation of gynophores at greater height. The greater production of metabolites and their translocation to various sinks especially productive structures could have rendered in the transformation of maximum number of gynophores into development of pods. These results exhibited in the present study corroborates the findings of Choudhary *et al.*, (2011) and Singh *et al.*, (2011).

Pod yield of groundnut was significantly influenced by different integrated management practices (Table 1). The highest pod yield (2542 and 2453 kg ha⁻¹ during 2015-16 and 2016-17, respectively) was recorded with the application of RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB+VAM (T_2), which was however comparable to RDF₁₀₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T_4). Among the different rates of fertilizers and their combination with FYM and biofertilizers, the combined use of 125% RDF through fertilizer has remarkably recorded the highest pod yield of groundnut over all other RDF, FYM and Biofertilizers management practices. This might be attributed to efficient and greater partitioning of metabolites and adequate translocation and accumulation of photosynthates, amino acids, vitamins, etc., to developing reproductive structures under adequate fertilization. This seems to have resulted in increased yield attributing characters and finally yield. Similar findings were also reported by Gunri and Nath (2012), Chavan *et al.*, (2014) and Sheetal *et al.*, (2014).

Growth parameters of *rabi* maize

Plant height of succeeding maize recorded at different stages has shown a significant increase with advancement in the age of the crop. The height of maize recorded at 90DAS (Table 2) was significantly influenced by different levels of fertilizers, biofertilizers along with groundnut residue incorporation. The interactions between them did not influence significantly the crop performance at all stages of crop growth. Plant height of maize was significantly affected by different integrated nutrient management practices imposed to preceding *khari* groundnut. The treatment, RDF₁₅₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T_3) recorded significantly the highest plant height compared

to all the combinations, which was however, comparable with the combinations that received RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM(T_2) and RDF₁₀₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM(T_4). Irrespective of the residual effect of the treatments given to the preceding groundnut, the treatments applied to the succeeding maize registered the highest plant height with the combination of RDF₁₀₀+*Azospirillum*+PSB+VAM +groundnut residue incorporation (S_2), which was however comparable to RDF₇₅+ *Azospirillum* + PSB+ VAM+ groundnut residue incorporation (S_3). Increased plant height of maize may be attributed enhanced the applied nutrient use efficiency in the presence of groundnut residue by mycorrhizal colonizaton in the rhizosphere and promoted growth. The positive response of nutrients on plant growth across different soils and regions as noticed in the present investigation were also reported earlier by Umesha *et al.*, (2014).

Drymatter accumulation at all stages by maize was affected significantly by the direct treatments as well as the residual effect of the treatments applied to preceding groundnut (Table 3). The interaction effects were found non significant. Different integrated nutrient management practices applied to preceding *khari* groundnut had significant influence on drymatter accumulation of *rabi* maize. The treatment with the application of RDF₁₅₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T_3) recorded significantly the highest drymatter production, which was however, on par with the combinations supplying RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM(T_2) and RDF₁₀₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB+VAM(T_4). Irrespective of the residual effect of the treatments adopted to the preceding groundnut, the treatments applied to the succeeding maize produced the highest drymatter with the combination supplying RDF₁₀₀+ *Azospirillum* +PSB+VAM + groundnut residue incorporation (S_2), when compared to all other treatments, which was however, comparable with the treatments RDF₇₅+ *Azospirillum* + PSB+ VAM+ groundnut residue incorporation (S_3). Judicious supply of fertilizers is known to enhance chlorophyll content, which in turn increased the photosynthetic activity rendering to increased accumulation of drymatter. Drymatter accumulation in maize with different treatment combinations might be due to the improvement in soil N status owing to the biological nitrogen fixation of the legumes. This may be due to the ability of biofertilizers to transport major nutrients like N and P besides secreting plant growth promoting substances such as IAA and gibberellins (Umesha *et al.*, 2014). Irrespective of the stage of the crop and year of experimentation, incorporation of groundnut crop residue has resulted in significant improvement in

Table 1 : Plant height(cm), Drymatter production(kg ha⁻¹), yield attributes and pod yield (kg ha⁻¹) of groundnut as influenced by different integrated nutrient management practices during *kharif* 2015 and 2016

Treatments	2015						2016					
	Plant height at harvest	Drymatter at maturity	No.of gynophores Plant ⁻¹	No.of Pods Plant ⁻¹	Pod yield	Plant height at harvest	Drymatter at maturity	No.of gynophores Plant ⁻¹	No.of Pods Plant ⁻¹	Pod yield		
T ₁ = RDF ₁₀₀ +FYM _{5t} (Control)	82.50	6063	35.00	14.25	1485	83.50	5800	34.25	14.25	1471		
T ₂ = RDF ₁₂₅ +FYM _{5t} + <i>Rhizobium</i> +PSB+VAM	95.65	7908	44.50	25.00	2542	94.48	7795	44.50	24.50	2453		
T ₃ = RDF ₁₅₀ +FYM _{5t} + <i>Rhizobium</i> +PSB+VAM	102.75	9743	46.00	20.00	2026	101.25	9228	45.50	18.50	1889		
T ₄ = RDF ₁₀₀ +FYM _{5t} + <i>Rhizobium</i> +PSB+VAM	93.65	7768	43.50	23.50	2412	93.25	7470	43.25	23.00	2353		
T ₅ = RDF ₇₅ +FYM _{5t} + <i>Rhizobium</i> +PSB+VAM	92.40	7453	40.25	20.25	2065	91.50	7320	40.00	19.50	1971		
T ₆ = RDF ₅₀ +FYM _{5t} + <i>Rhizobium</i> +PSB+VAM	85.25	6207	37.00	17.00	1711	85.00	6117	35.00	15.00	1566		
Mean	92.03	7524	41.04	20.00	2040	91.50	7288	40.42	19.13	1950		
SEm ±	2.27	265.41	1.01	0.96	104.00	1.39	196.35	0.77	0.95	98.09		
CD (P=0.05)	6.86	800.06	3.07	2.91	313.51	4.19	591.88	2.34	2.90	295.67		
CV (%)	14.95	14.71	13.96	11.67	10.19	13.04	13.94	13.85	10.09	10.05		

Table 2: Plant height (cm) of maize at 90 DAS as influenced by preceding groundnut and different integrated nutrient management practices during *rabi* 2015-16 and 2016-17

Treatments applied to <i>kharif</i> groundnut (T)	Treatments applied to <i>rabi</i> maize (S)									
	2015-16					2016-17				
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean
T ₁	225.00	258.25	252.75	245.90	245.48	190.00	243.70	234.50	218.10	221.58
T ₂	249.50	287.20	283.75	257.25	269.43	224.55	296.50	281.00	229.65	257.93
T ₃	253.25	287.50	284.75	259.00	271.13	227.65	297.50	285.00	230.38	260.13
T ₄	247.15	286.65	282.50	256.90	268.30	222.35	295.00	270.40	228.25	254.00
T ₅	241.20	268.80	265.15	256.20	257.84	219.75	259.25	252.50	227.85	239.84
T ₆	228.75	260.65	257.55	247.35	248.58	194.65	248.05	237.00	219.25	224.74
Mean	240.81	274.84	271.08	253.77	260.12	213.16	273.33	260.07	225.58	243.03
		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	CV (%)			SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	CV (%)	
T	1.23	3.71	10.01		T	1.86	5.61	12.24		
S	5.33	15.10	10.03		S	5.13	14.55	10.34		
T at S	11.82	NS	10.03		T at S	12.80	NS	10.34		
S at T	13.04	NS	10.03		S at T	12.56	NS	10.34		

Table 3: Drymatter accumulation (kg ha⁻¹) of maize at maturity as influenced by preceding groundnut and different integrated nutrient management practices during *rabi* 2015-16 and 2016-17

Treatments applied to <i>kharif</i> groundnut (T)	Treatments applied to <i>rabi</i> maize (S)									
	2015-16					2016-17				
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean
T ₁	14539	18430	17621	14545	16284	12434	17239	16420	13435	14882
T ₂	18301	24101	22950	19302	21164	15126	22379	20017	17244	18691
T ₃	18602	24216	22953	19524	21324	15992	22437	20067	17294	18948
T ₄	17946	24005	22438	19085	20869	15031	22021	19833	17128	18503
T ₅	14832	20654	20199	18861	18637	13792	20608	17971	15573	16986
T ₆	14637	18864	18390	15076	16742	12572	17988	16560	13678	15199
Mean	16476	21712	20759	17732	19170	14158	20445	18478	15725	17202
		SEm \pm	CD (P=0.05)	CV (%)			SEm \pm	CD (P=0.05)	CV (%)	
	T	211.10	636.31	12.62		T	201.85	608.43	12.23	
	S	773.18	2192.21	14.76		S	718.25	2036.48	14.73	
	T at S	1745.45	NS	14.76		T at S	1627.09	NS	14.73	
	S at T	1893.89	NS	14.76		S at T	1759.35	NS	14.73	

Table 5: System productivity in terms of groundnut equivalent yield (kg ha⁻¹) of the groundnut-maize cropping system for 2015-16 and 2016-17

Treatments applied to <i>kharif</i> groundnut (T)	Treatments applied to <i>rabi</i> maize (S)														
	2015-16							2016-17							
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	Mean
T ₁	3351	3662	3502	3199	3428	2829	3315	3208	3161	3128					
T ₂	4544	4999	4987	4701	4808	4171	4824	4518	4346	4465					
T ₃	4137	4493	4481	4197	4327	3705	4437	4077	3853	4018					
T ₄	4386	4868	4844	4565	4666	4031	4697	4385	4159	4318					
T ₅	3965	4266	4257	4191	4170	3538	4312	3938	3740	3882					
T ₆	3572	3891	3760	3537	3690	3102	3904	3526	3312	3461					
Mean	3992	4363	4305	4065	4181	3563	4248	3942	3762	3879					
		SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	CV (%)			SEm±	CD (P=0.05)	CV (%)						
	T	36.45	109.87	13.95		T	29.79	87.79	12.29						
	S	74.23	210.46	11.19		S	87.21	247.27	11.01						
	T at S	181.81	NS	11.19		T at S	203.28	NS	11.01						
	S at T	188.20	NS	11.19		S at T	213.62	NS	11.01						

drymatter accumulation as groundnut crop is a legume. A narrow C:N ratio enhanced the range of mineralization resulting in the availability of nitrogen and 'N' from added fertilizer might have been readily available to the succeeding crop. Prolonged availability of N owing to reduced losses and fermentation of mineral complexes was clearly evident from the residue incorporation treatments. Similar findings were also reported by Abou-el-seoud and Abdel-megeed (2012) and Umesha *et al.*, (2014).

Yield of *rabi* maize

Kernel yield of maize that followed groundnut in sequence was affected significantly by the direct and residual effect of the treatments imposed to preceding groundnut, but their interactions were found to be non significant (Table 4). The variation in kernel yield observed across the treatments imposed in groundnut-maize sequence was consistent during both the years of the study. The maximum kernel yield was recorded consistently following the residual effect of treatment associated with combination RDF₁₅₀+ FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB+VAM (T₃), which was however, comparable with combinations RDF₁₂₅+ FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB+VAM(T₂) and RDF₁₀₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB+VAM(T₄). In respect of direct treatments given to maize, the treatment combination RDF₁₀₀+ *Azospirillum* + PSB+VAM + groundnut residue incorporation (S₂) recorded the maximum kernel yield of 8892 and 8466 kg ha⁻¹ during 2015-16 and 2016-17, respectively, which was however, on par with combination RDF₇₅+ *Azospirillum* +PSB+VAM+ groundnut residue incorporation (S₃). Significant improvement in the kernel yield of maize by taking groundnut as preceding crop could be attributed to higher biomass production and nutrient uptake. Increase in the soil microbial population subsequent to groundnut crop harvest as well as due to the residue incorporation might have led to increased solubilization of all the nutrients for absorption, which might have resulted in the enhanced yield attributes and finally kernel yield as compared to without residue incorporation (Aniket Kalhapure *et al.*, 2014). The positive response of maize at higher levels of nutrients application could be attributed to the overall improvement in crop growth by drymatter accumulation adequate increase in yield attributes, that have enabled the plants to absorb higher quantum of nutrients in order to manifest increased photosynthates and their translocation to sink which finally might have reflected in the kernel yield (Mohammadi and Sohrabi, 2012). The beneficial role of INM practices as reflected in the present investigation in enhancing the yield was very well established and also corroborated with the results as reported by

Mahendra Singh *et al.*, (2016) and Partha Sarathi Patra *et al.*, 2017.

System productivity of groundnut maize cropping system

System productivity in terms of groundnut equivalent yield under integrated nutrient management to groundnut- maize sequence was significantly influenced by the residual effect of preceding *kharif* groundnut and direct treatments applied to succeeding *rabi* maize. The interaction effect of nutrient management practices to preceding groundnut and fertilizer schedules along with biofertilizers and groundnut residue incorporation to *rabi* maize was found non-significant (Table 5). The distinctly highest system productivity was recorded with the residual effect of nutrients supplied to *kharif* groundnut through the combination RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB+VAM (T₂) compared with that of combination of organic and inorganic sources. In addition, among the direct treatments applied to maize, the treatments RDF₁₀₀+ *Azospirillum* + PSB+VAM + groundnut residue incorporation (S₂) recorded the maximum system productivity, which was however, closely followed by the combination with RDF₇₅+ *Azospirillum* +PSB+ VAM+ groundnut residue incorporation (S₃). The integrated nutrient management treatments to *kharif* groundnut and its residue incorporation besides direct application of INM treatments to *rabi* maize influenced the production of *rabi* maize through their after effects probably by improving the soil fertility and microbial activity for increased mineralization and better nutrient use efficiency. Hence, the system productivity was more through this strategy than due to the inorganic fertilizers alone. These results are in accordance with the findings Usadadiya and Patel (2013) and Devkant Prasad *et al.*, (2013).

From this study, the following conclusions could be drawn Groundnut crop performed at its best with the combined use of RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation + PSB+VAM (T₂) with respect to yield, economic returns and soil fertility maintenance. Combination of RDF₁₀₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₄) was the next best treatment in realizing the higher yields. Integrated use of RDF₁₅₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₃) to *kharif* groundnut has extended its residual effect on succeeding *rabi* maize in the groundnut-maize cropping system which was, however, comparable to combinations RDF₁₂₅+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₂) and RDF₁₀₀+FYM_{5t}+ *Rhizobium* inoculation +PSB+VAM (T₄). Among the direct treatments assigned to maize, conjunctive supply of RDF₁₀₀+ *Azospirillum* +

PSB+VAM + groundnut residue incorporation (S₂) resulted in the maximum yield and gross returns which were, however, on par with RDF₇₅+ *Azospirillum* +PSB+VAM+ groundnut residue incorporation (S₃), in the groundnut-maize cropping system.

CONCLUSION

Based on the forgoing findings of the investigation, it could be inferred that groundnut-maize cropping system under integrated use of 125%RDF, FYM@5tha⁻¹, *Rhizobium* inoculation, PSB and VAM (T₂) to *kharif* groundnut followed by incorporation of groundnut residue in combination with 100% RDF and biofertilizers (S₂) to *rabi* maize has higher system productivity.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abou-El-Seoud I I and Abdel-Megeed A 2012** Impact of rock materials and biofertilizations on P and K availability for maize (*Zea mays*) under calcareous soil conditions. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 19: 55–63.
- Aniket Kalhapure, Balasaheb Shete, Madhukar Dhonde and Prashant Bodake 2014** Influence of different organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on maize (*Zea mays*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 59 (2): 295-300.
- Chavan A P, Jain N K, Sagvekar V V and Taresh Kumar 2014** Integrated nutrient management in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Research on Crops*. 15 (2) : 454-460.
- Choudhary S K, Jat M K, Sharma S R and Singh P 2011** Effect of INM on soil nutrient and yield in groundnut field of semi-arid area of Rajasthan. *Legume Research*. 34 (4) : 283 – 287
- Devkant Prasad M S, Yadava M S and Singh C S 2013** Diversification of rice (*Oryza Sativa*)-based cropping systems for higher productivity, profitability and resource-use efficiency under irrigated ecosystem of Jharkhand. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 58(3): 264.
- Dhadge S M and Satpute N R 2014** Integrated nutrient management in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) – maize (*Zea mays*) cropping system. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 10(1) : 365-368.
- Gunri S K and Nath R 2012** Effect of organic manures, biofertilizers and biopesticides on productivity of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in red and laterite zone of west Bengal. *Legume Res*. 35 (2) : 144 – 148.
- Mahendra Singh, Rajiv Rakshit, Kasturikasen Beura and Manohar Lal 2016** Field evaluation of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi (VAM) for microbial activities and yield of maize under alluvial soil. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 8 (4): 2055-2059.
- Mohammadi Khosro and Sohrabi Yousef 2012** Bacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production: a review. *ARP Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*. 7(5): 307–16.
- Munda G C, Mokidul Islam, Panda B B and Patel D P 2008** Performance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) - rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) cropping sequence under system based nutrient management. *Oryza*. 45(1): 36-39.
- Partha Sarathi Patra, Pabitra Adhikary, Shyamal Kheroar, Amrit Tamang, Ashim Chandra Sinha and Debasis Mahato 2017** Direct and Residual Effect of Organics on Groundnut – Maize Cropping Sequence. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 8(2): 411-416.
- Patil A B, Chavan L S and Jagtap D N 2014** Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) varieties to nutrient management in *rabi*-hot weather season. *Legume Research*. 37 (4) : 395-401, 2014.
- Panase V G and Sukhatme P V 1978** *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi. 199-211.
- Sheetal T, Gabhane V V and Pushpa B 2014** Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality, nutrient content and uptake of groundnut in shrink-swell soil. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 10(1):291-293.
- Singh G P, Singh P L and Panwar A S 2011** Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) to biofertilizer, organic and inorganic sources of nutrient in north east India. *Legume Research*. 34 (3) : 196 – 201.
- Umesha S, Srikantaiah M, Prasanna K S, Sreeramulu K R, Divya M and Lakshmipathi R N 2014** Comparative Effect of Organics and Biofertilizers on Growth and Yield of Maize (*Zea mays*. L). *Current Agriculture Research Journal*. 2(1), 55-62.
- Usadadiya V P and Patel R H 2013** Influence of preceding crops and nutrient management on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)-based cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 58 (1): 15-18.