

# N up take and available soil N of maize-chickpea sequence as influenced by sowing time and nitrogen management

M Ratnam, B Venkateswarlu, E Narayana, T C M Naidu and A Lalitha Kumari

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur-34

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on clay soils of Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur during *kharif* and *rabi* of 2013-14 & 2014-15 to find out the influence of time of sowing and nitrogen levels on N up take and available soil nitrogen under maize-chickpea cropping sequence under rainfed conditions. Time of sowing and nitrogen levels were significantly influenced the N up take by both grain and stover and available soil N. Higher amount of N uptake by kernel and stover of preceding maize was recorded when maize sown on the 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of June with 200 % RDN. Similarly higher N up take by grain and stover of succeeding chickpea was observed when preceding maize sown on 1<sup>st</sup> FN of July with 200 % RDN followed by 100 % RDN applied to succeeding chickpea during both the years of the study.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam Farm located at Guntur (Latitude:16°181, Longitude: 80°291, Altitude: 33 m.a.m.s.l). The climate is subtropical with mean annual rainfall of 950 mm. The soil of experimental field was clay loam in texture, neutral to slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.8 to 8.2), low in available N (204 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in  $P_2O_5$  $(96.5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  and  $K_2O$   $(886.5 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  and medium in organic carbon (0.51%) respectively. The experiment was conducted for two successive kharif and rabi of 2013-14 & 2014-15 in Krishna agro-climatic zone of Andhra Pradesh. The experiment consisting of three sowing windows as main plots treatments viz., 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of June, 1<sup>st</sup> FN of July and 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of July, three nitrogen levels as sub-plot treatments viz., 100 %, 150 % and 200 % RDN applied to preceding maize and four N levels as sub-sub plot treatments viz., 0, 50 %, 75 % and 100 % RDN to succeeding chickpea. All treatments were randomly allocated and replicated thrice in a split plot design for kharif crop and double split designs for rabi crop was adopted for both years of the experimentation. Each main plot divided in required size of three sub plots and each sub-plot again divided in to four sub-sub plots of required size. Recommended dose

of N for maize was applied in three splits (1/2) at sowing, 1/4 at knee high stage and 1/4 at teaselling stage, respectively) to preceding maize and entire dose of N was applied at the time of sowing to succeeding chickpea. A popular and non lodging medium duration maize variety P-3396 and popular desi chickpea JG-11 were used in both the year of study. The data pertaining to soil, weather and yield attributes and yield was collected during crop growth period. Statistical analysis for drymatter partitioning and yield parameters were done following the analysis of variance technique for split and double split design as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Statistical significance was tested by applying F-test at 0.05 level of probability and critical difference (CD) were calculated for those parameters.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Preceding maize**

Sowing of preceding maize during the 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of June registered significantly higher N uptake (115.77 and 108.10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during the first and second years of study, respectively), over sowing in the 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of July only and was on a par with sowing in the 1<sup>st</sup> FN of July. Significantly the lower (98.45 and 93.22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N uptake during first and second year of experimentation, respectively) was

registered by sowing in the 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of July. Increased growth and drymatter accumulation in early sowings might have resulted in the more N uptake by maize. Reduced growth and drymatter resulted the lower N uptake by the kernel. The current findings are in line with those of Maryam *et al.* (2013).

Increase in the N uptake by preceding maize with increase in the nitrogen level was observed. Significantly higher N uptake 105.11 and 104.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was registered by the application of 200 % RDN which was followed by 150 % RDN. Applying 200 % RDN and 150 % RDN were statistically comparable with each other. Applying 100 % RDN recorded 95.04 and 94.72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N uptake during first and second year of the study, respectively and was significantly the lower. Increase in N uptake by preceding maize with increase in the level of nitrogen might be due to the manifestation of elevated level of nitrogen on growth and yield parameters resulting in the superior performance of maize over the lower levels. The positive response of maize at higher levels of nitrogen application could be attributed to the overall improvement in crop growth by accumulating more drymatter and increase in N uptake. The beneficial role of nitrogen in enhancing the N uptake was very well established by different workers like Padmaja et al. (1999), Ker et al. (2006), Singh et al. (2012) and Prathyusha et al. (2014).

Maize sowing during the 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of June recorded significantly higher available soil N uptake (107.88 and 107.11 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during the first and second years of study, respectively), over sowing in the 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of July only and was on a par with sowing in the 1st FN of July. Significantly lower (83.95 and 87.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> available soil N during first and second year of experimentation, respectively) was registered by sowing in the 2<sup>nd</sup> FN of July during both the years of study. This could be due to the higher amount of nutrient availability under favourable climate in addition to the moisture and high nitrogen which caused more availability of soil N to the crop and ultimately resulting more drymatter production, grain and stover yields leading to take higher N up take by the crop led to lower availability of soil N. The current findings are in line with those of Maryam et al. (2013).

#### Succeeding chickpea

Data on nitrogen up take by succeeding chickpea presented in table 1 indicated that nitrogen levels given to preceding maize and succeeding chickpea only could significantly affect the nitrogen up take by chickpea. Neither preceding maize sowing window nor the interaction between maize sowing window and nitrogen applied to preceding maize and *rabi* chickpea could not influence the N uptake by succeeding chickpea.

Significantly the higher N up take by succeeding chickpea was observed when its preceding maize was applied with 200 % RDN that differed significantly from 100 % RDN only during the first and second year of the experimentation. The lower N up take by chickpea was observed in both the years of study when the preceding maize was applied with 100 % of RDN, applying 100 % RDN and 150 % RDN to kharif maize were statistically comparable. Higher available residual nitrogen and more grain yield of chickpea at higher level of nitrogen supply to kharif maize could be the reason for more N up take in rabi chickpea. The current findings are in conformity with those of earlier worker Srikrishna et al. (2004) and Tolanur, S.I. (2009) Nawale et al. (2009), Lingaraju et al. (2010), Jnanesha et al (2012) and Vidyavathi et al. (2012).

Significantly more N up take by chickpea seed was recorded in 100 % RDN applied to *rabi* chickpea during the first and second year of the experimentation. Lower N up take by chickpea seed was observed in control treatment. However, control treatment was statistically comparable with nitrogen application at 50% and 75% RDN to *rabi* chickpea. This could be due to higher grain yield at high N levels. These results are in conformity with the findings of earlier workers Tolanur, S.I. (2009)

#### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that sowing maize during 1<sup>st</sup> FN of July with 200 % RDN followed with 100 % RDN to succeeding chickpea was found higher N uptake both the crops and lower available soil N under maize-chickpea crop sequence.

AAJ 65

Treatments	N Up take (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Available soil N		Grain yield		
Main Plots	ŀ	Kernel	St	tover	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		(Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
Maize sowing window (A)	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14 201	4-15	2013-14 2014-15	5	
2 <sup>st</sup> FN of June	115.77	108.10	108.10	107.13	107.88	107.11	9552.00	9487.00	
1 <sup>nd</sup> FN of July	105.93	98.86	98.86	102.26	99.19	97.44	9348.00	9283.00	
2 <sup>st</sup> FN of July	98.45	93.22	93.22	89.92	83.95	87.88	7771.00	7706.00	
SEm ±	2.94	2.93	3.27	3.84	4.16	4.15	318.94	318.94	
CD (0.05)	11.56	11.49	12.82	15.10	16.34	16.31	1252.31	252.30	
CV (%)	8.25	8.72	9.30	10.51	9.05	9.04	10.76	10.84	
Sub-Plots: Nit	rogen Le	vels (B) ap	plied to m	aize					
100% RDN	100.05	95.04	95.06		90.24	90.10	9477.00	9292.00	
150% RDN	107.00	100.03	100.10	99.80	96.84	97.20	12385.00	12179.00	
200% RDN	113.09	105.11	105.14	104.70	103.93	105.12	12202.00	12112.00	
SEm ±	2.91	1.85	3.47	3.16	4.12	2.63	365.21	385.47	
CD (0.05)	8.97	5.70	10.68	9.74	12.68	8.11	1125.33	1187.73	
CV (%)	8.15	5.51	8.81	8.66	8.95	5.73	9.65	10.30	
Interaction				NS					

Table 1 Nitrogen up take by preceding maize and available soil N

Table 2 Nitrogen u	p take by	succeeding chickpea	and available soil N
	p unit ~j	sacceang emergen	

Treatments	N Up t	ake (kg l	na <sup>-1</sup> ) Available soil N			Grain yield		
Main Plots	Grain		Stover		(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		(Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
Maize sowing	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15
window (A)								
$2^{st}$ FN of June	62.60	57.67	51.03	51.41	139.61	142.50	1335	1325
1 <sup>nd</sup> FN of July	62.08	58.07	51.66	51.5	140.55	144.53	1743	1742
2 <sup>st</sup> FN of July	62.27	56.62	51.60	51.29	139.50	141.53	1550	1539
$SEm \pm$	0.49	0.53	0.52	0.51	1.27	1.33	8.99	12.20
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	27.55	33.52
CV (%)	4.81	6.18	4.81	6.18	8.75	8.84	2.72	3.34
Sub-Plots: Ni	trogen	Levels (]	B) applie	ed to mai	ize			
100% RDN	61.23	56.92	50.78	50.74	138.53	142.27	1405	1399
150% RDN	62.36	57.26	50.95	51.36	139.08	142.62	1477	1472
200% RDN	63.36	58.18	52.55	52.12	142.05	143.66	1749	1735
SEm ±	0.40	0.41	0.53	0.41	2.12	1.20	12.53	24.99
CD (0.05)	1.28	1.27	1.64	1.28	NS	NS	37.90	76.47
CV (%)	6.22	5.03	6.22	5.03	10.74	10.85	4.80	9.70
Sub-Sub plots	: Nitro	gen Lev	els (C) aj	pplied to	chickpe	a		
0 % RDN	61.60	56.61	50.67	50.86	135.78	140.71	1224	1220
50 % RDN	62.23	57.21	51.21	51.27	138.40	141.05	1462	1448
75 % RDN	62.45	57.79	51.30	51.27	142.30	142.26	1637	1629
100 % RDN	62.98	58.19	52.54	52.24	143.07	147.38	1852	1845
SEm±	0.41	0.46	0.6	0.46	2.38	2.28	16.59	27.39
CD (0.05)	1.18	1.29	1.69	1.30	6.80	6.74	49.80	82.20
CV (%)	6.03	4.83	6.03	4.83	4.14	4.03	5.90	9.81
Interaction (A:	xBxC)					NS		

- Gomez K A and A A Gomez 1984 Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2 ed.). John wiley and sons, New York, 680p.
- Jnanesha A C and Alagundagi S C 2012 Integrated nutrient management practices in maize- chickpea sequence cropping under broad bed and furrow in model watershed Dharwad. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 25 (4): 154-157
- Ker P P, Barik K C, Mahapatra P K, Garnayak L M, Rath B S, Bastia D K and Khanda C M 2006 Effect of planting geometry and nitrogen on yield, economics and nitrogen uptake of sweet corn (Zea mays L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy 51 (1): 43-45.
- Lingaraju B S, Parameshwarappa U K, Hulihalli and Basavaraju B 2010 Effect of organics on production and economic feasibility in maizechickpea sequence Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 44 (3): 211-215.
- Maryam Jasemi, Fereshteh Darabi, Rahim Naseri 2013 Effect of Planting Date and Nitrogen Fertilizer Application on Grain Yield and Yield Components in Maize (SC 704). American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci. 13 (7): 914-919.
- Mohankumar R and Hiremath S M 2015 Residual effect of maize hybrids, plant population and fertility levels on performance of chickpea in maizechickpea sequence. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 28 (4): 482-485.

- Nawale S S, Pawar A D, Lambade B M and Ugale N S 2009 Yield maximization of chickpea through INM applied to sorghum-chickpea sequence under irrigated conditions. *Legume Research* 32 (4): 282-285.
- Prathyusha C, Hemalatha S, Sridhar K and Sharana B 2014 Nitrogen response, nutrient uptake by the crop and post harvest soil fertility status in speciality corn as influenced by nitrogen fertilization under pongamia+maize agrisilvi system. International Journal of plant, Animal and Environmental Science 4 (1): 276-279.
- Singh M K, Singh R N and Singh V K 2011 Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on groth yield, quality and nutrient uptake by baby corn (Zea mays L.) Annals of Agricultural Research, New series. 32 (3&4): 93-99.
- **Tolanur S I 2009** Effect of different organic Manures, green manuring and fertilizer nitrogen on yield and uptake of macro nutrients by chickpea in vertisol. *Legume Research* 32 (4):304-306.
- Vidyavathi G S, Dasogh B, Babalad N S, Hebsur S.K, Gali S G Patil and A R Alagawadi 2012 Nutient status of soil under different nutrient and crop manement practices. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science 25 (2): 193-198.

(Received on 21.01.2017 and revised on 20.03.2017)