

Effect of Micronutrients on Urdbean Leaf Crinkle Disease

G Bhavani, V Manoj Kumar, J Krishna Prasadji, Y Ashoka Rani and M Adinarayana Department of Plant Pathology, Agricultural College, Bapatla 522 101, A.P.

ABSTRACT

A green house experiment was conducted with *urdbean* leaf crinkle susceptible variety LBG 623 during *rabi* 2014-15 with a view to alleviating the effects of *Urdbean Leaf Crinkle Virus* (ULCV) in blackgram through foliar application of Borax @ 0.2%, MgSO₄ @ 0.2%, MnSO₄@ 0.2% and ZnSO₄@ 0.2% either alone or in combination with each other. The treatment ZnSO₄ @ 0.2% was found effective in reducing the disease incidence (81.25%) followed by combination treatment of Borax @ 0.2% + MgSO₄ @ 0.2% + MnSO₄@ 0.2% + ZnSO₄@ 0.2% that reduced the disease incidence to 66.67% over unsprayed control (80.0%).

Keywords: Borax, Magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$), Manganese sulphate ($MnSO_4$), ULCV, urdbean, Zinc sulphate ($ZnSO_4$),

Blackgram or urdbean (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) is an important pulse crop grown all over the world. It is a major pulse crop of Andhra Pradesh, largely cultivated in rice fallows during rabi season as a relay crop in Krishna-Godavari and North coastal zones and to some extent during kharif and summer seasons in other parts of the state. Among various diseases infecting urdbean, leaf crinkle disease has become a potential threat to the *urdbean* cultivation, as most of the high yielding cultivars are susceptible to this disease. Blackgram is relatively more susceptible than other pulses to leaf crinkle disease caused by Urdbean Leaf Crinkle Virus (ULCV) (Bashir et al., 2005). The ULCV has been reported to decrease grain yield from 35-81% depending upon genotype and time of infection (Bashir et al., 1991). Improved resistance of crops due to balanced nutrition requires less agro chemicals for plant protection. It is an unfriendly and expensive practice to use chemicals against vector of the disease. This situation demands search for cheaper alternatives for management of plant viruses that can be made available to the small growers as well. The ability of the plant to express its induced resistance to a particular disease is affected by mineral nutrition (Rengel, 1999). Nutrient elements either single or combined application of boron with molybedenum had significant effect in reducing viral diseases in

winter mungbean (Ahmad et al., 1987). Micronutrients play an important role in plant metabolism by affecting the phenolics and lignin content and also membrane stability (Mortvedt et al., 1991). The increased resistance to virus infection, following the application of certain micronutrients has been shown to be associated with the formation of new proteins in treated plants (Gianinazzi and Kassanis, 1974). The PR proteins may be involved in active defence mechanism of plants (Kassanis et al., 1974). Reuveni and Reuveni (1998) suggested that application of nutrients such as Mn, Cu and B can exchange and therefore release Ca2+ cations from cell walls, which interact with salicylic acid and activate systemic acquired resistance mechanisms. Therefore, in order to attain successful management of the ULCV disease, the study was designed to evaluate the micro nutrients management for urdbean leaf crinkle disease.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Agricultural College, Bapatla during *rabi* 2014-15, under green house conditions with variety LBG 623. The experiment comprised of 10 treatments laid out in completely randomized design with four replications. The treatments include T1 = Borax @ 0.2%, T2 = MgSO₄ @ 0.2%, T3 = MnSO₄ @ 0.2%, T4 = ZnSO₄ 0.2%, T5 = Borax @ 0.2% + MgSO₄

(a) 0.2% + MgSO₄ (a) 0.2% + MnSO₄ (a) 0.2% + $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.2%, T9 = Unsprayed control (ULCV buffered sap inoculation without micronutrients) and T10 = Uninoculated control. Ten day old seedlings of blackgram were first inoculated with ULCV sap extract and 24 h later the treatments were administered. Incidence of ULCV was recorded at weekly intervals after micronutrient spray.

ULCV incidence was scored by counting the total number of plants infected in each treatment and per cent disease incidence was calculated by the following formula.

Number of plants infected (PDI) = - $- \times 100$ Total number of plants

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Symptoms of ULCV were observed at 21 days after micronutrient spray (DAMS). In case of micronutrient unsprayed but sap inoculated control (unsprayed control) the disease incidence was 15% and was on par with Borax (0.2%) alone sprayed treatment (15%). In other treatments, disease incidence was significantly lower than that in unsprayed pathogen control while in plants sprayed with $MgSO_4$ @ 0.2%, $MnSO_4$ @ 0.2%, $ZnSO_{A}$ @ 0.2% and Borax @ 0.2% + MnSO_{A} @ 0.2% disease incidence was absent. In combination treatments (except in Borax (a) 0.2% + MnSO₄(a)0.2%), the disease incidence was 6.67% (Table 1).

At 28 DAMS, the disease newly appeared in treatments T2 (MgSO₄ @ 0.2%), T3 (MnSO₄ (a) 0.2%) and T6 (Borax (a) 0.2% + MnSO₄ (a)0.2%) with an incidence of 15.00, 16.67 and 13.33%, respectively but the treatment T4 (ZnSO₄ @ 0.2%) showed no ULCV incidence. At 35 DAMS, significantly the lowest disease incidence was observed with $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.2% alone spraying (10.00%) followed by combined spraying of Borax $(a) 0.2\% + MgSO_{A} (a) 0.2\% + MnSO_{A} (a) 0.2\% +$ $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.2% (13.33%) and $MnSO_4$ @ 0.2% (30.00%). This shows that $ZnSO_4$ alone @ 0.2% spraying showed recession of ULCV up to 34 days after spraying and the disease initiated at 35 DAMS.

Increase in disease incidence was observed from 35 (10.00%) to 42 DAMS (15.00%) in ZnSO₄@ 0.2% spraying and disease incidence remained static up to 56 DAMS (Table 1).

At 56 DAMS, the lowest disease incidence was observed in $ZnSO_4$ @ 0.2% alone (15.00%) sprayed pots followed by combined treatment of Borax (a) 0.2% + MgSO₄ (a) 0.2% + MnSO₄ (a) $0.2\% + ZnSO_{4} @ 0.2\%$ (26.67%) and Borax alone @ 0.2% (41.67%). The treatments $MnSO_{4}$ @ 0.2%, Borax @ 0.2% + ZnSO₄ @ 0.2%, Borax @ $0.2\% + MgSO_{4} @ 0.2\% MgSO_{4} @ 0.2\%, Borax @$ $0.2\% + MnSO_{4}$ @ 0.2% recorded 51.67%, 53.33%, 55.00%, 60.00%, 61.67% of incidence, respectively. The maximum disease incidence (80.00%) of ULCV was found in unsprayed control where no micronutrient was applied (Table 1).

Spraying $ZnSO_4$ (*a*) 0.2% alone at 24 hours after inoculation delayed the expression of ULCV up to 34 days after spraying. Incubation period of ULCV in $ZnSO_{4}$ @ 0.2% treatment ranged from 34 to 41 days with highest per cent disease reduction of ULCV over control (81.25%). Disease reduction with single or combined application of zinc sulphate was reported by Lokeshbabu (1997); Bobade et al. (2009); Irshad et al. (2012); and Zeshan et al. (2012).

It was found that single foliar application of $ZnSO_{A}$ @ 0.2% showed more disease reduction compared to combined application of ZnSO₄ with other micronutrients. Similar results were reported by Jones and Woltz (1970) where combination of Fe + Mn + Zn increased Fusarium wilt of tomato incited by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici. Pramanik and Ali (2001) reported that combined application of $S + B (H_2BO_2) + Mo (Na_2MoO_2)$. 2H₂O) showed the highest disease incidence of yellow mosaic than single application of each micronutrient. Tengoua et al. (2014) reported maximum incidence of basal stem rot disease of oil palm when B (Na₂B₄O₇. 5H₂O), Cu (CuSO₄. 5H₂O) and Mn (MnSO₄. H₂O) were applied in triple combination. The variations showed that the combination of micronutrients increased the disease and needs to be further studied.

LITERATURE CITED

Ahmad B Y, Sharif F M and Sarhan A R T 1987

Effect of certain micro-nutrients on Fusarium wilt of tomato. Journal of Agriculture and Water Resistant Plant Protection. 6: 13-28.

TI: Borax (a) 0.2% alone 21 DAMS 38 DAMS 35 DAMS 41 67 41 67 T2: MgSO ₄ (a) 0.2% alone 15.00* 20.00 38.33 41 67 41.67 T2: MgSO ₄ (a) 0.2% alone (25.55) ^b (38.22) ^d (40.18) ^d (40.18) ^d T3: MnSO ₄ (a) 0.2% alone (0.00)° (25.65) ^d (43.03) ^b (48.82) ^b (50.75) ^b T3: MnSO ₄ (a) 0.2% alone (0.00)° (22.69) ^d (40.18) ^d (40.18) ^d (40.18) ^d T3: MnSO ₄ (a) 0.2% alone (0.00)° (23.33) (41.67) (45.75) ^d (45.94) ^c T3: Borax (a) 0.2% + MnSO ₄ (0.00)° (23.65) ^d (33.16) ^c (43.50) ^d (45.94) ^c T6: Borax (a) 0.2% + MnSO ₄ (14.96) ^b (28.82) ^b (43.06) ^d (43.26) ^d (45.91) ^c T6: Borax (a) 0.2% + MnSO ₄ (0.00) ^c (21.41) ^d (40.18) ^d (45.91) ^c (45.91) ^c (a) 0.2% (a) 0.2% + MnSO ₄ (4.96) ^b (28.57) ^b (4.02) ^g (4.09) ^g (a) 0.2% + ZnSO ₄ (6.67 8.33 <th>Per cent disease incidence recorded at weekly intervals</th>	Per cent disease incidence recorded at weekly intervals
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Unsprayed control (70) [S 56 DAMS
$ \begin{array}{rcrcrc} (22.69)^a & (26.55)^{bc} & (38.22)^d & (40.18)^d \\ 0.00^c & 15.00 & 48.33 & 56.67 \\ 0.000^c & (22.69)^d & (44.03)^b & (48.82)^b \\ 0.000^c & (0.00)^c & (18.18)^f & (22.69)^f \\ 6.67 & 30.00 & 10.00 & 15.00 \\ 0.000^c & (0.00)^c & (18.18)^f & (22.69)^f \\ 6.67 & 23.33 & 41.67 & 48.33 \\ (14.96)^b & (23.82)^b & (43.06)^{bc} & (44.02)^c \\ (14.96)^b & (21.41)^d & (40.18)^{cd} & (43.06)^{cd} \\ (14.96)^b & (22.55)^{bc} & 43.07)^{bc} & (45.95)^{bc} \\ 6.67 & 20.00 & 46.67 & 51.67 \\ (14.96)^b & (16.57)^c & (21.41)^f & (31.08)^c \\ 6.67 & 8.33 & 13.33 & 26.67 \\ (14.96)^b & (16.57)^c & (21.41)^f & (31.08)^c \\ 6.67 & 8.33 & 13.33 & 26.67 \\ (14.96)^b & (16.57)^c & (21.41)^f & (31.08)^c \\ 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\ 0.000^c & (0.00)^t & (0.00)^8 & (0.00)^8 \\ 0.29 & 0.48 & 0.61 & 0.65 \\ 0.83 & 1.38 & 1.76 & 1.89 \\ 0.23 & 0.33 & 7.2 & 6.98 \end{array} $	41.67 47.92
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	d (40.18) ^d
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	60.00 25.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	b (50.75) ^b
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	51.67 35.42
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)° (45.94)°
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.00 81.25
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$) ^f (22.69) ^f
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	55.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	l ^{bc} (47.86) ^c 31.25
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	61.67
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 3.33 33.33
$ \begin{array}{rrrrr} 6.67 & 8.33 & 13.33 & 26.67 \\ (14.96)^{b} & (16.57)^{e} & (21.41)^{f} & (31.08)^{e} \\ 15.00 & 41.67 & 70.00 & 73.33 \\ (22.69)^{a} & (40.18)^{a} & (56.89)^{a} & (58.97)^{a} \\ (22.69)^{a} & (40.18)^{a} & (56.89)^{a} & (58.97)^{a} \\ 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\ 0.000^{e} & (0.00)^{f} & (0.00)^{g} & (0.00)^{g} \\ 0.29 & 0.48 & 0.61 & 0.65 \\ 0.29 & 0.48 & 0.61 & 0.65 \\ 0.29 & 0.23 & 7.2 & 6.98 \\ 12.75 & 9.23 & 7.2 & 6.98 \\ \end{array} $)° (46.90)°
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26.67 66.67
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \operatorname{IIOO_4(@0.2.70} \\ \operatorname{IControl} & 15.00 & 41.67 & 70.00 & 73.33 \\ \operatorname{ated with virus} & (22.69)^a & (40.18)^a & (56.89)^a & (58.97)^a & (\\ \operatorname{ated control} & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\ \operatorname{Iated control} & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\ & 0.29 & 0.48 & 0.61 & 0.65 \\ & 0.29 & 0.48 & 0.61 & 0.65 \\ & 0.83 & 1.38 & 1.76 & 1.89 \\ & 12.75 & 9.23 & 7.2 & 6.98 \\ \end{array} $)° (31.08)°
IControl 15.00 41.67 70.00 73.33 ated with virus) $(22.69)^a$ $(40.18)^a$ $(56.89)^a$ $(58.97)^a$ $(22.69)^a$ $(0.00)^c$ $(0.00)^c$ $(0.00)^c$ $(0.00)^c$ $(0.00)^c$ $(0.00)^c$ $(0.00)^c$ $(0.00)^c$ (0.29) 0.48 0.61 0.65 (0.83) 1.38 1.76 1.89 12.75 9.23 7.2 6.98	
ated with virus) $(22.69)^a$ $(40.18)^a$ $(56.89)^a$ $(58.97)^a$ (lated control 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 $(0.00)^c$ $(0.00)^{\sharp}$ $(0.00)^{\sharp}$ $(0.00)^{\sharp}$ 0.29 0.48 0.61 $0.650.83$ 1.38 1.76 $1.8912.75$ 9.23 7.2 6.98	
lated control 0.00 <th< td=""><td>$)^{a}$ (63.41)^a</td></th<>	$)^{a}$ (63.41) ^a
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0.44
Mean of four replications Date of Sowing:	Date of Sowing: 23-01-2015
arcsine transformed values	Date of ULCV Sap Inoculation: 02-02-2015

Table 1. Effect of micronutrients on incidence of ULCV

- Bashir M, Mughal S M and Malik B A 1991
 Assessment of yield losses due to Leaf Crinkle Virus in urdbean, Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 23: 140-142.
- Bashir M, Zahoor A and Ghafoor A 2005 Sources of genetic resistance in *mungbean* and blackgram against Urdbean Leaf Crinkle Virus. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 37: 47-51.
- Bobade A, Chaurasiya A and Katare S 2009 Study on testing the role of micronutrients on chlorotic mottle (geminivirus) disease development in frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *International Journal of Plant Protection*. 2: 12-14.
- **Gianinazzi S and Kassanis B 1974** Virus resistance induced in plants by polyacrylic acid. *Journal of General Virology*.23:1-9.
- Irshad M, Abbas G, Aslam Z, Abbas Z, Aslam M, Bukhash K M and Amer M 2012 Nutrient management for Cotton Leaf Curl Virus (CLCV), Begomovirus. Crop and Environment. 31: 32-6.
- Jones J P and Woltz S S 1970 Fusarium wilt of tomato: Interaction of soil liming and micronutrient amendment on disease development. *Phytopathology*. 6: 812-813.
- Kassanis B, Gianinazzi S and White R F 1974 A possible explanation of the resistance of virus infected tobacco plants to second infection. *Journal of General Virology*. 25: 323-324.

- Lokeshbabu A 1997 Studies on pathophysiology and induction of resistance to leaf crinkle disease in blackgram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper]. M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis. Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, India.
- Mortvedt J J, Cox F R, Shuman L M and Welch R M 1991 Micronutrients in Agriculture. 2nd edition, Soil Science Society of America Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. pp. 329–370.
- Pramanik B K and Ali M A 2001 Cultural and nutritional management of yellow mosaic in winter *mungbean*. *Pakistan Journal* of Biological Sciences. 4: 59-62.
- Rengel Z 1999 Mineral Nutrition of Crops: Fundamental Mechanisms and Implications. Food products press, New York. pp. 205-226.
- **Reuveni R and Reuveni M 1998** Foliar-fertilizer therapy - a concept in integrated pest management. *Crop Protection*. 17: 111– 118.
- Tengoua F F, Hanafi M M, Idris A S, Jugah K, Azwa J N M, Hasmah M and Omar S R S 2014 Effect of micronutrients-enriched fertilizers on basal stem rot disease incidence and severity on oil palm (*Elaeis* guineensis Jacq.) seedlings. American Journal of Applied Sciences. 11: 1841-1859.
- Zeshan M A, Ali S, Khan M A and Sahi S T 2012 Role of nutrients and naphthalene acetic acid in the management of *Urdbean Leaf Crinkle Virus*. *Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology*. 24: 79-81.

(Received on 01.12.2016 and revised on 25.01.2018)