

Soil Physico-Chemical Properties, available Nutrient Status of Agricultural College Farm, Naira

P Gurumurthy, D Srinivas, CYasmin and A Upendra Rao

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Naira

ABSTRACT

Soil Physico-chemical properties and available nutrient status of soils in different block of Agricultural college farm was investigated. Soil samples were collected at two depths viz., 3 surface samples (0-15cm) and 3 subsurface samples (15-30cm) in each from 9 blocks, constituting a total of 54 soil samples. The results of the study revealed that the soil texture ranged from sandy loam to clay, soil reaction was slightly acidic to alkaline. The soils were non-saline. Soil organic carbon (SOC), available nitrogen (N) contents were low, available phosphorus (P₂O₅) content was low to medium, available potassium (K₂O) and sulphur (S) contents were medium to high. Available Zinc (Zn) and Iron (Fe) contents were deficient to sufficient. Exchangeable calcium and magnesium was relatively higher in E- block compared to other blocks. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) ranged from 6.35 to 16.33 Cmol/kg. Soil clay, CEC, and pH values were relatively higher in subsurface compared to surface. SOC, available nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, sulphur, Zn and iron values were higher in surface soils than in subsurface. Nitrogen, phosphorous sulphur, zinc and iron deficiencies were more pronounced in sub-surface. Significant positive correlation was noticed between percent clay content with available N (r = 0.316^{**}), available P₂O₅ (r = 0.234^{*}), available K₂O (r = 0.473^{**}), available Sulphur (r = 0.249^{*}). The organic carbon content was positively correlated with available N ($r = 0.456^{**}$), available P₂O₅ ($r = 0.578^{**}$), available $K_2O(r = 0.211^*)$ and available sulphur (r = 0.298*) while available phosphorous, was negatively correlated with soil pH. The variations in soil properties and nutrient status within the blocks and among the blocks indicate the need for employing integrated and soil test based site specific nutrient management particularly for sustainable productivity.

Keywords: Available macronutrients, Available sulphur, Physico-chemical properties, Iron, Zinc.

Soil fertility is one of the factors that controls yield of agricultural crops and sustainability of agricultural production. It is the nutrient pool that crop plants utilize for their growth and development. Soil quality may be affected by soil type and agriculture management practices like cropping systems because these may cause alteration in land productivity (Islam and Wali, 2000). Forms and availability of nutrients in soils, their movement and uptake by plant roots and the utilization of nutrients within plants are closely related (Foth and Ellis, 1997). Spatial heterogeneity in soil properties might arise as a result of the differences in elevation, cropping systems and crop management despite under the same land use type (Wen-bin *et al.*, 2007). Without maintaining soil fertility, it is not possible to increase of agricultural production and quality of agricultural produce. In the last few decades, the studies on soil nutrients have become an important topic of research. Similarly, available nutrients were also studied in the soils of Chiraigaon block of Varanasi district in relation to soil characteristics and found vast difference among nutrients (Singh and Mishra 2012). The information on soil physic-chemical properties, available macro nutrients and sulphur status of soils in Agriucltural College Farm, Naira has not been studied so far. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to determine soil physic-chemical properties and available plant nutrient status in the soils of College Farm, Agricultural College, Naira. The study helps in understanding the future scope of nutrient management in the study area.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Agricultural College Farm, Naira (83°56.095 to 83°56.993 E and 18023.045 to 18º26.988N) comprises red, black and associate soils in moderately sloppy terrain of rainfed uplands to irrigated low lands. Major soil types of study area were red sandy loams on rainfed uplands, reddish yellow soils situated in upper elevations and medium black soils and deep black soils on irrigated low lands situated in lower elevations. The entire 250 acres land was devided to 9 blocks viz., A, A₁, B, C, D, D₁, E, F, G based on convenience. The location map of study area and block wise distribution was presented in figure 1. The climate belongs to semi-arid monsoon type with alternate wet and dry seasons as evidenced by past one decade meteorological data from 2008 to 2017. The mean annual temperature and rain fall were 26.48°C, 982.7mm, respectively.

Soil sample collection

A total of 54 soil samples were collected at two depths viz., 3 surface samples (0- 15cm) and 3 subsurface samples (15-30cm) in each of 9 blocks, constituting a total of 54 soil samples. Soil sampling was done during April, 2019 with the help of core sampler which comprises of volume 753.6 cm³.

Laboratory analysis

Patricle size analysis was carried out by Bouyoucos hydrometer method as described by Piper (1966). The pH and EC of soil sample was determined in 1:2.5 soil- water suspensions with the help of glass electrode pH meter as described by Jackson (1973). Organic carbon (OC) was determined by rapid titration method given Walkley and Black (1934). Cation exchange capacity (CEC), Calciun carbonate (CaCO₃) was analysed by adopting procedure as utlined by Jackson (1973). Available nitrogen (N) was determined by alkaline permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956) and available phosphorous (P_2O_5) was determined by Olsen and Sommers (1982). The available potassium $(K_{2}O)$ was analysed by extraction with l N ammonium acetate at pH7 (Jackson, 1973) and available sulphur (S) was determined turbidimetrically using barium chloride (Chesnin and Yein, 1951). The exchangeable calcium and magnesium were extracted with neutral normal ammonium acetate and the contents determined by versanate method (Richards, 1954). The available Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu were extracted with DTPA-TEA buffer (0.005 M DTPA+ 0.01M CaC12 + O.IM TEA pH 7.3) as described by Lindsay and Norvell (1978). The relationship between micronutrients and physico-chemical properties was computed by simple correlation and stepwise regression analysis (Panse and Sukhatme 1967).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION Size distribution soil particles

Relatively higher proportions of sand particles with mean value of 72.08% was associated with surface horizon of A1 block and lower mean values of 51.67 was found in sub-surface horizon of E-block. High proportions of mean clay (33.11%) was found in sub-surface layer of E-block and lower mean clay content of 17.96% was in surface horizon of A1block. In general the clay content was more in subsurface soils than surface soil indicating more weathering in subsurface due to presence of moisture longer periods compared to surface soil (Geethasireesha and Naidu, 2013). Further elluviation of fine clay with percolating rainwater may also contributing for the higher clay in the subsoil than surface soil.

Soil pH, EC, OC and CaCO₃

Perusal of the data (Table 1) represents that pH in all 9 blocks varied form slightly neutral to moderately alkaline. The mean pH values ranged from 6.88 to 8.25. In general, the soil pH was relatively higher in subsoils compared to surface soil. Presence of free calcium carbonate in subsoil caused higher pH values in subsoil. (Regmi and Zoebisch 2004). The soils of all nine blocks in study area were found nonsaline in nature with lowest mean EC value of 0.51dS/ m in surface of G-block and highest in subsurface of E-block. The higher EC in E-block might be due to poor drainage conditions as the block is low-lying area (Kiflu and Beyene 2013). The mean organic carbon content of the farm ranged from low to medium in surface soil and low in subsurface soil and showed a conspicuous variation between surface and subsurface soil layers. The surface soil layers recorded higher organic carbon compared to subsurface layers. High mean SOC value of 6.13 g kg⁻¹ was recorded in surface soil of E-block and lower mean value of 2.66 g kg⁻¹ in subsurface of B-block. Addition of organic manures and incorporation in surface soil have contributed for higher SOM in surface soil compared to subsurface soil. The results are in agreement with the findings of Najar et al., (2009).

Available Nitrogen

The mean available N content ranged between 68- 206 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). In general, the available N content of surface soils was more than subsoil. The trends of N content among different blocks and within the blocks was variable. Among the blocks, E-block recorded relatively higher available mean N and Fblock recorded lower available mean N contents. It is attributed due to high OM and overall high turnout of N during decomposition (Yihenew *et al.*, 2015).

Available Phosphorus

The mean available phosphorous in the soils is low to medium, varied from 11.3 to 42 kg ha⁻¹ with trend of higher available P in surface soil and lower P in subsurface soil. The range is considerably large which might be due to variation in soil properties viz., pH, organic carbon content, texture, calcium carbonate and land use practices (Sachan and Deekasha Krishna, 2018) Application of phosphatic fertilizers to crops might have resulted in the increase of Pin soils (Woldeamlak and Stroosnijder, 2003 and Gebeyaw (2007) and addition of crop residues and manures to surface soil caused the release of organic anions on decomposition and form chelates with Fe and Al and make restricted P fixation and increase P availability. The results are in conformity with the studies of Najar et al., (2009).

Available Potassium

The available K ranged between 145- 363 kg ha⁻¹ with trend of relatively higher mean values in surface soil compared to subsurface soil. Himabindu and Gurumurthy (2018) found available potassium in medium range in majority of the sites of Thotapalli irrigation project ayacut of Srikakulamdistrict. However, due to differences in cropping paterns, the K content varied in soils. The results are similar with the findings of Singh *et al.* (2012).

Available Sulphur

The mean available Sulphur content ranged from 6.3 to 16.9 mg kg⁻¹. The deficiency of available sulphur was recorded in subsurface soil, however the available S in surface soil is medium to high range. Available sulphur was positively and significantly correlated with organic carbon (r = 0.298*) whereas, negatively and non-significantly correlated with EC (r= -0.169) and pH (r = -0.093) and this might be due to facts that with increase in organic matter in soil, the clay-humus complex become more active thereby providing more exchangeable sites and access to sulphur. These results are in same lines to those of Dipali Desai *et al.*, (2018) and Rajput *et al.* (2015).

Available micronutrients

The mean available Zn varied from 0.19 to 0.89 mg kg⁻¹. In general, the available Zn content is relatively high in surface soil and low in subsurface. The higher content of available Zn in surface horizons might be due to higher organic carbon addition through crop residues and decreased with soil depth (Dhane and Shukla 1995 and Setia and Sharma 2004). Further the mean available Zn content was found high in E-block which might be due to relatively fine texture of the E-block compared to other blocks. SSingh et al (2012) also reported higher Zn content in fine textured soils than coarse textured soils. The subsoil available Zn was found less than critical value of 0.6 mg kg⁻¹. The available Zn was positively correlated with organic carbon ($r=0.442^{**}$)) and clay content $(r=0.531^{**})$ and negatively correlated with pH (r=- 0.355^{**}) and calcium carbonate (r= -0.331**).

The available Fe ranged from 4.51 to 9.14 mg kg⁻¹. In general, the available Fe content is relatively high in surface soil and low in subsurface. The surface horizons contained relatively more available iron than sub-surface horizons, which is

ascribed to the presence of relatively more organic carbon in the surface horizons. The organic carbon due to its affinity to influence the solubility and availability of iron by chelation effect that might have protected the iron from oxidation and precipitation which consequently increased the availability of iron (Prasad and Sakal, 1991 and Thangasaamy et al., 2005). The subsoil mean available Fe of E and G blocks was found less than critical value of 4.5 mg kg⁻¹. The variation in the available iron content is also influenced by soil reaction, organic matter and calcium carbonate content The available Fe was positively correlated with organic carbon (r=0.411**) and clay content (r=0.355**) and negatively correlated with pH (r= -0.322**) and calcium carbonate (r= -0.383**)..

Correlation

In general, pH show significant correlation with nutrients like macro and micronutrients (Kozak et al, 2005). Perusal of the data in table 5 showed significant negative correlation between percent sand and N (r = -0.237^*), P₂O₅ (r = -0.264^*), K₂O (r = -0.361**). Further, significant positive correlation was noticed between percent clay and available N (r = 0.366^{**}), P₂O₅ (r = 0.234^{*}), K₂O (r = 0.473^{**}), S $(r = 0.249^*)$. The findings are in support of Sharma et al., (2013) who reported as significant positive correlations between clay percent and macronutrients. Significant negative correlation was found between pH and available N (r = -0.372^{**}), available P₂O₅ (r = -0.422**), available Zn (-0.355**) and available Fe (-0.322**). The organic carbon showed positive significant correlation with available N ($r = 0.456^{**}$), $P_2O_5(r = 0.578^{**})$, $K_2O(r = 0.211^{*})$ and S (r = 0.298^*). Negative significant correlation of CaCO₂, with N (r : -0.174*), P_2O_5 (r = -387*), S (r = -0.182*), Zn (-0.331**) and Fe (-0.383**).

Naira
ge Farm,
Colle
\mathbf{O}
Agricultural
l
н.
S
S
f
l properties o
al
chemic
Ĭ
Physico
i.
e
p
a
E

ock	15-30cm		54-72	61.33		Oct-16	11.33		15-26	21.18		6.71-8.93	8.25		0.21-1.38	0.65		2.50-4.20	3.2		8.75-15.50	12.5		1.30-6.30	3.87
Gbl	0 –15cm		57-75	64.5		Aug-15	10.21		13-25	18.82		6.45-8.53	8.05		0.14-1.22	0.51		3.34-5.11	4.28		7.15-14.30	11.82		0-4.81	2.33
ock	15-30cm		51-65	58.4		Sep-17	12.54		15-27	20.58		7.22-8.82	7.65		0.21-1.26	0.68		2.10-3.65	2.82		8.75-12.35	9.21		0-1.30	1
F bld	0 –15cm		55-71	62.15		Sep-16	11.72		15-25	19.2		7.05-8.26	7.48		0.18-1.26	0.6		3.20-8.80	4.65		6.55-12.35	8.05			1
ock	15-30cm		40-55	51.67		Sep-16	13.05		23-47	33.11		7.48-8.55	7.96		0.27-1.41	86.0		3.20-4.50	3.38		14.25- 26.33	19.41		1.30-3.4	1.77
Eble	0 –15cm		44-62	54.48		Aug-15	11.5		19-39	32.48		7.35-8.23	7.81		0.25-1.28	0.91		4.65-10.50	6.13		10.46- 21.45	16.33		0-1.30	
lock	15-30cm		55-67	61.33		Sep-18	12.25		19-28	23.1		7.04-7.88	7.37		0.19-1.33	0.68		2.10-3.65	2.82		6.22-10.40	7.92		0-1.30	I
D1 b	0 –15cm		58-70	64.2		Jun-18	10.21		17-26	22.15		6.81-7.55	7.23		0.16-1.48	0.64		3.20-8.50	4.71		6.95-9.60	7.54			1
ock	15-30cm		52-75	60.33		Aug-16	12		19-28	22.33		6.69-7.92	7.38		0.24-1.40	0.66		270-4.80	3.1		6.22-9.60	8.81		0-1.30	ı
D 61	0 –15cm		55-77	63.18		Aug-14	11.28		17-26	20.94		6.35-7.22	7.02		0.18-1.25	0.68		3.68-7.17	4.82		5.18-9.60	8.25			,
ock	15-30cm		51-63	58.13		Nov-14	12.18		19-24	21.5		5.48-7.59	7.18		0.28-1.51	0.71		3.20-4.80	2.95		6.55-11.31	8.14		1.30-2.9	1.77
Cblo	0 –15cm		54-68	61.24		Oct-15	9.64		19-23	20.12		5.15-7.36	6.88		0.26-1.30	0.62		3.20-10.33	5.25		6.55-9.60	7.82			I
ock	15-30cm (48-68	60.25		Aug-18	12.33		Dec-28	20.33		6.53-8.40	7.66		0.19-1.05	0.55		2.70-3.65	2.66		5.33-9.60	6.78		0.0-3.4	0.67
B blo	0 –15cm		51-71	63.44		Aug-16	11.64		Nov-25	19.52		6.05-7.53	7.14		0.23- 1.48	0.59		3.20-7.39	4.23		4.65-9.60	6.35		0-1.30	T
lock	15-30cm		60-80	69.48		Jul-15	9.24		13-26	19.48		6.12-8.19	7.58		0.21-1.49	89:0		2.10-3.65	2.82		7.15-9.60	8.14		2.60-4.81	3.15
$A_1 b$	0 –15cm		62-82	72.08		Jun-15	8.84		Dec-24	17.96		5.83-7.39	7.1		0.16-1.33	0.71		2.91-7.60	4.38		6.55-12.35	9.11		0.0-1.30	0.33
ock	15-30cm		53-76	70.33		Jun-13	9.33		15-24	19.3		6.58-8.43	7.48		0.25-1.38	0.87		2.10-4.50	3.08		6.22-11.31	9.33		0.0-3.4	0.82
A bi	0-15cm		57-78	71.52		06-Nov	8.52		13-23	18.08		6.22-7.76	7.07		0.23-1.84	0.81		3.21-8.05	5.08		5.18-9.60	8.46		1	ı
Soil property	Soil depth (cm)	Sand (%)	Range	Mean	Silt (%)	Range	Mean	Clay (%)	Range	Mean	pH(1:2)	Range	Mean	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	Range	Mean	0.C (g kg- 1)	Range	Mean	CEC (mol/kg)	Range	Mean	CaCO3 (%)	Range	Mean

Naira
Farm,
College
ultural (
fAgric
tatus of
trient s
acronu
ble M
Availa
Table 2.

block B block C block D block D1 block E block E block 15-30 cm 15-30 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 45-105 75-245 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 75 139 105 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 10.5-25 15.6-58.2 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 14.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 1 10.5-25 15.6-58.2 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 1 2.5 1.06 1.08 10.5-255 15.6-25.0 17.5-40.5 15.6-25.0 14.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 1 2.5.3 15.2 1 2.5.3 15.2 2.5.3	block B block C block D block D1 block E block E block F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 15-30 cm 132 15 132 15 15 15 15 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 132 14	block B block C block D block D block E block E block F block F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 15-30 cm 0 45-105 75-245 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 100-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 0 75 139 105 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 132 68 66 68 66-150 105-310 132 68 1 56-120 105-310 132 68 1 56-120 105 16 56-120 105 132 68 88 26 18 1 2 2 68 12 2 1 1 1 1 1
lock C block D block D ₁ block E block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 105 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 1 8.8-22.5 16.2-375 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 15.6-25.0 14.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 1 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 15.6-25.0 14.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 1 12.7 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 15.2 1 110-310 164-360 130-310 145-326 145-326 145-310 1 15.2 <td>lock C block D block D1 block E block E block F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 1 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 105 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 132 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 132 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 132 12.7 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 110-310 164-360 130-310 145-320 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 23.4 23.4 23.4<td>lock C block D block D₁ block E block F block F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 1 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 1 105 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 132 68 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 1 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 1 12.7 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 <!--</td--></td></td>	lock C block D block D1 block E block E block F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 1 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 105 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 132 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 132 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 132 12.7 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 110-310 164-360 130-310 145-320 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 23.4 23.4 23.4 <td>lock C block D block D₁ block E block F block F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 1 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 1 105 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 132 68 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 1 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 1 12.7 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 <!--</td--></td>	lock C block D block D ₁ block E block F block F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 1 45-160 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 1 105 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 132 68 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 1 8.8-22.5 16.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 1 12.7 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 </td
C block D block D1 block E block -15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 15-30 cm 0 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 6.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 15.6-25.0 14.5-32.0 75-18.0 1 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 15.2 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 15.2 164-360 130-310 145-326 95-280 135-380 110-255 160-415 145-310 256 208 238 145 251 164 288 248	C block D block D1 block E block E block F block -15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 1 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 1 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 132 6.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 14.5-32.0 17.5-40.5 132 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 6.4-360 130-310 14.5-326 15.5-280 17.5-40.5 16.4-360 17.5-40.5 16.4-360 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 17.5-40.5 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 15.5 22.4 15.5 25.4	C block D block D1 block E block F block F block -15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 1 83-250 56-80 76-250 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 0 183 75 134 87 172 92 206 108 132 68 1 6.2-37.5 10.5-25.0 19.3-47.4 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 15.2 5.2 1 8.8-22.5 1 42 15.2 38.8 11.3 24.7 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 14.8 14.8 66-360 130-310 145-310 145-310 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 1 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8 14.8
	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
		lock D_1 block E block F block F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0 56-150 120-230 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 87 172 92 206 108 132 68 7.5-15.0 175-40.5 15.6-25.0 14.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 1 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 14.8 1 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 14.8 1 7.5-15.0 17.5-40.5 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 14.8 1 7.5-15.0 17.5 25.3 15.2 22.4 14.8 1 1 1 7.5-15.0 17.5 25.3 15.2 23.4 14.8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
block E block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 56-120 105-310 83-150 92 206 108 92 206 108 16.4.5 32.0 7.5-18.0 18.1 25.3 15.2 18.1 25.3 15.2 10-255 160-415 145-310 164 288 248	block E block F block I5-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 1 56-120 105-310 83-150 97-210 1 92 206 108 132 1 1 92 206 108 132 1 1 1 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 1	ckk E block F block $15-30 \text{ cm}$ $0-15 \text{ cm}$ $15-30 \text{ cm}$ $15-30 \text{ cm}$ $56-120$ $0-15 \text{ cm}$ $15-30 \text{ cm}$ $56-120$ $56-120$ $105-310$ $83-150$ $97-210$ $56-120$ 92 206 108 132 68 108 92 206 108 132 68 118 13.2 13.2 $8.8-22.5$ 118.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 14.8 18.1 25.3 15.2 22.4 14.8 $110-255$ $160-415$ $145-310$ $126-360$ $126-310$ 1164 288 248 242 215 116
E block -15 cm 15-30 cm 105-310 83-150 206 108 206 108 14.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 25.3 15.2 160-415 145-310 288 248	E block F block -15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 1 105-310 83-150 97-210 1 206 108 132 132 205 108 132 132 206 108 132 132 206 108 132 132 14.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 25.3 15.2 22.4 160-415 145-310 126-360 288 248 242	E block F block -15 cm 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 105-310 83-150 97-210 56-120 206 108 132 68 205 108 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 14.5-32.0 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 8.8-22.5 25.3 15.2 22.4 14.8 160-415 145-310 126-360 126-310 288 248 242 215
kck 15-30 cm 0 83-150 108 108 15.2 15.2 145-310 145-310	cdk F blo 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 1 83-150 97-210 1 83-150 17.5-40.5 1 7.5-18.0 17.5-40.5 1 15.2 22.4 1 145-310 126-360 1 248 242 2	tck F block 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 15-30 cm 83-150 97-210 56-120 83-150 97-210 56-120 7.5-18.0 132 68 108 132 68 108 132 68 108 132 68 15.2 22.4 14.8 15.2 22.4 14.8 15.3 126-360 126-310 248 242 215
	F blo -15 cm 1 97-210 132 132 132 22.4 22.4 242 242	F block -15 cm 15-30 cm 97-210 56-120 132 68 132 68 132 68 132 68 132 68 132 68 132 68 132 68 132 68 132 14.8 22.4 14.8 126-360 126-310 242 215
ck G blo 15-30 cm 0-15 cm 1 56-120 115-210 68 68 140 8 8.8-22.5 15.6-42.8 1 14.8 23.7 1 14.8 23.7 1 126-310 225-360 2 215 228 2	G bio -15 cm 1 115-210 140 140 140 23.7 23.7 23.7 23.8 23.7 23.8 23.7 23.8 23.7 23.8 23.7 23.8 23.7 23.8 23.7 23.8 23.7 23.8 24.8 2	

Table 3. Relationship between available macro nutrients and soil physico-chemical properties of 'r' in Agricultural College Farm, Naira.

CaCO ₃	-0.136	-0.387	-0.177	-0.108	-0.331	-0.383
OC	0.456^{**}	0.578**	0.211*	0.298*	0.242^{*}	0.055
EC	-0.156	-0.194	-0.138	-0.169	0.188^{**}	0.136**
Hq	-0.372**	-0.422**	-0.102	-0.093	-0.355**	-0.322**
Clay (%)	0.361^{**}	0.234^{*}	0.473**	0.249^{*}	0.531**	0.411^{**}
Sand (%)	-0.237*	-0.264*	-0.361**	-0.186	-0.415**	-0.398**
Available nutrient	Nitrogen	Phosphorous	Potassium	Sulphur	Calcium	Magnesium

*correlation is significant at P=0.05 level; **correlation is significant at P= 0.01 level.



CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the soils of Agricultural College, Naira had covariation in soil physic-chemical properties and nutrient contents among the nine blocks and within the blocks. Nitrogen, phosphorus, zinc and iron management needs more attention. The results of the investigation suggest that there is a need of intervention for integrated nutrient management based on soil test crop response value and site specific nutrient management for sustainable soil productivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are highly grateful to Acharya N.G.Ranga Agricultural University for providing necessary facility to cary oout this research work. Thanks are due to the Associate Dean, Agricultural college, Naira for providing laboratory facilities to analyze soil samples.

LITERTURE CITED

- Chesnin L and Yien C H 1951 Turbidimetric determination of available sulphate. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 15:149-151
- Dipali D, B T Patel, Neha Chaudhary and Praveen Thakur 2018 Status of available sulphur and cationic micronutrients in cultivated soils of Banaskantha district of Gujarat. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 52 (2): 203-206
- Foth H D and Ellis B G 1997 Soil fertility, 2nd Ed. Lewis CRC Press LLC. USA. 290 p.
- Gebeyaw T 2007 Soil fertility status as influenced by different land uses in Maybar areas of south wello zone, North Ethiopia. M.Sc Thesis' Haramaya University, Ethiopia, 71 P.
- Geethasireesha P V and Naidu M V S 2013 Studies on genesis, characterization, and

classification of soils in semi-arid agroecological region: A case study in Banaganapalle mandal of Kurnool district in Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 61 (3): 167-178.

- Islam K R and Wali R R 2000 Land use effect on soil quality in tropical forest ecosystem of Bangladesh. *Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment* 7: 9-16
- Jackson M L 1973 Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hail of India (Pvt.) Limited, New Delhi
- Himabindu K and Gurumurthy P 2018 Land capability and irrigability classification of soils of Thotapalli major irrigation project of North Coastal Andhra Pradesh. An Asian Journalof Soil Science 13 (2) 120-125
- Kiflu A and Beyene S 2013 Effects of different land use systems on selected soil properties in south Ethiopia. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management* 4: 100-104
- Kozak M, Strpirn M and Anwar H J 2005 Relationships between available and exchangeable potassium content and other soil properties. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental management* 4:100-107
- Lindsay W L and Norvell W A 1978 Development of DTPA soil test for Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu, *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 42, 421-28.
- Najar G R, Akhtar F, Singh S R and Wani J A 2009 Characterization and classification of some apple growing soils of Kashmir. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science.57: 81-84
- Olsen S R and Sommers L E 1982 Phosphorous. In Methods of soil analysis, Part 2, Madison, Soil Science Society of America. Pp. 403-430.

- Panse V G and Sukhatme P V 1967 Statistical methods for Agricultural Workers'. 2" Ed. (ICAR, New Delhi).
- **Piper C S 1966** *Soil and plant analysis.* Hans Publications, Bombay. pp. 59.
- Prasad R and Sakal B P 1991 Availability of iron in calcareous soils in relation to soil properties. Journal Indian Society of Soil Science. 39: 658-661.
- Rajput B, Trivedi S K, Gupta N and Tomar A S 2015 Status of available sulphur and micronutrients in mustard growing areas of Northern Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian society of Soil Science*. 63 (3): 358-361.
- **Regmi B D and Zoebisch M A 2004** Soil fertility status of bari and khet land in a small watershed of middle hill region of Nepal. *Nepal Agricultural Research Journal* 5 : 38-41
- Richards LA 1954 Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. USDA Agric. Handbook 60. Washington, D.C.
- Sachan H K and Deeksha Krishna 2018 Nutrient status and their relationship with soil properties of dalo (Colocasia esculenta (L.) Schott) growing areas of Rewa district in Fiji. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* 52 (6): 696- 699
- Setia R K and Sharma K N 2004 Effect of continuous cropping and long term differentialfertilization on profile stratification of DTPA-extractable micronutrients. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment. 2 : 206-211.
- Sharma Y K, Sharma A and Sharma S K 2013 An appraisal of physico-chemical characteristics and soil fertility status of forest and rice land use system in mokokchung district of

Nagaland. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 61 : 38-43.

- Singh R P and Mishra A 2012 Available macronutrients in soils of Chiragaon block of district Varanasi (UP) in relation to soil characteristics. *Indian Journal of Soil Research* 37: 97-100
- Singh S K, Kumar K S, Aier B, Kanduri V P and Ahirwar S 2012 Plant community characteristics and soil status in different land use systems in Dimapur district, Nagaland, India. *Forest Research Papers* 73 : 305-312
- Subbiah B V and Asija GL 1956 Arapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science* 25 : 259-260
- Thangasamy A, Naidu M V S, Ramavatharam N and Raghavareddy C 2005 Characteization, classification and evalution of soil resources in Sivagiri micro-watershed of Chittor district in Andhra Pradesh for sustainable land use planning. Journal of the In-

dian Societyof Soil Science. 53 (1): 11-21.

- Walkley A and Black I A 1934 An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* 37: 29- 38
- Wen-bin Yang P, Tang H J, Qngaro L and Shibasaki 2007 Regional variability of the effects of land use systems on soil properties. *Ryosukez Agricultural Science in China* 6: 1369-1375
- Woldeamlak B and Stroosnijder L 2003 Effects of agro ecological land use succession on soil properties in Chemoga Watershed, Blue Nile basin, Ethiopia. *Geoderma* 111: 85-98
- Yihenew G S, Fentanesh A and Solomon A 2015 Effects of Land use types management practices and slope classes on selected soil physicochemical properties in Zikre watershed, North- Western Ethiopia. *Environmental System Research* 4: 3-9

Received on 19.01.2022 and Accepted on 23.03.2022