

# Effect of Phosphorus Management on Yield of Direct Sown Rice

U Gudarankaiah, Ch Bhargava Rami Reddy, Ch SujaniRao and M Martin Luther

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, A. P.

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to study the "Phosphorus management in direct sown rice in sandy clay soil" at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla during *kharif*, 2018. The experimental soil was sandy clay in texture, neutral in reaction and non-saline. There was a significant improvement in the yield attributes, grain and straw yield of direct sown rice with application of 100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza ( $T_4$ ). Application of phosphorus along with bio fertilizers showed significant influence on microbial population. Enzymatic activities like dehydrogenase and urease activity at tillering, panicle initiation and at harvest was not significantly influenced by the treatments while acid and alkaline phosphatase activity at tillering, panicle initiation and at harvest was significantly influenced by treatment which received 100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza ( $T_4$ ) and it was on par with  $T_3$ . The increased activity of phosphates enzyme in soil might be due to increased solubilization and mobilization of soil through the activity of phosphatase enzyme which was increased when PSB and AMF were used.

### Key words: Phosphorus, PSB, VAM, Yield

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the principal food crop for the world billions of people. It plays a vital role in our national food security. Rice stands second in the world after wheat in area and production. About 90 per cent of rice grown in the world is produced and consumed in Asian countries, China and India accounting for more than half of the world's acreage. Direct seeding of rice refers to the process of establishing the crop from seeds sown in the field rather than by transplanting seedlings from the nursery (Farooq*et al.*, 2011). Direct seeding avoids three basic operations viz., puddling, transplanting and maintaining standing water. Under present situation of water and labour scarcity, several farmers are shifting to direct sown rice.

The availability of phosphorus in soil is a major concern under direct seeded upland fields where it is deficient and is a major factor contributing to low yield of rice. Phosphorus deficiency is more common in dry seeded rice because of more fixation and decreased mobility in the soil. The poor phosphorus acquisition is a major constraint, and therefore use efficiency of phosphorus is very low (15-20 %). However, phosphorus efficiency can be improved by enhancing internal utilisation efficiency with the use of microbial inoculants.

Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms are more effective in mineralizing the organic phosphates in soil and increase the phosphorus availability by lowering soil pH and producing organic acids like indole acetic acid, gibberellic acid which helped in better crop growth and resulting in higher yield (Awasthi*et al.*, 2011). A number of mechanisms involving organic acids (solubilization) and enzyme production (mineralization), the release of  $H^+$ , chelation, and respiratory  $H_2CO_3$  production are the documented evidence for P transformation in soils (Khan *et al.*,2010).

Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms are more effective in mineralizing the organic phosphates in soil and increase the phosphorus availability by lowering soil pH and producing organic acids (Awasthi *et al.*, 2011). They are also known to produce amino acids, vitamins and growth promoting substances like indole acetic acid, gibberellic acid which helped in better crop growth and resulting in higher yield.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif season* of 2018 at the Agricultural college farmBapatla in randomized block design with three replications. The soil was sandy clay in texture, neutral in reaction (pH 7.5), non-saline in nature, low in organic carbon (4.3 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), available nitrogen  $(246.00 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  and available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>  $(27.00 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ , high in available potassium (505.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and sufficient in all available divalent cationic micronutrients (Zn, Fe. Mn and Cu). The experiment was laid out in RBD with eight treatments replicated thrice. The treatments comprised of  $\rm T_1\mathchar`-$  ( NO P ),  $\rm T_2\mathchar`-100\%$ Recommended Dose of Phosphorus (RDP), T<sub>3</sub> -100% RDP + Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB),  $T_{A}$  - 100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM), $T_5 - 75\%$  RDP + PSB,  $T_6 - 75\%$  RDP + VAM,  $T_7$  - 50% RDP + PSB,  $T_8$  -50% RDP + VAM.The rice varietyBPT 5204 was sown on 9th August, 2018 and was harvested on 8<sup>th</sup> January, 2019.

Well decomposed farmyard manure @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>was applied to the field as per recommended dose one week before sowing. A common dose of nitrogen @ 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied in the form of urea in three equal splits *i.e.* 1/3 as basal, 1/3 at active tillering and 1/3 at panicle initiation stage. Phosphorus in the form of single super phosphate was applied as per the treatments as basal just before sowing. A common dose of 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied as muriate of potash, in two equal splits as half at basal and half at panicle initiation stage by taking the plot size into consideration. Biofertilizers (PSB, VAM) were mixed separately with FYM and kept in shade for 3 days and then by ensuring the presence of optimum soil moisture, FYM mixed biofertilizers*viz.*, PSB@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and VAM@ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied at 3 DAS separately.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Plant Height

At tillering, panicle initiation and at harvest stages of crop growth, the maximum plant height of 96.63, 113.78 and 116.82 cm was recorded in the treatment  $T_{A}$  (100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza) (VAM) followed by  $T_3$  (100% RDP) +Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria) (PSB) with 94.27 , 110.85 and 111.65 cm respectively. The minimum plant height (70.08, 80.85 and 86.32 cm) was recorded in treatment  $T_1$  (NO P) where, no phosphorus and biofertilizers were applied (Table 1). Phosphorus being constituent of nucleic acid, phytin and phospholipids when supplied in adequate amounts are expected to favour the production of protein to the maximum extent resulting in vigorous plant growth. Thus, plants supplied with adequate amount of phosphorus produced more leaves and greater accumulation of photosynthates, resulting more height. Effect of phosphorus application on plant height might be due to that phosphorus is an important essential macronutrient required for the biosynthesis of energetic compound (ATP, NADP) which supplies the plant by energy required for cell division and elongation of rice organ (Metwallyet al. (2012). Enhanced availability of phosphorus and its active involvement in shoot and root growth lead to taller plants and

similarly enhanced water absorption and nutrient uptake from the soil (Hussain*et al.* 2013, Kato *et al.*, 2006). This might be one of the reasons behind higher growth of rice plants due to P fertilization.Innoculation of phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) produce several organic acids (butyric acid, citric acid, fumaric acid, succinic acid, and propionic acid) and converted insoluble form of phosphorus into soluble forms and made them available to plants which resulted in increased plant height and dry matter production.

#### **Drymatter production**

Drymatter production ranged from 2073 to 2785, 4577 to 5693 and 8607 to 10773 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stages, respectively among different treatments of RDP and P biofertilizers (Table 1). Significantly the highest dry matter production of 2785, 5693 and 10773 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with the application of 100% RDP + Vesicular ArbuscularMycorrhiza (VAM) (T<sub>4</sub>), which was on par with T<sub>3</sub>100% RDP +Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) and T<sub>2</sub>100% Recommended Dose of Phosphorus (RDP) at tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stages, respectively.

Application of 100% RDP produced significantly higher dry matter production than lower phosphorus levels at all stages. Crop plants supplied with adequate quantity of phosphorus resulted greater accumulation of photosynthates which accounted for higher dry matter production which might be due to increased nitrogen availability which was responsible for profused tillering and plant height and hence, the higher drymatter accumulated (Stalin *et al.*, 1999 & Dwivedi *et al.*, 2006).

Inoculation of microbial cultures like PSB or VAM showed the beneficial effect on P release and significantly enhanced plant growth and yield attributes of aerobic rice as compared to non-inoculated treatments. Enhanced amount of soluble P in the soil solution and increased plant biomass proved that application of microbial inoculants along with phosphate had a positive effect on plant growth parameters and yield attributes. This was mainly due to higher synthesis and translocation of photosynthates which resulted in higher dry matter accumulation (Mahajan*et al.*, 2017).

#### **Grain Yield**

The highest grain yield (4144 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with  $T_{A}$  (100% RDP + Vesicular ArbuscularMycorrhiza (VAM), which was on par with T<sub>2</sub>100% RDP +Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) and T<sub>2</sub>100% Recommended dose of phosphorus (RDP) (Table 1). The lowest grain yield  $(2999 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  was recorded with  $T_1$  (NO P) where, no phosphorus was applied. The increase in grain yield might have been due to increase in P concentration and drymatter production. It might be due to phosphorus application which is directly related to the vegetative and reproductive phases of the crop and attributes complex phenomenon of phosphorus utilization in plant metabolism. It also helped in the efficient absorption and utilization of the other required plant nutrients which ultimately increased the grain yield. These findings are confirmed with Girma et al., (2006) and Parvez *et al.*, (2009).

The action of P biofertilizers like PSB helped in enhancing productivity by releasing plant available P through the production of plant growth promoting and biologically active substances like indole acetic acid, gibberllins and cytokinin (Mahanta, 2008). Similarly, VAM is widely recognized to improve plant acquisition of P by enhancing translocation and transfer of phosphate ions from the soil solution to the root cells and finally the uptake (Yan *et al.*, 2004). The compatibility of P and VAM can be attributed to the fact that the vesicular arbuscularmycorrhizamight have increased the surface area of absorption through hyphae, thus availability of nutrients from inaccessible areas. VAM increase P uptake by more through exploration of soil volume thereby making positionally unavailable nutrients available. This is achieved by decreasing the distance for diffusion of phosphate ions by increasing the surface area for absorption (Tinker,1980). This might be due to the release of citric, malonic, malic and succinic acids trough roots, which can solubilize P from soil (Singh*et al.*,2001) and provided higher soil available P to the crop. The application of PSB or VAM biofertilizer along with P source like SSP increased the grain yield which could be due to increased availability of P and suitably matched the requirement of P by crop.

#### Straw Yield

The highest straw yield (6210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with  $T_4$  (100% RDP + Vesicular ArbuscularMycorrhiza (VAM), which was on par with T<sub>3</sub> 100% RDP +Phosphorus solubilising bacteria (PSB) and T, 100% Recommended dose of phosphorus (RDP). The lowest grain yield (4819 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with  $T_1$  (NO P) where, no phosphorus was applied. Increased straw yield might be due to higher photosynthetic activity because of increased leaf area index, which ultimately promoted dry matter production resulting higher yield. Quyen and Sharma (2003) also reported similar results. The increase in yield might be due to the improvement in leaf photosynthetic rate, biomass production and sink formation, which promoted the grain and straw yields of aerobic rice. Besides P solubilization activity, PSB liberates phytohormone (IAA) that might have an influence on root growth and yield. The extensive root system increased nutrient uptake from the surroundings which boosted plant biomass and subsequently the yield of aerobic rice. These results were alike with the findings of Panhwar et al. (2011).

The increased grain and stover yield could be attributed to build up of population of phosphate solubilizing and mobilizing microorganism in rhizosphere due to inoculation which increased the availability of phosphorus to plant through solubilization effect and translocation of nutrient through network of hyphae in soil absorbs P from nonrhizospheric zone and transport to plant roots (Hilda and Fraga, 1999).

### **Test weight**

It was revealed from the data presented in the table 1 that thousand grain weight was not differing significantly among the treatments at any stages of crop growth. The highest thousand grain weight (18.67 g)was recorded with  $T_4$  (100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza) (VAM). While, the lowest thousand grain weight (17.60 g) was recorded with  $T_1$  (NO P) where no phosphorus and biofertilizers were applied. The thousand grain weight of direct sown rice was not significantly influenced by different levels of phosphorus and biofertilizers. Among the phosphorus levels, 100 % RDP recorded heavier seed weight numerically than other treatments which might be due to increased translocation of photosynthates from source to sink. Such an increase in 1000 grain weight with the application of phosphorus was reported Sharma et al. (2009) and Lungmuanaet al. (2016).

# MICROBIAL ACTIVITY Bacterial

At tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stage of direct sown rice, the highest bacterial population  $(56 \times 10^7, 68 \times 10^7 \text{ and } 45 \times 10^7 \text{cfu g}^{-1} \text{ soil})$  was observed in T<sub>3</sub>(100% RDP + Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) which was on par with T<sub>4</sub>(53 × 10<sup>7</sup>,  $64 \times 10^7$  and  $42 \times 10^7 \text{cfu g}^{-1} \text{soil})$  with 100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM), whereas the

1000 grain	weight (g)	17.6	18.62	18.65	18.67	18.33	18.45	17.97	18.07	0.58	SN (	i
Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw	4819	6143	6203	6210	5841	5860	5458	5495	209.08	395.91 634.19	
Yield (	Grain	2999	3964	4083	4144	3656	3692	3412	3450	130.53	395.91	717
ction	Harvest	8607	10126	10340	10773	9694	9992	9244	9273	283.56 130.53 209.08	860.08	5 00
Dry matter production (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Panicle initiation	4577	5567	5678	5693	5263	5289	5033	5060	170.01	515.67	
Dry me	Harvest Tillering	2073	2686	2714	2785	2586	2593	2342	2403	81.58	247.44	l L
m)	Harvest	86.32	109.74	111.65	116.82	100.43	103.26	96.57	98.11	3.32	10.07	
Plant height (cm)	Panicle initiation	80.85	107.12	110.85	113.78	98.42	100.11	93.62	95.52	4.06	12.33	
Plan	Tillering	70.08	93.92	94.27	96.63	86.23	87.52	81.45	83.56	3.34	10.15	110
Treatments	LI CAULICIUS	T <sub>1</sub> : ( NO P )	T <sub>2</sub> : 100% Recommended Dose of Phosphorus (RDP)	T <sub>3</sub> : 100% RDP +Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB)	T <sub>4</sub> : 100% RDP + Vesicular ArbuscularMycorrhiza (VAM)	T <sub>5</sub> : 75% RDP + PSB	T <sub>6</sub> : 75% RDP + VAM	$T_{7}$ : 50% RDP + PSB	$T_{8:}$ 50% RDP + VAM	$S.Em(\pm)$	CD (0.05%)	

Table 1. Influence of phosphorus management on yield and yield parameters of direct sown rice

Table 2. Effect of phosphorus management on bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes population of soil under direct sown rice

	Bacte	Bacterial population	ation		Fungi		Ac	Actinomycetes	es
Treatment	(×10	$(\times 10^7 \text{ CFU g}^{-1} \text{ soil})$	oil)	(×10	$(\times 10^4 \text{ CFU g}^{-1} \text{ soil})$	soil)	(×10	$(\times 10^5 \text{ CFU g}^{-1} \text{ soil})$	soil)
TICAUTOIL	Tillering	Panicle initiation	Harvest	Tillering	Panicle initiation	Harvest	Tillering	Panicle initiation	Harvest
T <sub>1</sub> : (NOP)	32	41	28	17	27	20	20	31	28
$T_2$ : 100% Recommended Dose	51	19	30	δί	30	37	δί	11	30
of Phosphorus (RDP)	10	01	00	07	60	76	70	41	00
T <sub>3</sub> : 100% RDP +Phosphorus	25	69	75	02	07	21	LE	12	11
Solubilising Bacteria (PSB)	00	00	<del>,</del>	nc	<del>1</del>	10	70	<del>,</del>	41
$T_4$ : 100% RDP + Vesicular	52	77	¢,	21	11	34	30	ć	UV
Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM)	CC	<b>1</b> 0	47	10	41	<del>,</del>	nc	47	40
$T_5$ : 75% RDP + PSB	46	58	36	24	37	29	27	39	35
$T_6$ : 75% RDP + VAM	44	56	34	26	38	30	26	37	34
$T_7$ : 50% RDP + PSB	42	53	32	20	32	24	24	35	31
$T_8: 50\% RDP + VAM$	41	50	30	22	33	25	22	34	30
S.Em(±)	1.65	2.42	1.52	1.52	1.69	2.09	1.36	1.96	2.04
CD (P=0.05 %)	5.01	7.35	4.61	4.6	5.81	6.73	4.11	5.95	6.19
C.V (%)	6.27	7.44	7.39	10.62	9.25	13.66	8.99	9.01	10.21

Table 3. Effect of phosphorus management on Dehydrogenase, Urease, Acid Phosphatase and Alkaline Phosphatase activity of soil under direct sown rice

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					Acmunicación e merer			Acmundson I Annuality	
Tillering Panicle   8.9 8.2   8.9 8.2   8.9 8.2   12.4 11.5   12.8 11.7   13.3 11.75   11.2 9.83   11.35 10.13   10.7 9.2   10.7 9.2		$(\mu g NH_4^+ g^{-1} 2hrs^{-1})$	s <sup>-1</sup> )	(µgl	( μg PNP g <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> )	r <sup>-1</sup> )	( µg	( μ <u>g</u> PNP g <sup>-1</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> )	-1)
8.9   8.2     12.4   11.5     12.8   11.7     13.3   11.75     13.3   11.75     11.2   9.83     11.35   10.13     10.7   9.2	cle ion Harvest Tillering	ng Panicle initiation	Harvest	Tillering 1	Panicle initiation	rvest	Tillering	Panicle initiation	Harvest
12.4 11.5   s 12.8 11.7   13.3 11.75   13.3 11.75   11.2 9.83   11.35 10.13   10.7 9.2   10.7 9.2		2 32.78	24.45	47.32	38.12	36.63	58.51	49.66	38.46
s 12.8 11.7 13.3 11.75 11.2 9.83 11.35 10.13 10.7 9.2		5 36.41	29.45	68.21	56.03	48.11	76.86	68.11	55.86
cular 13.3 11.75   11.2 9.83   11.35 10.13   10.7 9.2		36.82	29.91	68.81	56.22	48.83	77.03	68.46	56.02
11.2     9.83       1     11.35     10.13       10.7     9.2		37.31	30.25	68.95	56.53	48.98	77.13	68.94	56.86
1     11.35     10.13       10.7     9.2		35.18	28.2	62.12	51.81	45.02	71.16	62.23	52.24
10.7 9.2		35.38	28.72	62.45	51.98	45.85	71.94	62.76	52.78
100 015		34.68	27.63	58.21	45.41	41.12	69.06	57.12	45.33
$1_8$ : $30\%$ KUP + VAM   10.9   9.42   8.03	5 8.05 29.87	7 35.25	27.83	58.93	45.82	41.45	69.59	57.49	45.87
S.Em(±) 0.85 0.8 0.72		2.05	1.22	2.44	2.28	1.89	2.46	2.49	1.95
CD (P=0.05 %) NS NS NS		NS	NS	7.43	6.94	5.75	7.47	7.57	5.91
C.V (%) 13 13.58 14.33		10.05	7.49	6.85	7.89	7.38	5.97	6.99	6.69

lowest bacterial population  $(32 \times 10^7, 41 \times 10^7 \text{ and} 28 \times 10^7 \text{ cfu g}^{-1} \text{ soil})$  was observed in T<sub>1</sub> (NO P). Phosphorus-solubilizers significantly improved the bacterial population.

### Fungal

At tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stage the highest fungal population  $(31 \times 10^4, 41 \times 10^4 \text{ and} 34 \times 10^4 \text{cfu g}^{-1} \text{ soil})$  was observed in  $T_4$  (100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) followed by  $T_3$  (30 × 10<sup>4</sup>, 40 × 10<sup>4</sup> and 32 × 10<sup>4</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with 100% RDP + Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) whereas the lowest fungal population (17 × 10<sup>4</sup>, 27 × 10<sup>4</sup> and 20 × 10<sup>4</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) was observed in  $T_1$  (NO P).

## Actinomycetes

At tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stage, the highest actinomycetes population  $(32 \times 10^5, 43 \times 10^5 \text{ and } 41 \times 10^5 \text{ cfu g}^{-1} \text{ soil})$  was observed in T<sub>3</sub>(100% RDP + Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) followed by T<sub>4</sub>(30 × 10<sup>5</sup>, 42 × 10<sup>5</sup> and 40 × 10<sup>5</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) with 100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) whereas the lowest actinomycetes population (20 × 10<sup>5</sup>, 31 × 10<sup>5</sup> and 28 × 10<sup>5</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil) was observed in T<sub>1</sub>(NO P).

# **ENZYMATIC ACTIVITY**

### Dehydrogenase

At tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stage, the highest dehydrogenase activity (13.30, 11.75 and 10.20  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> 24 h<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) followed by T<sub>3</sub> (12.80, 11.70 and 9.95  $\mu$ g TPF g<sup>-1</sup> 24 h<sup>-1</sup>) with 100% RDP +Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) whereas the lowest dehydrogenase activity (8.90, 8.20 and 6.95  $\mu$ g TPF g<sup>-1</sup> 24 h<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>1</sub> (NO P).

### Ureas

At tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stage the highest urease activity (32.20, 37.31 and 30.25  $\mu$ g NH<sub>4</sub> + - N g<sup>-1</sup> soil h<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>4</sub>(100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) followed by T<sub>3</sub>(31.91, 36.82 and 29.91  $\mu$ g NH<sub>4</sub> + -N g<sup>-1</sup> soil h<sup>-1</sup>) with 100% RDP +Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) whereas the lowest urease activity (27.92, 32.78 and 24.45  $\mu$ g NH<sub>4</sub> + - N g<sup>-1</sup> soil h<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>1</sub>(NOP).

## Acid phosphatase

At tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stagesignificantly increased acid phosphatase activity (68.95, 56.53 and 48.98  $\mu$ g PNP g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>4</sub>(100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) followed by T<sub>3</sub>(68.81, 56.22 and 48.83 $\mu$ g PNP g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>) with 100% RDP + Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) whereas the lowest acid phosphatase activity (47.32, 38.12 and 36.63 $\mu$ g PNP g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>1</sub>(NO P).

## Alkaline phosphatase

At tillering, panicle initiation and harvest stage significantly increased alkaline phosphatase activity (77.13, 68.94 and 56.86  $\mu$ g PNP g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>)was observed in T<sub>4</sub>(100% RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM) followed by T<sub>3</sub>(77.03, 68.46 and 56.02 $\mu$ g PNP g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>) with 100% RDP +Phosphorus Solubilising Bacteria (PSB) whereas the lowest alkaline phosphatase activity (58.51, 49.66 and 38.46  $\mu$ g PNP g<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in T<sub>1</sub>(NO P).

### CONCLUSION

It can concluding that there was a significant improvement in the yield attributes, such as grain and straw yield of direct sown rice with application of recommended dose of phosphorus along with either vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza or phosphorus solubilizing bacteria.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Awasthi R, Tewari R and Nayyar H 2011 Synergy between plants and P solubilizing microbes in soils: effects on growth and physiology of crops. *International Research and Journal* of Microbiology. 2: 484-503.
- Dwivedi A P, Dixit R S and Singh G R 2006 Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium level on growth, yield and quality of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Oryza*. 43 (1): 64-66.
- Fankem H, Laurette N N, Annette D, John Q, Wolfgang M and François-Xavier E 2008 Solubilization of inorganic phosphates and plant growth promotion by strains of Pseudomonas fluorescensis olated from acidic soils of Cameroon. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2:171-178.
- Farooq M, Siddique K H M, Rehman H, Aziz T, Dong-Jin, Lee and Wahid A 2011 Rice direct seeding, Experiences, challenges and opportunities. *Soil Tillage Research*. 111(2) : 87–98.
- Gaur KA and Sunita G 1999 Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms – An overview. Current trends life science. 23: 151-164.
- Girma K, Raun B, Zhang H and Mosali J 2006 What about foliar p on corn and winter wheat? *Fluid Journal*. 14(3):17-19.
- Hilda R and Fraga R 1999 Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion.*Biotechnology Advances*. 17: 319-359.
- Hussain M H, Asghar H N, Akhtar M J and Arshad M 2013 Impact of phosphate

solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of maize. *Soil Environment*. 32:71-78.

- Kato Y, Abe J, Kamoshita A and Yamagishi J 2006 Genotypic variation in root growth angle in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and its association with deep root development in upland fields with different water regimes. *Plant and Soil*. 287:117–129.
- Khan M S, Zaidi A, Ahemad M, Oves M and Wani PA 2010 Plant growth promotion by phosphate solubilizing fungi – current perspective. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*. 56:73–98.
- Lungmuana M, Ghosh P, Patra K and Ghosh S K 2016 Effect of integrating organic amendments and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of rice in a lateritic soil of West Bengal. Journal of Crop and Weed. 12(2):32-36.
- Mahajan G, Kaur G and Chauhan B S 2017 Seeding rate and genotype effects on weeds and yield of dry-seeded rice. *Crop Protection* 96: 68-76.
- Mahanta D 2008 Relative performance of single super phosphate and rock phosphate in cognizance with biofertilizers on rhizosphere augmentation and productivity of soyabeanwheat cropping system.Ph.D thesis. IARI, New Delhi.
- Metwally T I, M EI-Rewainy and S E M Sedeek 2012 Performance of different rice genotypes under application of phosphorus fertilizer levels. *Journal of plant production*. 3 (3): 427-444.
- Panhwar QA, Radziah O, Rahman ZA, Sariah M and Razi I M 2010 Role of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on rock phosphate

solubility and growth of aerobic rice. *Journal* of Environmental Biology. 32: 607–612.

- Panhwar QA, Radziah O, Rahman ZA, Sariah M, Razi I M and Naher U A 2011 Contribution of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria in phosphorus bioavailability and growth enhancement of aerobic rice. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 9(3): 810-820.
- Parvez K, Memon M Y, Imtiaz M, Aslam M 2009 Response of wheat to foliar and soil application of urea at different growth stages.*Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 41: 1197-1204.
- Quyen N V and Sharma S N 2003 Relative effect of organic and conventional farming on growth grain quality of scented rice and soil fertility. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Sciences*. 49: 623-629.
- Sharma S N, Prasad R, Shivay Y S, Dwivedi M K and Kumar D 2009 Effect of rates and sources of phosphorus on productivity and economics of rice (*Oryza sativa*) as influenced by crop residue incorporation. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 54(1):42-46.

- Shenoy V V, Kalagudi G M 2005 Enhancing plant phosphorus use efficiency for sustainable cropping.*Biotechnological Advances*, 23: 501-513.
- Singh H P, Srinivas K and Sharma K L 2001 Phosphorus management in dryland agriculture.Proceedings of National Workshop on Phosphorus in Indian Agriculture: Issues and Strategies held at New Delhi. 162–168.
- Stalin P, Thiyagarajan T M and Rangarajan R 1999 Nitrogen application strategy and use efficiency in rice. *Oryza*. 36 (4): 322-326.
- Tinker P B 1980 The role of rhizoshere microorganisms in mediating phosphorus uptake by plants.In :Kwasenah, F.E., Sample, E.C., kamprath, E.J. (Eds.), the role of phosphorus in agriculture. *American society* of Agronomy.617-654.
- Yan X, Liao H, Beebe S E, Blair W M and Lynch J P 2004 QTL mapping of root hair and acid exudation traits and their relationship to phosphorus uptake in common bean. *Plant soil.* 265, 17-29.

Received on 09.02.2021 and revised on 16.03.2021