

Performance of *olitorius* Jute at Varied plant Density and Topping in Coastal Andhra Pradesh

J Rakesh, M Sree Rekha, K Mosha and Ch Sujani Rao

Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla, A. P.

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla to assess performance of jute at varied plant densities and topping practices during *kharif*, 2019. It was carried out with JRO 524 (Navin) variety of jute, in a randomized block design with factorial concept and replicated thrice. Results indicated that maximum drymatter accumulation was recorded with a plant density of 83, 333 plants ha⁻¹(D₃) and topping at 45 DAS, which was on par with plant density of 2, 22, 222 plants ha⁻¹(D₂) and topping at 30DAS. More number of branches plant⁻¹ were noticed with density of 83, 333 plants ha⁻¹(D₃) and it was found on parity with 1,66, 666 plants ha⁻¹(D₁). Maximum seed yield and stalk yield were obtained with plant density of 83, 333 plants ha⁻¹(D₃) and it was found on par with 30 DAS resulted in maximum seed yield which was found in par with toppong at 30DAS. harvest index was nin-significant for both plant densities and topping practices in olitorus jute.

Keywords: Jute, Plant density, Topping practices and yield.

Jute is a very important prospective fibre as well as cash crop of West Bengal. It is also an important commercial crop of Assam, Bihar, Orissa and eastern Uttar Pradesh earning foreign exchange and supporting nearly 7 million small and marginal farmers and industrial employees (Kumar et al. 2010). Jute has tremendous potential to sequester the atmospheric CO₂.One hectare of jute crop sequesters as high as 15 MT of CO, in 100 days, which is higher than that of several tree crops (Kumar et al. 2012). Therefore, jute farming deserves international support and application in view of its contribution towards greener environment. West Bengal occupies 70% area under jute in the country but it is mainly grown for fibre purpose only. They depend for seed on non-jute growing states like Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Telangana where the weather conditions are congenial for quality seed production. Seed production in jute can be enhanced by adopting suitable agro-techniques. Hence, a trial was conducted with different plant densities and topping at different times in coastal Andhra Pradesh.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh during *kharif* 2019. The soil of the experimental field was clay in texture, neutral in reaction, medium in organic carbon and low in available nitrogen, high in phosphorus and potassium. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept and replicated thrice with two factors i.e. plant density and topping practices. The treatments consisted of three plant densities i.e. 1.66 lakh plants ha⁻¹ (D₁), 2.2 lakh plants ha⁻¹ (D₂) and 83,333 plants ha⁻¹ (D₃) and four Topping practices T₁ (No topping), T₂ (topping at 30 DAS), T₃ (topping at 45 DAS) and T₄ (topping at 60 DAS). Jute was sown on 14thAugust 2019. Recommended fertilizers @ 20 kg N, 30 kg P₂O₅ and 30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ were applied uniformly in the form of urea, single superphosphate and muriate of potash. Half of the nitrogen, entire quantity of phosphorus and potassium were applied basally. Remaining half of nitrogen was applied at 30 DAS.All recommended cultural practices and plant protection measures were followed throughout the crop growing season.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There was variation in drymatter accumulation of jute from 30 DAS to 75 DAS and from 75 DAS to at harvest. Maximum drymatter accumulation was recorded in crop sown with a plant density of 2,22,222 plants ha⁻¹ (D₂) which was significantly superior over remaining two plant densities from 30DAS to 60 DAS. The highest drymatter accumulation was obtained with a density of 83,333 plants ha⁻¹ (D₃) and it was on par with plant density of 2,22,222 plants ha⁻¹ (D₂) from 75 DAS to harest. Lowest drymatter accumulation was observed with a density of 1,66,666 plants ha⁻¹ (D₁) (Table 1). Among the topping practices, maximum drymatter accumulation was obtained with topping at 45 DAS (T₂) which was significantly superior over no

Treatments	Dry matter accumulation (kg ha ⁻¹)							
Treatments	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS		90 DAS		At HARVEST	
Plant density								
D ₁ : 30 cm x 20 cm	90	542	1711	3947	5770	6601	6810	
(1,66,666 plantsha ⁻¹⁾	90	342	1711	3947	5778	0001	0010	
D ₂ : 45 cm x 10 cm	112	642	2445	4992	7272	8154	8355	
$(2,22,222 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	112							
D ₃ : 60 cm x 20 cm	70	501	1624	5560	7022	9054	0412	
(83,333 plants ha ⁻¹	72	584	1624	5569	7932	8954	9413	
SEm±	9.99	58.85	238.59	398.28	631.21	414.23	434.19	
CD (P=0.05)	29	NS	700	1168	1851	1214	1273	
Topping practices								
T ₁ : No topping	90	543	1822	4103	6114	6968	7172	
T ₂ : 30 DAS	95	662	2034	5150	7334	7690	8292	
T ₃ : 45 DAS	93	602	1976	5869	8067	9002	9286	
T4: 60 DAS	88	552	1874	4222	6461	7953	8021	
SEm±	11.53	67.95	275.5	459.9	728.85	478.3	501.36	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	1348	NS	1403	1470	
Interaction								
SEm±	19.97	117.69	477.17	796.5	1262.4	828.4	868.3	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	2336	NS	NS	NS	
CV%	12.6	11.5	14.3	9.5	10.4	6	6.1	

Table 1. Drymatter accumulation (kg ha⁻¹) of *olitorius* jute at different stages as influenced by plant density and topping practices.

Table 1(a). Interaction effect of plant density	and topping practices on drymatter accumulation
of <i>olitorius</i> jute at 75 DAS	

	Drymatter accumulation (kg ha ⁻¹)						
Plant Densities	Topping Practices						
	T ₁ : No topping	T ₂ : 30 DAS	T ₃ : 45 DAS	T ₄ : 60 DAS	Mean		
		Plant density					
D ₁ : 30 cm x 20 cm	2242 1	4212	1717	2505	2047		
$(1,66,666 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	3243.1	4212	4747	3585	3947		
D ₂ : 45 cm x 10 cm	4000	50(2	((55	4152	4992		
$(2,22,222 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	4099	5062	6655	4132	4992		
D ₃ : 60 cm x 20 cm	4067	6175	6206	4929	5560		
$(83,333 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	4967	01/3	6175 6206		5569		
Mean	4103	5150	5869	4222			
SEm±	796.5						
CD (p=0.05)	2336						

Treatmente	Number of branches plant ⁻¹							
Treatments	45 DAS	60 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS		At HARVEST		
Plant density								
D ₁ : 30 cm x 20 cm	2.8	4.6	7.1	12	13.5	13.5		
$(1,66,666 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	2.0	4.0	/.1	12	15.5	15.5		
D ₂ : 45 cm x 10 cm	2.5	4.5	6.7	10.6	11.3	11.3		
$(2,22,222 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	2.5	4.5	0.7	10.0	11.5	11.5		
D ₃ : 60 cm x 20 cm	4.1	7.2	10.9	17 17.9		17.9		
$(83,333 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	4.1	1.2	10.9	17	17.9	17.9		
SEm±	0.34	0.67	0.77	1.19	1.28	1.47		
CD (P=0.05)	0.1	1.9	2.3	3.5	3.8	4.3		
Topping practices								
T ₁ : No topping	2	3.9	6.2	9.6	9.9	9.9		
T ₂ : 30 DAS	3	5.1	7.4	13.6	14.1	14.1		
T ₃ : 45 DAS	4.7	0.2	11.3	17	20.2	20.2		
T4: 60 DAS	2.7	4.5	7.9	12.6	12.6	12.6		
SEm±	0.39	0.78	0.89	1.37	1.48	1.7		
CD (P=0.05)	1.1	2.3	2.6	4	4.4	5		
Interaction								
SEm±	0.67	1.34	1.54	2.38	2.57	2.94		
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	4.5	NS	NS	NS		
CV%	12.5	14.3	10.8	10.4	10.4	11.9		

Table 2. Number of branches plant⁻¹ of *olitorius* jute at different growth stages as influencedby plant density and topping practices

Table 2(a). Interaction effect of plant density and topping practices on number of branches per plant in *olitorius* jute at 75 DAS

	Number of branches plant ⁻¹						
Plant Densities	Topping Practices						
	T ₁ : No topping	T ₂ : 30 DAS	T ₃ : 45 DAS	T ₄ : 60 DAS	Mean		
Plant density		·					
D ₁ : 30 cm x 20 cm	9.6	20.2	28.9	26	27.1		
$(1,66,666 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	9.0	20.2	20.7	20	27.1		
D ₂ :45 cm x 10 cm	18.2	23	21	17.8	20		
(2,22,222 plants ha ⁻¹)	10.2						
D ₃ : 60 cm x 20 cm	27.6	23.8	52	27.2	32.6		
$(83,333 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	27.0	23.8	52	21.2			
Mean	18.4	22.3	33.9	23.6	24.5		
SEm±	1.54						
CD (p=0.05)	4.5						

Treatments	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stalk yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)	
Plant density				
D ₁ : 30 cm x 20 cm	1699	5048	27.1	
$(1,66,666 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	1099	3048		
D ₂ : 45 cm x 10 cm	2200	6134	25.0	
$(2,22,222 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	2200	0154	25.9	
D ₂ : 60 cm x 20 cm	2388	6812	32.6	
$(83,333 \text{ plants ha}^{-1})$	2388	0812		
SEm±	144.65	387.54	1.91	
CD (P=0.05)	424	1136	NS	
Topping practices				
T ₁ : No topping	1824	5324	25.4	
T ₂ : 30 DAS	2196	6077	26.3	
T ₃ : 45 DAS	2463	6718	26.6	
T4: 60 DAS	1900	5873	26.6	
SEm±	167.03	447.49	2.21	
CD (P=0.05)	489	1312	NS	
Interaction				

775.07

NS

7.5

Table 3. Seed yield (kg ha⁻¹), Stalk yield (kg ha⁻¹), and Harvest index (%) of *olitorius* jute as influenced by plant density and topping practices

289.3

NS

7.9

topping (T_1) . It was on par with topping at 30 DAS (T_2) and topping at 60 DAS (T_4) at 105 DAS and at harvest. However, the interaction between both the factors for drymatter accumulation was significant only at 75 DAS. At 75 DAS, the highest drymatter of jute was produced by crop sown with a plant density of 83, 333 plants ha⁻¹ (D₂) along with topping at 45 DAS (T₂) compared to all other combinations of plant density and topping practices (Table 1a). This might be due to more per plant drymatter accumulation at a wider spacing tried at 60 cm x 20 cm 83,333 plants ha⁻¹ due to more vigour and less competition from neighbouring plants. These results are in accordance with the findings of Sangeetha (2015) Das et al. (2018).

SEm±

CV%

CD (P=0.05)

More number of branches plant⁻¹was obtained with plant density of 83,333 plants ha⁻¹ which was significantly superior over other plant densities i.e.1,66,666 plants ha⁻¹ and 2,22,222 plants ha⁻¹ at different stages. Highest number of branches plant⁻¹ were recorded with topping at 45 DAS (T_2) which was significantly superior over topping at 30 $DAS(T_{4})$ and 60 $DAS(T_{4})$. Lowest number of branches plant⁻¹ were noticed with no topping practice(T_1).(Table 2)Interaction between plant density and topping practices reached level of significance only at 75

DAS.Maximum number of branches plant-1were recorded when crop was sown with a spacing of 60 $cm x 20 cm (D_3)$ along with topping done at 45 DAS (T_2) which was significantly superior over other treatments.(Table 2a).Number of branches plant⁻¹ produced were maximum in D₂ (83,333 plants ha⁻¹). It was due to more vigour by an individual plant at wider spacing, topping at 30 DAS might have promoted much vegetative growth since it was done early and better reproductive growth was obtained with topping at 45 DAS. Similar views were also expressed by More and Pachrane (2017) and Patra et al. (2017)

3.83 NS

8.4

Maximum seed yield (2388 kg ha⁻¹) and stalk yield (6812 kg ha⁻¹) of jute were obtained in crop sown with (60 cm x 20 cm) with a density of 83, 333 plants $ha^{-1}(D_3)$, which was significantly superior over 30 cm x 20 cm with 1,66, 666 plants $ha^{-1}(D_1)$ and it was on par with 45 cm x 10 cm ha⁻¹(D_2) (Table 3). Topping at 45 DAS (T_3) recorded highest seed yield (2463 kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with topping at 30 DAS. Maximum stalk yield of 6718 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained with topping at 45 DAS and it was found on par with 30 DAS and 60 DAS. Harvest index did not alter significantly due to plant densities and topping practices. In wider spacing, less competition between

plants and more availability of various resources lead to maximum yield, topping at appropriate stage i.e. 45 DAS lead to increase in various yield attributes along with more branches per plant accumulating more drymatter and finally resulting in more yield when compared to no topping and topping at 60 DAS. These results are in tune with the findings of Tripathi *et al.* (2010), Ghosh and Das (2015) and Patra *et al.* (2017)

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that plant density of 83, 333plants ha⁻¹ and with topping at 45 DAS can be a good management practice for higher seed yield of olitorius jute in coastal Andhra Pradesh.

LITERATURE CITED

- Das H, Poddar P and Kundu A 2018 Optimization of agro-techniques to maximize productivity of quality jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.) seed. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 2018: 6 (5): 2117-2120.
- **Ghosh K and Das A 2015** Effect of date of sowing and topping on seed production of jute in red and laterite zone of west bengal. *Advances in Applied Science and Technology*. 6 (4): 978-934.
- Kumar D, Saha A, Begum and Choudhury 2010 Possibility of jute seed production in jute growing states of India. Jute and allied fibres production, utilization and marketing. *Indian Fibre Society, Eastern region.* 230-234.

- Kumar N, Srivastava R K, Singh R K and Singh M V 2012 Comparative study of herbicides and eco-friendly traditional methods of weed control in (*Corchorus olitorius*) and their effect on soil microflora and fibre yield. *International Conference on Climate Change forest resource and environment.* 36:571-573.
- More S M and Pacharne D P 2017 Seed yield and economics of jute (*Corchorus olitorius*) as influenced by different dates of sowing, spacing and topping management. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 13 (1):20-24.
- Patra B C, Nirmal Kumar, D, Aditya Chowdary, K and Kanti Kundu, M 2017 Jute seed production as influenced by dates of sowing and topping in red and laterite zone of West Bengal. Journal of Applied and Natural Science 9 (3): 1582 -1586.
- Sangeetha R 2015 Seed yield of dhaincha as influenced by sowing dates and plant densities under rabi season. M.Sc. thesis, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University.
- Tripathi M K, Babita Chaudhary S R, Singh and Bhandari H R 2010 Growth and yield of sunhemp (*Crotalaria juncea* L.) as influenced by spacing and topping practices. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 8 (28): 3744-3749.

Received on 28.07.2020 and revised on 26.09.2020