

Effect of Zinc and Iron Fertilization on Yield and Seed Quality of Blackgram Grown in Calcareous Soils

NVL Sai Bhargavi, PRK Prasad, Ch Sujani Rao and PVN Prasad

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla, A.P.

ABSTRACT

A pot culture experiment was conducted at the Agricultural College, Bapatla, during 2017, to study the effect of zinc and iron fertilization on yield and seed quality of blackgram grown in calcareous soils. The experimental soil was calcareous, moderately alkaline in reaction, low in organic carbon, available nitrogen and available phosphorus, high in available potassium and medium in available sulphur content. The soil was deficient in zinc and iron but sufficient in manganese and copper. The experiment was laid out in CRD with twelve treatments and replicated thrice. The results revealed that application of 44 mg kg⁻¹ ZnSO₄.7H₂O + foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) (T₁₂) to blackgram crop significantly recorded the highest yield attributes, yield and seed quality parameter *viz.*, number of pods per plant, seed yield, haulm yield, harvest index and protein content when compared to control (T₁). In case of molar ratios, maximum P/Zn and P/Fe ratios were recorded with control (T₁) while minimum was observed with 44 mg kg⁻¹ ZnSO₄.7H₂O + foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) (T₁₂).

Key words: Calcareous soils, Blackgram, Zinc, Iron, Yield, Seed quality.

Blackgram is one of the highly prized pulse crop, cultivated in all parts of India. It has inevitably marked itself as the most popular pulse and can be most appropriately referred to as the "king of pulses". Seeds are highly nutritious with protein (25-26%), carbohydrate (60%), fats (1.5%) and significant amount of minerals, amino acids and vitamins. Blackgram output accounts for about 10 per cent of India's total pulse production.

The availability of micronutrients is influenced by several factors such as pH, aeration, organic matter, calcium carbonate content of soil *etc*. Calcareous soils usually suffer from a lack of micronutrients, especially zinc and iron. Soil application and foliar spray of zinc sulphate enhanced the yield of crop (Savithri and Chitdeshwari, 2002). Iron deficiency is difficult to manage with the soil application due to the oxidation of soil applied iron in arid conditions. Foliar application of iron is an immediate effective measure to combat deficiency. Zinc and iron deficiency lead to decrease in yield and seed quality of blackgram.

MATERIALAND METHODS

Three kg of soil was potted in each pot (polthylene- lined) which received FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ and fertilizers N, P₂O₅, K₂O (25:50:25 kg ha⁻¹) through urea, SSP and MoP. The treatments comprised of T₁ control, T₂ - 22 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil, T₃ - 44 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil, T₄ - Foliar spray of ferrous sulphate at 30 DAS*, T₅ - Foliar spray of zinc sulphate at 40 DAS*, T₆ - T₂ + T₄, T₇ - T₂ + T₅, T₈ - T₄ + T_5 , $T_9 - T_2 + T_4 + T_5$, $T_{10} - T_3 + T_4$, $T_{11} - T_3 + T_5$, $T_{12} - T_3 + T_4 + T_5$. *Ferrous sulphate - FeSO₄. 6H₂O (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid foliar spray and **zinc sulphate - ZnSO₄.7H₂O (0.2%) foliar spray. The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design (CRD) with 12 treatments and replicated thrice. Zinc sulphate was applied to soil before sowing.

The experimental soil was clay with moderately alkaline in pH (8.10), normal in EC (0.60)dS m⁻¹), bulk density of 1.36 Mg m⁻³, water holding capacity of 50.18%, 49% porosity, high in calcium carbonate (17.60%), 46.8 c mol (p^+) kg⁻¹ of cation exchange capacity, exchangeable cations Na⁺, K⁺, Ca⁺² and Mg^{+2} 2.60, 0.40, 38.30 and 4.80 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹, 98.5% percent base saturation, low in organic carbon (2.40 g kg^{-1}) , nitrogen $(148.72 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ and P₂O₅ (14.13)kg ha⁻¹), high in potassium K_2O (509 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in sulphur (10.01 mg kg⁻¹). Available zinc (0.50 mg kg⁻¹) and iron (2.32 mg kg⁻¹) were deficient whereas, available manganese $(2.63 \text{ Mg kg}^{-1})$ and copper $(0.24 \text{ Mg kg}^{-1})$ mg kg⁻¹) were sufficient. The total number of pods produced was counted from three plants and their average was taken as the number of pods per plant. At harvest stage, haulm and seed were collected separately. Protein content and molar ratios were computed by using formulas given below

Protein content (%)= nitrogen concentration (%) x 6.25

No. of moles of $P = \underline{P \text{ concentration (\%) x 10}}$ Atomic mass of phosphorus (30.97) No. of moles of $Zn = \underline{Zn \text{ concentration } (mg \text{ kg}^{-1})}$ Atomic mass of zinc (65.38) x 1000

No. of moles of
$$Fe = \underline{Fe \text{ concentration } (\text{mg kg}^{-1})}$$

Atomic mass of iron (55.84) x 1000

$$P/Zn = No. of moles of P$$

No. of moles of Zn

$$P/Fe = \frac{No. of moles of P}{No. of moles of Fe}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Yield attributes

Number of pods per plant

The results indicated that signicantly highest mean number of pods per plant (9.67) were obtained with T_{12} (44 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil and foliar spray of iron and zinc) and T_9 (44 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil and foliar spray of iron and zinc) treatments (Table 1). However, T_6 (22 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil + foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1%citric acid), T_{o} (foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) +0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) and T₁₀ (foliar spray of 44 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil + foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1%citric acid) recorded mean number of pods per plant (9.33). T_{7} (22 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) and T_{11} (44 mg of $ZnSO_4$.7H₂O per kg soil + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) recorded equal number of pods per plant (9) while T_3 (44 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil) and T_5 (foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) recorded mean number of pods per plant (8.33). Lowest mean number of pods (7.33) were obtained with control (T_1) . These results were in consonance with Meena et al. (2013) in mungbean, Kavita and Singh (2014) in chickpea. Significant increase in number of pods per plant attributed to the ability of zinc to produce plant growth regulators (auxins, IAA) (Taliee et al., 2000) and also contributing to the formation of stamens as well as pollens (Nadergoli et al., 2011). Foliar spray of iron resulted higher chlorophyll content which was utilized efficiently by plants to produce more number of pods per plant (Rao, 2016).

Yield

Seed yield

The seed yield was increased significantly due to combined application of zinc and iron (Table 1). The highest seed yield (2.59 g pot⁻¹) was recorded with the treatment T_{12} (44 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil + foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) followed by T_9 (22 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O + foliar spray of ferrous

sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) which showed a slight decrease $(2.43 \text{ g pot}^{-1})$ in yield. T₃ $(1.49 \text{ g pot}^{-1})$ and T₅ (1.55 g)pot⁻¹) treatments were on par with each other. The lowest (1.20 g pot⁻¹) seed yield was observed in the treatment T_1 (control). The order of increase in seed yield was $T_{12} > T_9 > T_8 > T_{10} > T_6 > T_{11} > T_7 > T_4 > T_5$ $=T_3>T_2>T_1$. These results were in accordance with findings of Keram et al. (2012) in wheat, Imran et al. (2015) in paddy, Rao (2016) in groundnut and Ranpariya et al. (2017) in mungbean. Higher seed yield due to zinc fertilization was also attributed to the enhanced synthesis of carbohydrates and their transport to the site of grain production (Peddababu et al., 2007). Tiffin (1967) reported that negatively charged Fecontaining compounds were essential for efficient iron movement through the xylem and citrate is the natural carrier of iron. It has an impact on many aspects of physiology of iron deficient plants inciding excretion from roots and to supply the ferric chelate reductase enzymes with enough reducing power. This mechanism could be very important for plants growing in calcareous soils where an absolute iron deficiency does not take place in presence of bicarbonate ion which is common in these soil conditions.

Haulm yield

The haulm yield was significantly influenced by application of zinc and iron (Table 1). The haulm yield increased when zinc was applied either through soil or foliar spray and foliar spray of iron. The treatment T_{12} (44 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil + foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) showed a significant increase (5.12 g pot⁻¹) followed by T_{g} (22 mg of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil + foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) which recorded a haulm yield (4.64 g pot⁻¹). However, treatments T_3 (44 mg of $ZnSO_4.7H_2O$, T_4 (foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid) and T₅ (foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) were on a par with each other and recorded 15.90, 17.67 and 22.96 % increase over control. The minimum haulm yield (2.83 g pot⁻¹) was observed with T_1 (control). These results were also in consonance with those reported by Kassab et al. (2004) in wheat. The significant effect might be due to combined application of zinc and iron which increased the yields mainly due to recovery from chlorosis caused by the deficiencies of micronutrients, and increased chlorophyll contents resulting in more photosynthesis and productivity (Singh et al., 1993).

Treatments	NO. of pods	Seed	Haulm	Harvest
	per plant			index
		$(g pot^{-1})$		(%)
T ₁ - Control	7.33	1.20	2.83	29.78
T ₂ - 22 mg of ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O per kg soil	7.67	1.32	3.06	30.17
T ₃ - 44 mg of ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O per kg soil	8.33	1.49	3.28	31.25
T ₄ - Foliar spray of ferrous sulphate at 30 DAS*	8.67	1.63	3.33	32.87
T ₅ - Foliar spray of zinc sulphate at 40 DAS**	8.33	1.55	3.48	30.82
$T_6 - T_2 + T_4$	9.33	1.89	4.01	32.00
$T_7 - T_2 + T_5$	9.00	1.73	3.70	31.82
$T_8 - T_4 + T_5$	9.33	2.06	4.39	31.94
$T_9 - T_2 + T_4 + T_5$	9.67	2.43	4.64	34.42
$T_{10} - T_3 + T_4$	9.33	1.97	4.23	31.78
$T_{11} - T_3 + T_5$	9.00	1.81	3.92	31.50
$T_{12} - T_3 + T_4 + T_5$	9.67	2.59	5.12	33.59
SEm <u>+</u>	0.09	0.02	0.07	0.43
CD at 5%	0.27	0.07	0.21	1.30
CV %	1.81	2.37	2.13	2.35

Table1. Effect of zinc and iron fertilization on yield and yield attributes of blackgram grown in calcareous soils

*Ferrous sulphate- 0.5% $FeSO_4$. $6H_2O + 0.1\%$ citric acid, **zinc sulphate-0.2% $ZnSO_4$.7 H_2O limitations to plants will lead to the improvement of harvest index.

Table2. Effect of zinc and iron fertilization on quality parameter of seed

Treatments	Seed		
	Protein Molar ratios		
	content	P/Zn	P/Fe
	(%)		
T ₁ - Control	16.87	257.81	32.12
T ₂ - 22 mg of ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O per kg soil	18.43	205.24	31.73
T ₃ - 44 mg of ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O per kg soil	18.68	198.89	31.04
T ₄ - Foliar spray of ferrous sulphate at 30 DAS*	17.81	242.48	29.62
T ₅ - Foliar spray of zinc sulphate at 40 DAS**	18.69	201.33	31.00
$T_6 - T_2 + T_4$	19.12	196.34	29.66
$T_7 - T_2 + T_5$	20.12	173.63	30.70
$T_8 - T_4 + T_5$	19.94	181.37	29.51
$T_9 - T_2 + T_4 + T_5$	20.69	174.37	29.13
$T_{10} - T_3 + T_4$	19.50	186.29	29.40
$T_{11} - T_3 + T_5$	20.56	165.30	30.32
$T_{12} - T_3 + T_4 + T_5$	20.81	161.90	28.59
SEm ±	0.27	3.43	0.57
CD at 5%	0.81	9.97	1.67
CV %	2.50	3.02	3.29

*Ferrous sulphate- 0.5% $\rm FeSO_4.~6H_2O+0.1\%$ citric acid; **zinc sulphate- 0.2% $\rm ZnSO_4.7H_2O$

Harvest Index

The harvest index increased significantly due to combined application of zinc and iron (Table 1). Maximum harvest index (34.37%) was obtained with 22 mg kg⁻¹ zinc sulphate per kg soil+ foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid and foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) (T_o) which was on par with 44 mg kg⁻¹ zinc sulphate per kg soil+ foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) (T₁₂). While lowest harvest index was obtained with treatment control (T_1) (29.77%) which was on par with soil application of zinc sulphate (a) 22 mg kg⁻¹ (T₂) (30.14%) and foliar spray of zinc sulphate (T_5) (30.82%). Similar results of significant increase in harvest index were reported by Yaseen et al. (2013) in cotton. Zinc and iron acts as the factors that alleviates the existing limitations to plants will lead to the improvement of harvest index.cx

Seed Quality

Protein content

The results (Table 2) revealed that among all treatments T₁₂ (44 mg kg⁻¹ of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil and foliar spray of ferrous sulphate and zinc sulphate) recorded maximum seed protein content (20.81%) which was on par with T₉ (22 mg kg⁻¹ of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil and foliar spray of ferrous sulphate and zinc sulphate) (20.69%), T_{11} (44 mg kg⁻¹ of ZnSO₄.7H₂O per kg soil and foliar spray of zinc sulphate (20.56%) and T₇ (44 mg kg⁻¹ of zinc sulphate per kg soil and foliar spray of zinc) (20.12%). Similar results were reported by khattak et al. (2015) and Zeidan et al. (2010) in wheat. Improvement in seed protein content might be attributed to the role of micronutrients in enhancing accumulation of assimilate in the seed (during grain filling stage) and thus the resultant seeds had greater individual mass (Fenner, 1982; Roach and wulf, 1987; Zeidan, 2001) Minimum protein content (16.87%) was observed with control (T_1) . Treatments T_7 , T_8 , T_9 and T_{11} were on par with each other while T_6 , T_7 , T_8 and T_{11} and T_5 , T_3 and T_2 treatments were on par with each other.

P/Zn

Maximum P/Zn ratio (257.81) was observed with control (T_1) when compared to all treatments while minimum P/Zn ratio (161.93) was observed with T_{12} (soil application of zinc sulphate @ 44 mg kg⁻¹ and foliar spray of iron and zinc) which as on par with T_{11} (165.30) (Table 2). These results of significant increase in P/Zn ratio was reported by Welch *et al.* (2005) and Kutman *et al.* (2011) in wheat.

Treatments T_2 , T_3 , T_5 and T_6 were on par with each other and also T_8 and T_{10} treatments were on par with each other while T_7 , T_8 and T_9 treatments were on par with each other. Higher the molar ratio (P/Zn), the bioavilability of zinc was less and vice versa (Zhang *et al.*, 2010)

P/Fe

Significant effect was observed in molar ratio (P/ Fe) of blackgram seed due to zinc and iron fertilization.

Maximum P/ Fe ratio (32.12) was observed with control (T_1) and minimum (28.59) with T_{12} (soil application of zinc sulphate @ 44 mg kg⁻¹ + foliar spray of iron and zinc). Similar results of increase in P/Fe ratio were reported by Zhang *et al.* (2010) in wheat. P/Fe ratios of the treatments T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_5 and T_7 were on par with each other. Treatments T_2 , T_3 , T_5 , T_7 and T_{11} were on par with each other while T_3 , T_4 , T_5 , T_6 , T_7 , T_8 , T_{10} and T_{11} on par with each other. Treatments T_4 , T_6 , T_7 , T_8 , T_9 were on par with each other whereas, T_6 , T_4 , T_8 , T_{10} , T_9 and T_{12} treatments were on par with each other. Lower the value of P/Fe ratio, higher the iron bioavailability.

Among these two molar ratios (P/Zn and P/Fe), P/Zn ratio was higher than P/Fe. This might be due to relatively higher mobility of zinc when compared to iron (Zhang *et al.*, 2010).

CONCLUSION

Besides, major and secondary nutrients pulses also require micronutrients for completing its life cycle. Due to increase intensity of the pulse based cropping systems and use of high analysis fertilizers pulse growing areas of the country become multinutrient deficient particularly zinc and iron in calcareous soil. Application zinc and iron fertilizers are the effective method to overcome the zinc and iron deficiency in calcareous soils. Among different treatments, soil application of zinc sulphate @ 44 mg kg -1 + foliar spray of ferrous sulphate (0.5%) + 0.1% citric acid + foliar spray of zinc sulphate (0.2%) (T 12) highly increased the yield (number of pods per plant, seed. yield, haulm yield) and seed quality (protein content, P/Zn and P/Fe) of blackgram grown in calcareous soils.

LITERATURE CITED

- Fenner M 1992 Environmental influences on seed size and composition. *Horticultural Reviews*, 13: 183-213.
- Imran M, Kanwal S, Hussain S, Aziz T and Maqsood M A 2015 Efficacy of zinc application methods for concentration and estimated bioavailability of zinc in grains of rice grown on a calcareous soil. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science*, 52(1): 169-175.

- Kassab O M, Zeing H A E and Ibrahim M M 2004 Effect of water deficit and micronutrients foliar application on the productivity of wheat plants. *Minufiya Journal of Agricultural Research*, 29: 925-932.
- Kavita and Singh R A 2014 Effect of application of zinc on yield and yield attributes of chickpea genotypes in Calciorthent soil. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 10 (1): 309-313.
- Keram K S, Sharma B L and Sawarkar S D 2012 Impact of zinc application on yield, quality, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in a medium black soil (vertisol). *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*, 1(5): 563-571.
- Khattak S G, Dominy P J and Ahmad W 2015 Effect of Zn as soil addition and foliar application on yield and protein content of wheat in alkaline soil. *Journal of National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka*, 43(4): 303-312.
- Kutman U B, Yildiz B, Ozturk, L and Cakmak, I 2010 Biofortification of Durum Wheat with Zinc Through Soil and Foliar Applications of Nitrogen. *Cereal Chemistry*, 87(1): 1–9.
- Meena K K, Meena R S and Kumawat S S 2013 Effect of sulphur and iron fertilization on yield attributes, yield and nutrient uptake of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 83 (4): 472- 476.
- Nadergoli M S, Yarina M and Khoei F R 2011 Effect of zinc and manganese and their application method on yield and yield components of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. CV khomein), *Middle –East Journal of Scientific research*, 8: 858-865.
- Peddababu P, Shanthi M, Prasad B R and Minhas P S 2007 Effect of zinc in rice- blackgram cropping system in saline soils. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*, 54 (1-2): 47-50.
- Ranpariya V S, Polara K B, Savaliya, CM, Modhvadiya, V L and Bodar K H 2017 Effect of potassium, zinc and FYM on growth, quality and yield of summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) under medium black calcareous soil. *International Journal of Science*, 2242-2247.

- Rao I J 2016 Influence of organic acids in amelioration of iron (Fe) chlorosis in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) M.Sc Thesis, Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Bapatla, A.P.
- Roach D A and Wulff R D 1987 Maternal effects in plants. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 18: 209-235.
- Savithri P and Chitdeshwari T 2002 Nutritional management of micronutrients other than iron for sustaining crops grown in calcareous soils. *In: Balanced Nutrition of Groundnut and Other Field Crops Grown in Calcareous Soils of India* (eds., Parischa N.S., Bansal, S.K and Golakiya, B.A.). Junagadh, Gujarat. Pp151-170.
- Singh A L, Chaudhari V and Koradia V G 1993 Spray schedule of multi micronutrient to overcome chlorosis in groundnut. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 36: 36-40.
- **Tiffin L O 1967** Translocation of manganese, iron, cobalt and zinc in tomato. *Plant physiology*. 42(10):1427-1432.
- Welch R M, House W A, Monasterio, I O and Heng Z C 2005 Potential for Improving Bioavailable Zinc in Wheat Grain (Triticum Species) through Plant Breeding. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53: 2176-2180.
- Yaseen M, Ahmed W and Shahbaz M 2013 Role of foliar feeding of micronutrients in yield maximization of cotton in Punjab. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 37: 420-426.
- Zeidan M S 2001 Response of wheat plants (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to different methods of zinc fertilization in reclaimed soils of Egypt. Plant Nutrition-Food Security a Sustainability of Agro-ecosystems (Eds W.J. Horst, et al.), Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands : Pp 1048-1049.
- Zeidan M S, Mohamed M F and Hamouda H A 2010 Effect of Foliar Fertilization of Fe, Mn and Zn on Wheat Yield and Quality in Low Sandy Soils Fertility. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 6(6): 696-699.
- Zhang Y, Shi R Md, Rezaul K, Zhang F, Zou C 2010 Iron and zinc concentrations grain and flour of winter wheat as affected by foliar application. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58: 12268–12274.