

Quality Assessment of Groundwater in Rampachodavaram Division of East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh

T Giri Shashank Reddy, P Mohana Rao, Y Sudha Rani and Ch Pulla Rao

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla.

ABSTRACT

Quality of ground water resources in the Rampachodavaram division of East Godavari district in Andhra Pradesh assessed for its suitability for irrigation. For this, one hundred and two (102) ground water samples were collected from study area in pre and post-monsoon seasons of 2017-2018). The ground water samples were analyzed for pH, EC, cations and anions viz., Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ , K^+ , HCO_3^- , CO_3^{-2-} , CI^- and SO_4^{-2-} , SAR and RSC were also calculated. Eighty six per cent (86%) ground water samples were neutral (pH 6.5-7.5) in reaction in both pre and post-monsoon seasons while EC values of majority of water samples were under C_3 class (EC 0.75-2.25 dS m⁻¹) in both pre and post-monsoon seasons seasons. The SAR and RSC values of majority samples were under S_1 (0-10) and A_1 (< 1.25 me L⁻¹) classes in pre and post-monsoon seasons, respectively,

Key words: Quality assessment, Groundwater quality, Irrigation.

About 97.2 per cent of world's water is found in oceans and seas and the remaining 2.8 per cent of water is found as ground water and surface water, of which ground water (0.59%) is 30 times greater than surface water (0.02 %). Ground water quality is one of the most important aspects in water resource studies. which is largely controlled by discharge and recharges pattern, nature of host and associated rocks and contaminated activities. In recent years, an increasing threat to ground water quality as well as quantity due to human activities has become of great importance. With the advent of the tube wells along with the rapid growth of demand for agricultural and municipal water, annual global groundwater extraction has increased in recent decades from 100 cubic km/year in 1950 to a current estimated use of about 800 cubic km/year. Currently about 43 per cent of global irrigation, with 45 per cent in India as well as more than 50 per cent of the world's drinking water supply and a large share of global industrial activity depend on groundwater. Quality of water is assuming great importance with the rising pressure on agriculture and rise in standard of living. (Wani et al., 2014).

In India unfortunately, salinity hazards are extensive and different crops require different irrigation water qualities. Therefore, testing the irrigation water quality is highly essential for effective management and utilization of the groundwater resources, by clarifying relations among many hydro-ecological considerations. In the present study, the quality of groundwater was assessed through estimation of pH, EC, SAR and RSC with reference to their suitability for irrigation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

East Godavari district is one of the nine coastal districts of Andhra Pradesh and is known for its historical importance. The district enjoys a unique place and is known as "rice bowel" of Andhra Pradesh. The district forms part of the Godavari delta region and is endowed by a vast potential of surface water resources. The district lies between North latitude 16°30' and 18°20' and East longitude 81°30' and 82°36' spreading over an area of 10,807 Sq.km. In East Godavari. The total net area irrigated is 2,83,592 ha. The net area irrigated through canals and tanks is 1,81,165 and 23,871 ha. respectively, and irrigation through lift and other sources is 644 ha. The net area irrigated through tube wells and filter point wells is 62540 ha. and thus constituting 12.70 percent through ground water irrigation in the district (Groundwater Brochure, East Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh, Central Groundwater Board, 2013). Mismanagement of land at all levels, particularly in agriculture, has led to the problem of rising water table, soil salinization and pollution of surface and groundwater resources (Manjunatha et al., 2011). The sampling was carried out during the premonsoon (July, 2017) and post-monsoon seasons and collected 106 groundwater samples from Rampachodavaram division of East Godavari district. In both the seasons representative water samples were collected from different sources like bore wells, open wells and hand pumps.

The following water analysis techniques were used to assess the quality of water by measuring *viz.*, water reaction (pH) by digital pH meter, Electrical Conductivity by EC meter, SAR and RSC by using following formula.

i) Salinity (EC dS m^{-1})		
Class	EC range	USSL,1954
C-1 – low salinity	0.0-0.25	
C-2 – medium salinity	0.25-0.75	
C-3 – high salinity	0.75-2.25	
C-4 – very high salinity	>2.25	
ii) SAR (Sodium Adsorption Ratio)	•	
Class	SAR	
S-1 – low sodium water	< 10	
S-2 – medium sodium water	18-Oct	
S-3 – high sodium water	18-26	
S-4 – very high sodium water	> 26	
iii) RSC (Residual Sodium Carbonate)	•	
Class	RSC (me L^{-1})	
A-1 – Water can be used safely	<1.25	
A-2 – Water cab be used with certain	1.25-2.5	
management		
A-3 –Not suitable for irrigation	>2.5	
iv) Water Reaction (pH)		Anonymous, 1984
Acidic	< 6.5	
Neutral	6.5-7.5]
Alkaline	>7.5	

Table 1. Criteria for classification of irrigation water

 Table 2. Classification of groundwater samples based on pH basis during pre and post -monsoon periods in Rampachodavaram division of East Godavari district at mandal level.

S. No.	Mandal	No. of	Pre-monsoon				Post-monsoon			
		samples	Ne	Neutral		aline	Neutral		Alkaline	
			(6.5	(6.5-7.5)		(>7.5)		-7.5)	(>7.5)	
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1	Devipatnam	9	9	100	0	0	9	100	0	0
2	Rampachodavaram	10	8	80	2	20	7	70	3	30
3	Maredumilli	5	5	100	0	0	5	100	0	0
4	Gangavaram	4	4	100	0	0	4	100	0	0
5	Addateegala	12	9	75	3	25	9	75	3	25
6	Y. Ramavaram	5	5	100	0	0	5	100	0	0
7	Rajavommangi	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	1	16.7
	Total	51	44	86.3	7	13.7	44	86.3	7	13.7

S. No.	Mandal	No. of	$EC (dS m^{-1})$							
		samples	C	21	(C_2	C ₃		C_4	
			(0-0.25)		(0.25-0	0.75)	(0.75-2.25)		(2.25-5.00	
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1	Devipatnam	9	0	0.0	2	22.0	7	78.0	0	0.0
2	Rampachodavaram	10	0	0.0	7	70.0	2	20.0	1	10.0
3	Maredumilli	5	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
4	Gangavaram	4	0	0.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0
5	Addateegala	12	0	0.0	4	33.0	7	58.0	1	8.0
6	Y. Ramavaram	5	1	20.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
7	Rajavommangi	6	0	0.0	2	33.0	3	50.0	1	17.0
	Total	51	3	5.9	19	37.3	26	51.0	3	5.9

Table 3. Classification of groundwater samples based on EC during pre-monsoon period inRampachodavaram division of East Godavari district at mandal level.

Table 4. Classification of groundwater samples based on EC during post-monsoon period in
Rampachodavaram division of East Godavari district at mandal level.

S. No.	Mandal	No. of samples	EC ($dS m^{-1}$)							
			C	1	C	2	C	3	C ₄ (2.25-	
			(0-0	(0-0.25)		0.75)	(0.75-2.25)		5.00)	
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1	Devipatnam	9	0	0.0	3	33.3	6	66.7	0	0.0
2	Rampachodavaram	10	0	0.0	6	60.0	4	40.0	0	0.0
3	Maredumilli	5	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
4	Gangavaram	4	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
5	Addateegala	12	0	0.0	6	50.0	6	50.0	0	0.0
6	Y. Ramavaram	5	1	20.0	3	60.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
7	Rajavommangi	6	0	0.0	2	33.3	3	50.0	1	16.7
	Total	51	3	5.9	25	49.0	22	43.1	1	2.0

Table 5. Classification of groundwater samples based on SAR during pre-monsoon period inRampachodavaram division of East Godavari district at mandal level.

S. No.	Mandal	No. of samples	SAR							
			S	1	S	2	S	3	S_4	
			(0-10)		(10-	(10-18) ((18-26)		26)
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1	Devipatnam	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
2	Rampachodavaram	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
3	Maredumilli	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
4	Gangavaram	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	Addateegala	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
6	Y. Ramavaram	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
7	Rajavommangi	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Total	51	50	98.0	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

2019

S. No.	Mandal	No. of samples	SAR								
			S ₁ (0	-10)	0-18)	S ₃ (18-26)		S4(>26)			
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
1	Devipatnam	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
2	Rampachodavaram	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
3	Maredumilli	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
4	Gangavaram	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
5	Addateegala	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
6	Y. Ramavaram	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
7	Rajavommangi	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	Total	51	51	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	

Table 6. Classification of groundwater samples based on SAR during post-monsoon period inRampachodavaram division of East Godavari district at mandal level.

Table 7. Classification of groundwater samples based on RSC during pre-monsoon period in Rampachodavaram division of East Godavari district at mandal level.

S. No.	Mandal	No. of	RSC (me L^{-1})						
		samples	<1	<1.25		-2.5	>2.5		
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
1	Devipatnam	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
2	Rampachodavaram	10	9	90.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	
3	Maredumilli	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
4	Gangavaram	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	
5	Addateegala	12	9	75.0	1	8.3	2	16.7	
6	Y. Ramavaram	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
7	Rajavommangi	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	Total	51	46	90.2	2	3.9	3	5.9	

 Table 8. Classification of groundwater samples based on RSC during post-monsoon period in

 Rampachodavaram division of East Godavari district at mandal level.

S. No.	Mandal	No. of	RSC (me L^{-1})						
		samples	<1	.25	1.25	-2.5	>	2.5	
			No	%	No	%	No	%	
1	Devipatnam	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
2	Rampachodavaram	10	9	90.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	
3	Maredumilli	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
4	Gangavaram	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	
5	Addateegala	12	8	66.7	2	16.7	2	16.7	
6	Y. Ramavaram	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
7	Rajavommangi	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	
	Total	51	44	86.3	4	7.8	3	5.9	

SAR =
$$\frac{Na^{+}}{\sqrt{\frac{Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}}{2}}}$$
 (Richards, 1954)

Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC)

RSC (me L⁻¹) = $(CO_3^{2-}+HCO_3^{-}) - (Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})$ (Raghunath, 1987).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

pН

According to the classification of groundwater based on pH values during the pre-monsoon season 86 per cent samples were found to be neutral (pH 6.5-7.5) and 14 per cent found to be alkali (>7.5) in Rampachodavaram division. In the post-monsoon season, 86 per cent samples were found to be neutral (pH 6.5-7.5) and 14 per cent found to be alkali (>7.5) in Rampachodavaram division (Table 2). Nature of groundwater samples gets diluted from moderately alkaline to low alkalinity due to the monotonic effect in post-monsoon season as a result of increase in level of water table (Prakash and Somashekar, 2006). Similar results were earlier reported by Khodapanah *et al.* (2009).

Electrical conductivity

Based on classification of EC values during pre-monsoon season, about 6 per cent come under C_1 class, 37 per cent samples come under C_2 class, 51 per cent under C_3 class and 6 per cent samples come under C_4 class in Rampachodavaram division. During post-monsoon season, about 6 per cent fall under C_1 class, 49 per cent samples fall under C_2 class, 43 per cent fall under C_3 class and 2 per cent samples comes under C_4 class in Rampachodavaram division (Tables 3 and 4). During post-monsoon season water samples had lower EC values as compared to premonsoon season water samples. This might be due to precipitation during monsoon season which resulted in flushing of salts and rain water diluted the salt content (Satyanarayana *et al.*, 2016).

Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR)

Based on SAR classification, during premonsoon season, in Rampachodavaram division about 98 per cent of samples recorded under S_1 class and 2 per cent S_2 class. During the post-monsoon season, about 100 per cent of samples during post-monsoon season comes under S_1 class in Rampachodavaram division (Table 5 and 6). High SAR values in pre-monsoon season indicated that the relative adsorption of $Ca^{+2} + Mg^{+2}$ was low in summer and reverse was true in post-monsoon season (Venkateswarlu, 2001). Similar results were reported earlier by Hussian and Rao (2013).

Residual sodium carbonate (RSC)

Water samples were classified based on RSC and the results revealed that about 90 per cent of samples during pre-monsoon season comes under A_1 class, 4 per cent samples comes under A_2 class, 6 per cent samples comes under A_3 class in Rampachodavaram division. During post-monsoon season, about 86 per cent of recorded under A_1 class, 8 per cent A_2 class and 6 per cent samples under A3 class in Rampachodavaram division (Table 7 and 8).

Pre-monsoon season water samples had high RSC values as compared to post-monsoon season, due to water samples containing bicarbonates in excess over calcium and magnesium ions influences unsuitability for irrigation (Jeyaseelan *et al.*, 2013).

CONCLUSION

Based on pH values, majority of water samples were under neutral in nature in both pre and postmonsoon seasons of Rampachodavaram division and based on EC values, majority of water samples were under C₃ class (EC 0.75-2.25 dS m⁻¹) in both pre and post-monsoon seasons. Based on SAR classification, about 98 and 100 per cent water samples were under S_1 class *i.e.*, low salinity ($S_1 < 10$) in pre and postmonsoon seasons, respectively. However, based on RSC classification, about 90 and 87 per cent of water samples were under A_1 class (RSC<1.25 me L⁻¹). *i.e.*, water can be used safely for irrigation in both pre- and post-monsoon seasons, respectively. As per the USSL (1954) classification majority of the samples were recorded under C_3 - S_1 category *i.e.* high salinity-low sodium hazard class in both pre- and post-monsoon seasons, respectively and indicated that majority of groundwater samples in Rampachodavaram division were slightly suitable for irrigation purpose in crop production.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anonymous 1984 Draft recommendations of soil correlator, Department of Agriculture, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
- **Ground Water Brochure** East Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh, Central Ground water Board, Ministry of Water Resources, Government of India. Southern Region,Hyderabad September, 2013.
- Jeyaseelan A, Narmatha T, Mohan S P, Mahalingam S and Natchimuthu S 2013 Assessment of

groundwater quality and its suitability for agricultural use in Nishabanathi and Kalingalar sub-basins of Vaippar river basin, Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Academia and Industrial Research*. 1(11): 720 – 725.

- Khodapanah L, Sulaiman W N A and Khodapanah
 N 2009 Groundwater quality assessment for different purposes in Eshtehard district, Tehran, Iran. European Journal of Scientific Research. 36(4): 543 – 553.
- Manjunatha M V, Oosterbaan R J, Boonstra J and Hebbara M 2011 Salt and water balance studies using SALTMOD for Tungabhadra command, Penninsular India. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 59(1): 321-328.
- Prakash K L and Somashekar R K 2006 Groundwater quality assessment on Ankel taluk, Bangalore urban district. India. Journal of Environmental Biology, 27(4), 633–637.
- Raghunath H M 1987 Groundwater. ISBN-10: 0470206985, New Age International (P) Ltd. Publishers.

- Richards L A 1954 Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook, 60 Washington DC, USA. 160.
- Satyanarayana E, Ratnakar D and Muralidhar M 2016 Major Ion Chemistry of Groundwater and Surface Water in Parts of Mulugu-Venkatapur Mandal, Warangal District, Telangana State, India. *Hydrology Current Research.* 7(3): 1–17.
- US Salinity Laboratory 1954 Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soils. *Agriculture Handbook No. 60. USDA.*160.
- Venkateswarlu J 2001 Management of soils of Andhra Pradesh printed at ANGRAU Press, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. 43 – 45.
- Wani R A, Aabid H M, Tanveer A, Jehangir A and Yousuf, A R 2014 Preliminary study on irrigational quality of some ground water sources of Kashmir, India. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research. 5(2): 318 – 323.

Received on 27.07.2018 and Revised on 25.09.2018